

# Identifying the greatest impacts on quality of life using best worst scaling (bws)

## Willingness to Pay

### 4.1 BWS Overview

A Best Worst Scaling (BWS) component was included within the survey to elicit which of the many impacts of their food hypersensitivity people considered to have the greatest (and least) effect on their quality of life. BWS is a technique designed to elicit relative importance's.

The impacts considered were those which comprise the condition-specific quality of life measures:

- Food Allergy Quality of Life Questionnaire, FAQLQ
- Food Intolerance Quality of Life Questionnaire, FIQLQ
- Coeliac Disease Quality of Life Questionnaire, CDQ.

Respondents' responses in the BWS choice sets allow:

- estimation of the relative importance of the impacts of each of the conditions, from the perspective of those living with the conditions
- aid identification of those impacts which are most important to people and within the FSA's power to affect.

The 29 impacts which comprise the FAQLQ, the 22 which comprise the FIQLQ and the 20 items of the CDQ were (in some cases with modest rephrasing) included in an Best Worst Scaling choice sets. The BWS sets were the product of an experimental design in which the full set of FHS impacts were combined into multiple subsets each comprising 4 of the FHS impacts (see Appendix E for more details).

Example BWS choice sets for the 3 conditions are shown in Figures 4.1 to 4.3

**Figure 4.1. Example BWS set: food allergy**

Please consider these possible impacts of your food allergy on your quality of life.

Considering only these impacts, please indicate which has the Greatest Impact and the Least Impact on your quality of life?

Greatest Impact	Least Impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	the trouble from always being alert as to what you are eating
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	the trouble from eating out less
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	the trouble from having to read labels
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	the fear of accidentally eating the wrong food

Figure 4.2. Example BWS set: food intolerance

Please consider these possible impacts of your food intolerance on your quality of life.

Considering only these impacts, please indicate which has the Greatest Impact and the Least Impact on your quality of life?

Greatest Impact	Least Impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	the trouble from the quality and clarity of labeling is poor, in general
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	the concern you feel about eating something you have never eaten before
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	the trouble from feeling that you have less control of what you eat when eating out
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	the worry that you experience physical distress because of symptoms during a reaction

Figure 4.3. Example BWS set: coeliac disease

Please consider these possible impacts of Coeliac Disease on your quality of life.

Considering only these impacts, please indicate which has the Greatest Impact and the Least Impact on your quality of life?

Greatest Impact	Least Impact	
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	the worry about the increased risk of one of my family members having coeliac disease
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	feeling I think about food all the time
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	feeling afraid to eat out because my food may be contaminated
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	feeling I don't know enough about the disease

All BWS sets were made up of 4 FHS impacts per set (see Appendix E for more on the experimental design) and respondents were presented with sets relating to the condition that they had identified as the sole, or most significant, food hypersensitivity they had. Because the FAQLQ/FIQLQ/CDQ differ in the number of impacts included, the number of set people completed varied by condition. Food allergy participants completed 10, those with food intolerance completed 8 and those with Coeliac coeliac disease completed 7 sets.

Advantages of the BWS approach include

- BWS shows greater power of discrimination than more established methods such as Likert scales as it forces respondents to discriminate, preventing participants rating many or all of the items at equal importance (Cohen 2003, Cohen and Orme, 2004, Burton et al, 2019)

- as there are no category descriptors scale bias is avoided, for example from differential interpretations of terms such as “slightly”, “moderately” or “quite” (Cohen 2003, Sawtooth Software, 2020)
- participants are better at judging items at extremes of preference or importance (Louviere 1993).