

Survey of metals and other elements in commercial infant foods, infant formula and non-infant specific foods

The following technical reports will be published with the food safety information sheet. They provide further information on the analytical method used, tabulate the analytical results and describe the sampling plan used for this survey.

Analytical report

Survey of metals in commercial infant foods, infant formula and non-infant specific foods. Report for the UK Food Standards Agency (FS102048), Fera.

Sampling method report

Survey of metals in commercial infant foods, infant formula and non-infant specific foods, Hallmark Meat Hygiene.

Executive Summary

1. The Food Standards Agency (FSA) commissioned in 2013 a survey of fifteen metals, and other elements in a selection of ready-to-feed infant formula, dry infant formula, commercial infant foods and foods consumed by both infants and adults such as bread and bananas.
2. The survey was designed to assess the risk to infant and young children's health from dietary exposure to these elements. The FSA completed similar surveys in 2003 and 2006.
3. The toxicological risk assessments showed that eleven of the elements did not pose a health concern.
4. For a small proportion of the infants and young children population consuming the highest levels of the foods tested, there was a small risk to health from dietary exposure to inorganic arsenic.
5. The dietary exposure levels for lead were not a cause for concern. However, total lead exposure, when considering the contribution from soil and dust in the environment may represent a small risk to health. People are exposed to lead through food, drinking water, air, soil and dust. Food and water are the major sources of exposure to lead, although for infants and young children, ingestion of soil and dust can also be an important contribution. Exposure to lead in the UK has decreased substantially over recent decades.
6. The small exceedance for cadmium was not a cause for concern. The health based guidance value (HBGV) was set in the context of 50 years of bio-accumulative exposure to cadmium and infants because of their lower bodyweight tend to have higher dietary exposures compared to older age groups.
7. An assessment of manganese is to follow and will be published on the Committee on Toxicity of Chemicals in Food, Consumer Products and the Environment (COT) website
8. The dietary exposure data from this survey have been used by the FSA to calculate robust and up to date risk assessments for the general population, in addition to infants and young children.
9. The COT considered the toxicity of chemicals in the diet of infants and young children, to support the review conducted by the Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (SACN) of

Government recommendations on complementary and young child feeding. The results from this survey have been used extensively by COT to inform its deliberations.

10. All the samples tested were compliant with food safety legislation. There were no maximum limits for inorganic arsenic in force when the samples were collected in 2013 and 2014. The maximum limit of 0.10 mg/kg for inorganic arsenic in rice used to produce food for infants and young children, was added to Commission Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 of 19 December 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs, which applied to foods from the 1st January 2016.
11. The survey was carried out in 2013 and 2014 and product specifications and market share have inevitably changed since.

Introduction

1. The FSA looked at the levels of 15 metals and other elements in a variety of foods eaten by infants and young children to investigate the risk to health from exposure in the diet.
2. The survey commissioned in 2013 considered fifteen metals and other elements in a selection of ready to feed infant formula, dry infant formula, commercial infant foods and 'other' foods. The latter are foods consumed by adults but which also appear in the diets of infants and young children.
3. Previous FSA surveys of infant formula and weaning foods were completed in 2003¹ and 2006².
4. The survey looked at the following elements: aluminium, antimony, arsenic (total and inorganic species) cadmium, chromium, copper, iodine, iron, lead, manganese, mercury, nickel, selenium, tin and zinc. The sampling plan included 47 samples of infant formula, 200 samples of commercial infant foods and 50 composite samples of 'other' foods.

Background

5. Dietary exposure assessments for the general population cannot be accurately extended to include infants, as they consume a diet that is different in many ways to that of adults or older children. In the early stages of weaning, the infant diet is made up almost entirely of breast milk and/or commercial infant formula, and when weaning foods are given, a large proportion may be commercially available infant foods or foods marketed at infants and young children.
6. The range of commercial infant foods is constantly growing and changing so it is important that the FSA obtains up to date information on exposure levels.
7. The COT was asked by the SACN to review the risk to health from chemicals in the diet of infants (aged 0-12 months) and young children (aged 1-5 years). The results from this survey have been used to produce dietary exposure estimates to inform the COT deliberations.

¹ Multi element survey of infant foods, September 2003,
<http://tna.europarchive.org/20120530191353/http://www.food.gov.uk/science/surveillance/fsis2003/fsis422003>

² Survey of metals in weaning foods and formulae for infants, September 2006,
<http://tna.europarchive.org/20120530191353/http://www.food.gov.uk/science/surveillance/fsisbranch2006/fsis1706>

Methodology

Sampling plan

8. Hallmark Meat Hygiene³ purchased 47 samples of powdered and ready-to-feed infant formula (including follow-on formula and growing up milks), 200 samples of commercial infant foods, and 50 samples of 'other' foods, from retail outlets distributed throughout the UK during 2013 and 2014. All samples were prepared and tested as sold. Dry powdered infant formula and dried cereal products such as baby rice were not reconstituted prior to testing. Sample selection for the other foods category was based on likely contribution to the infant diet, as recorded in the diet and nutrition survey of infants and young children, 2011, (DNSIYC)⁴

Analysis

9. Further details of the analytical method and quality procedures used in the survey can be found in the contractor's technical report⁵. For this survey multi element analysis was carried out using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS).

Analytical results

10. Concentrations were measured in brand name products for infant formula and commercial infant foods. However, the dietary exposures were calculated on a food category and food group basis. Brand name products were grouped by infant formula and commercial infant food categories.
11. The mean concentration data for each food category are presented in Annex 1, Tables 1 to 4. These averaged values together with the consumption data were used to calculate the dietary exposures. Exposure assessments are provided on both a food group and food category basis but not for brand name products

Food groups and food categories included in this survey:

Food group: Infant formula: ready-to-feed formula

Food categories

First milk (from birth)
Follow on milk (six months plus)
Growing up milk (twelve months plus)

³ Survey of metals in commercial infant foods, infant formula and non-infant specific foods, Hallmark Meat Hygiene

⁴ Diet and nutrition survey of infants and young children <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/diet-and-nutrition-survey-of-infants-and-young-children-2011>

⁵ Survey of metals in commercial infant foods, infant formula and non-infant specific foods. Report for the UK Food Standards Agency (FS102048), Fera

Food group: Infant formula: dry infant formula

Food categories

First milk (from birth)
Follow on milk (six months plus)
Growing up milk (twelve months plus)
Goats milk
Comfort milk
Organic milk
Soya based formula

Food group: Commercial infant foods

Food categories

Cereal based foods and dishes
Dairy based foods and dishes
Fruit based foods and dishes
Meat and fish based foods and dishes
Other savoury based foods and dishes (no meat)
Snacks (sweet and savoury)
Beverages

Food group: 'Other' foods

Food categories

Beverages
Bread
Canned vegetables
Cereals
Dairy products
Eggs
Fish
Fresh fruit
Fruit products
Green vegetables
Meat products
Milk
Other vegetables
Potatoes
Poultry

Exposure Assessment

12. Exposure assessments are provided on both a food group and food category basis but not for brand name products.

13. Infant energy requirements and food consumption are on average higher relative to their body weight than for adults and older children. This means that infants can have a relatively greater dietary exposure to chemicals in food than other age groups, when expressed on a body weight basis.
14. Individually sampled products making up each food category were tested and the concentrations averaged to give a mean overall concentration for each food category. For example, the mean concentration for the 'ready-to-feed formula, first milk from birth' category was calculated using the concentrations for brand name products of this type. Food consumption data used to calculate dietary exposures, were from the diet and nutrition survey of infants and young children, 2011 (DNSIYC).
15. Table 5 presents the food group dietary exposure data for the infant formula, commercial infant foods and 'other' foods and the total exposure from the overall infant diet. The exposure data includes both average and high-level consumers of these foods at the population level.
16. Tables 6 to 11 show the contribution to dietary exposure for each food category. The exposure data includes both average and high-level consumers of these foods at the population level.

Risk Assessment

17. A more in-depth risk assessment can be found in the COT statement on the results of the 2014 survey of metals and other elements in infant food which is published on the COT website⁶

Metals and other elements essential to human health

Copper

18. The total mean and high-level exposures were 37 µg/kg bw/day and 69 µg/kg bw/day, respectively. The highest contributing food group to total mean exposure was the 'other' foods group, with a total mean exposure of 16 µg/kg bw/day. Overall, the current estimates of mean and high-level dietary exposure to copper were below all of the available health based guidance values⁷
19. The current estimated dietary exposures did not indicate excessive copper intakes and were not of toxicological concern.

Iodine

20. The total mean and high-level exposures were 11 µg/kg bw/day and 23 µg/kg bw/day, respectively. The highest contributing food group to total mean exposure was the 'other' foods group with a total mean exposure of 5.3 µg/kg bw/day. Overall, the current estimates of mean and high level dietary exposure to iodine were below or only marginally greater

⁶ <https://cot.food.gov.uk/>, Statement on the results of the 2014 survey of metals and other elements in infant foods

<https://cot.food.gov.uk/cotstatements/cotstatementsyrs/cot-statements-2018/statement-on-the-results-of-the-2014-survey-of-metals-and-other-elements-in-in>

⁷ JECFA derived a provisional maximum TDI (PMTDI) of 50-500 µg/kg bw (FAO/WHO, 1982a); The Expert Group on Vitamins and Minerals has set a safe upper level of 160 µg/kg bw/day (EVM, 2003); The Scientific Committee on Food set an upper level of 1 mg/day for 1-3 year olds (equivalent to 83 µg/kg bw/day (SCF, 2003a).

(~15%) than the Scientific Committee on Food (SCF) Upper Level of 200 µg/day for 1-3 year olds (SCF, 2002), which given the conservative nature of the exposure scenario are not of concern.

21. The current estimated dietary exposures did not indicate excessive iodine intakes and were not of toxicological concern.

Iron

22. The total mean and high-level exposures were 550 µg/kg bw/day and 1300 µg/kg bw/day, respectively. The highest contributing food group to total mean exposure was the 'infant formula' group, with a total mean exposure of 240 µg/kg bw/day. Overall, the current estimates of mean and high level dietary exposure to iron were below the Expert Group on Vitamins and Minerals (EVM's) 17 mg/day (equivalent to 1.7 mg/kg bw/day for a 10 kg infant) guidance value for supplemental iron (EVM, 2003), and the United States Institute of Medicine (US IOM's) 40 mg/day tolerable upper intake level (TUL) (equivalent to approximately 4 mg/kg bw/day assuming a 10 kg infant) (IOM, 2001; DH 2013).
23. The current estimated dietary exposures did not indicate excessive iron intake and were not of toxicological concern.

Manganese

24. The total mean and high-level exposures were 85 µg/kg bw/day and 190 µg/kg bw/day, respectively. The highest contributing food group to total mean exposure was the 'other' foods group, with a total mean exposure of 63 µg/kg bw/day.
25. The COT considered that the way in which the currently available HBGVs for manganese had been derived was not sufficiently robust for the risk characterisation of dietary exposure to this metal. Hence, the Committee concluded that, although exposure values were far below the HBGVs, whilst reassuring this was not an appropriate basis on which to conclude on the safety of such exposures. The COT is due to revisit the issue of manganese HBGVs and exposures in this age group in a statement at a later date.

Selenium

26. The total mean and high-level exposures were 1.1-1.6 µg/kg bw/day and 2.6-3.0 µg/kg bw/day, respectively. The highest contributing food group to total mean exposure was the 'other' foods group with a total mean exposure of 0.8 µg/kg bw/day. Overall, the current estimates of mean and high level dietary exposure to selenium were below the EVM and SCF's upper levels of 7.5 µg/kg bw/day and 60 µg/day for 1-3 year olds, respectively (SCF, 2000; EVM, 2003).
27. The current estimated dietary exposures did not indicate excessive selenium intakes and were not of toxicological concern.

Zinc

28. The total mean and high-level exposures were 440 µg/kg bw/day and 860 µg/kg bw/day, respectively. The highest contributing food group to total mean exposure was the 'infant formula' group with total mean exposures ranging from 180 µg/kg bw/day. Overall, the current estimates of mean dietary exposure to zinc were below all of the available HBGVs. The current estimates of high level dietary exposure were greater than the SCF guidance values (UL of 7 mg/day for 1-3 year olds) (~50%) but below the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) (provisional maximum tolerable daily intake (PMTDI) of 0.3 – 1.0 mg/kg

bw) and EVM (safe UL (SUL) of 25mg/day) values (SCF, 2003b; FAO/WHO, 1982b and EVM, 2003).

29. The current estimated dietary exposures did not indicate excessive zinc intakes and were not of toxicological concern.

Metals and other elements non-essential to human health

Aluminium

30. The total mean and high-level exposures were 33-34 µg/kg bw/day and 74-76 µg/kg bw/day, respectively. The highest contributing food group to total mean exposure was the 'other' foods group, with total mean exposures ranging from 19-20 µg/kg bw/day. Overall, the current estimates of mean and high level dietary exposure to aluminium were well below the JECFA provisional tolerable weekly intake of 2 µg/kg bw (equivalent to 286 µg/kg bw/day) (FAO/WHO, 2012).
31. The current estimated dietary exposures did not indicate excessive aluminium intakes and were not of toxicological concern.

Antimony

32. The total mean and high-level exposures were 0.0040-0.11 µg/kg bw/day and 0.029-0.21 µg/kg bw/day, respectively. The highest contributing food group to total mean exposure was the 'other' foods group with total mean exposures ranging from 0-0.050 µg/kg bw/day. Overall, the current estimates of mean and high level dietary exposures to antimony were well below the World Health Organisation (WHO) (tolerable daily intake) TDI of 6 µg/kg bw (WHO, 2003).
33. The current estimated dietary exposures did not indicate excessive antimony intakes and were not of toxicological concern.

Arsenic (total and inorganic)

34. For total arsenic, the total mean and high-level exposures were 0.91-0.94 µg/kg bw/day and 4.3-4.4 µg/kg bw/day, respectively. The highest contributing food group to total mean exposure was the 'other' foods group, with total mean exposures ranging from 0.78-0.79 µg/kg bw/day. However, inorganic arsenic is the form that is carcinogenic and of most concern.
35. The total mean exposures to inorganic arsenic were 0.14-0.18 µg/kg bw/day. This range of exposures generates a Margin of Exposure (MOE) of 20 (rounded to 1 significant figure (SF)). Since this is greater than 10, these exposures would be considered low concern. The total high-level exposures were 0.41-0.47 µg/kg bw/day and generate MOEs of 6-7 (rounded to 1 SF). As these MOEs are marginally less than 10 there could be a small risk to high level consumers (FAO/WHO, 2011; COT, 2016a). The highest contributing food group to total mean exposure was the 'other' foods group, with total mean exposures ranging from 0.090-0.10 µg/kg bw/day.
36. The inorganic form of arsenic is carcinogenic and is of most concern to health. The current average dietary exposures to inorganic arsenic are considered to be of low concern but the dietary exposures for high-level consumers of these foods could present a small risk. Therefore, efforts to reduce the levels of inorganic arsenic in food should continue.

Cadmium

37. The total mean and high-level exposures were 0.25-0.27 µg/kg bw/day and 0.57-0.59 µg/kg bw/day, respectively. The highest contributing food group to total mean exposure was the 'other' foods group, with total mean exposures ranging from 0.19-0.20 µg/kg bw/day. Overall, the total mean exposure estimates were approximately 70% of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (tolerable weekly intake) TWI of 2.5 µg/kg bw/week (EFSA, 2009; EFSA, 2011a; EFSA, 2011b) and would thus not be of toxicological concern. The total high-level estimates were approximately 60% above the EFSA TWI. Such exposures are unlikely to lead to adverse effects on the kidney, although it is important to consider whether the potential vulnerability of the infant kidney would be increased due to its immaturity. It should be noted that food is unlikely to be the only source of exposure to cadmium in this age group; other potentially important sources of exposure include water, soil and dust.
38. Although the EFSA TWI of cadmium was exceeded by infants in some cases, these exceedances were small in magnitude (60% maximum) and it would not be expected to remain at this level over the decades of bio-accumulative exposure necessary to reach the reference value used by EFSA in setting the HBGV. This is therefore not a major cause for concern. However, considering the cumulative nature of cadmium toxicity, it would be prudent to minimise the exposure of infants to as low a level as is reasonably practicable.

Chromium

39. Chromium is a metallic element which can exist in a number of oxidation states, the most common of which are trivalent chromium (Cr (III)) and hexavalent chromium (Cr(VI)). Cr (III) is ubiquitous in nature and occurs in air, water, soil and biological systems. Chromium (VI) is mostly man made with a very small proportion present naturally in the environment.
40. No speciation was performed as part of the current survey, therefore the dietary exposure presented is for total chromium which is assumed to be Cr (III).
41. For chromium, the total mean and high-level exposures were 0.59-1.0 µg/kg bw/day and 1.7-2.5 µg/kg bw/day, respectively. The highest contributing food group to total mean exposure was the 'commercial infant foods' group, with total mean exposures ranging from 0.30-0.39 µg/kg bw/day. Overall, the current estimates of mean and high level dietary exposure to chromium were well below the EFSA (TDI) for Cr (III) of 0.3 mg/kg bw (EFSA 2014).
42. The current estimated dietary exposures did not indicate excessive chromium intakes and were not of toxicological concern.

Lead

43. The total mean and high-level exposures were 0.071-0.12 µg/kg bw/day and 0.17-0.26 µg/kg bw/day, respectively. The highest contributing food group to total mean exposure was the 'other' foods group, with total mean exposures ranging from 0.040-0.070 µg/kg bw/day.
44. EFSA calculated that the benchmark dose modelling BMDL₀₁ corresponded to a dietary intake of 0.5 µg/kg bw/day (EFSA, 2010). Overall, the current estimates of dietary exposure to lead generated ranges of MOEs of 4-7 and 2-3 (rounded to 1 SF) for mean and high-level exposures, respectively. MOE values greater than 1 can be taken to imply that at most, any risk from the diet is likely to be small in relation to that from background sources. It should be noted that food is not the only source of exposure to lead in this age group; other potentially important sources of exposure include water and soil. Any risks posed by the current estimated dietary exposures to lead were small.

Mercury

45. No speciation was performed as part of the current survey, therefore the dietary exposure presented is for total mercury which is assumed to be methylmercury.
46. The total mean and high-level exposures were 0.022-0.046 µg/kg bw/day and 0.13-0.16 µg/kg bw/day, respectively. The highest contributing food group to total mean exposure was the 'other' foods group, with total mean exposures ranging from 0.020-0.030 µg/kg bw/day. Overall, the current estimates of mean and high level dietary exposure to mercury were well below the EFSA TWI of 1.3 µg/kg bw for methylmercury (EFSA, 2012). The mean and high-level exposure estimates for the fish-based groups of the 'commercial infant foods' ('meat and fish based foods and dishes') and 'other' foods ('fish') categories were also below the TWI for methylmercury (equivalent to 0.19 µg/kg bw/day).
47. The current estimated dietary exposures did not indicate excessive mercury intakes and were not of toxicological concern.

Nickel

48. The total mean and high-level exposures were 1.6-2.6 µg/kg bw/day and 3.9-5.6 µg/kg bw/day, respectively. The highest contributing food group to total mean exposure was the 'other' foods group, with total mean exposures ranging from 0.92-1.5 µg/kg bw/day. All exposures were below the toddler-specific TDI of 20 µg/kg bw/day (Haber *et al.* 2017).
49. The current estimated chronic dietary exposure estimates did not indicate excessive nickel intakes and were not of toxicological concern.

Tin

50. The total mean and high-level exposures were 38 µg/kg bw/day and 250 µg/kg bw/day, respectively. The highest contributing food group to total mean exposure was the 'other' foods group, with total mean exposures ranging from 38 µg/kg bw/day. Overall, the total mean exposure estimates to tin were well below EVM guidance level of 220 µg/kg bw/day (EVM, 2003). Although the total high-level estimate was approximately 10% above the EVM guidance level, this is only a minor exceedance, which given the conservative nature of the exposure estimate would not be of concern.
51. The current estimated dietary exposures did not indicate excessive tin intakes and were not of toxicological concern.

Discussion

52. A limitation of the previous survey in 2006 was the assumption that commercial infant foods and infant formula make up the entirety of the infant diet. The 2014 survey, provided a more complete and accurate picture of foods consumed as part of the infant diet, by including foods eaten by adults but which are also used to prepare baby foods at home such as bread and bananas.
53. The COT concluded that the 2006 survey findings did not give cause for concern for the health of the infant. This assessment concurred with the previous 2003 survey findings. The 2006 survey compared dietary exposures against reference doses set by JECFA. Since 2006, there has been a refinement of the toxicological assessment of dietary exposures to lead and arsenic, as understanding of the effects of these contaminants on human health have developed. Therefore, it should not be assumed that concentrations of lead or arsenic have increased in food since 2006.

54. Dietary exposure to lead was compared to the reference point set by EFSA of 0.5 µg/kg/bw/day. This blood lead level represents a deficit in neurodevelopment, quantified as a decrease in IQ of 1 point at the population level. The Margin of Exposure (MOE) is a measure of how close to the reference point the estimated exposure is. A MOE of greater than 1 would not be a cause for concern for example. The lead dietary exposures in this survey had MOE greater than 1.
55. However, when considering total lead exposure which includes an important contribution from soil and dust, there may be a small risk to health. People are exposed to lead through food, drinking water, air, soil and dust. Food and water are the major sources of exposure to lead, although in infants and young children, ingestion of soil and dust can also be important.
56. The toxicity of arsenic is dependent on the form. It is generally accepted that inorganic arsenic is more toxic than the arsenic compounds that are commonly found in seafood and marine organisms.
57. Dietary exposure to inorganic arsenic was compared to the reference point set by JECFA of 3 µg/kg/bw/day. The COT concluded that a MOE of 10 or more would not be a concern for health. The exposure estimates showed a MOE of 6 to 7 for a small proportion of infants and young children, consuming the highest levels of foods included in the survey. For this group there is a small risk to health.
58. Dietary exposure to cadmium was compared to the HBGV set by EFSA of 2.5 µg/kg/bw. (tolerable weekly intake). A small proportion of the population, those consuming the highest levels of the foods tested, exceeded the HBGV by 60%. However, the TWI of 2.5 µg/kg/bw is set in the context of 50 years of bio-accumulation of cadmium in the body and Infants can have a relatively higher dietary exposure compared to other age groups when expressed on a body weight basis. Therefore, a small exceedance of the HBGV over a comparatively short period is not a major cause for concern.
59. There were no maximum limits for inorganic arsenic in EU Commission regulations when samples were collected in 2013 and 2014. The maximum limit of 0.10 mg/kg for inorganic arsenic in rice used to produce food for infants and young children, was introduced in Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/1006⁸ amending Commission Regulation EU 1881/2006⁹ from the 1st January 2016. However, when comparing the inorganic arsenic concentrations for this survey all results were compliant with the legislative maximum limits.

Soy based formula

60. Aluminium and cadmium are present in varying amounts in most foods. Soybean plants may contain high levels of aluminium. For this survey aluminium and cadmium were reported in powdered soy formula at a concentration of 2550 µg/kg and 11µg/kg respectively (Annex 1, Table 2). If the consumption data for regular animal milk powdered infant formula for the 4 to 6 months age group were substituted instead of the diet and nutrition survey of infants and young children (DNSIYC) consumption data for powdered soy formula, then exposure estimates for aluminium and cadmium before taking into account water used in reconstitution, will be at least an order of magnitude above exposure levels for animal milk infant formula¹⁰. Infants fed exclusively on soy based formula could therefore have higher dietary exposures to aluminium and cadmium. UK government advice is that infants should

⁸ Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/1006 amending Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 as regards maximum levels of inorganic arsenic in foodstuffs, subsection 3.5

⁹ Commission Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs

¹⁰ COT Addendum to the 2013 COT statement on potential risks from aluminium in the infant diet

<https://cot.food.gov.uk/committee/committee-on-toxicity/cotstatements/cotstatementsyrs/cot-statements-2016/addendum-to-the-2013-cot-statement-on-aluminium>

not be fed soy formula unless it has been prescribed or recommended by a medical practitioner.

Conclusion

61. Estimates of dietary exposures were calculated for 15 elements for UK infants and young children aged 4 to 18 months, using food consumption data taken from the diet and nutrition survey of infants and young children (DNSIYC).
62. The dietary exposure to inorganic arsenic indicated a small risk to health for those consuming the highest levels of foods included in the survey. Cadmium exposure showed a small exceedance of current HBGVs.
63. For a small proportion of the infants and young children population consuming the highest levels of the foods tested, there is a small risk to health from dietary exposure to inorganic arsenic.
64. The reported small exceedance for cadmium in this age group is not a cause for concern. The HBGV is set in the context of 50 years of exposure to cadmium and infants because of their lower bodyweight tend to have higher dietary exposures compared to older age groups.
65. Lead dietary exposure estimates did not suggest a health concern. However, total lead exposure including the contribution from soil and dust in the environment may represent a small risk to health for this age group. There is strong evidence that lead can impair intelligence (as measured by IQ).
66. The toxicological risk assessment findings showed that the remaining eleven elements did not pose a concern for health. An assessment of manganese is to follow and will be published on the Committee on Toxicity (COT) website.
67. All the samples tested were compliant with food safety legislation. There were no maximum limits for inorganic arsenic when the samples were collected in 2013 and 2014. The maximum limit of 0.10 mg/kg for inorganic arsenic in rice used to produce food for infants and young children, was introduced in Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/1006 of 25 June 2015 amending Commission Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 of 19 December 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs at subsection 3.5, from the 1st January 2016.

Summary of units used in the survey

Microgram (μg): one thousandth of a milligram (mg)

Milligram (mg): one thousandth of a gram

Kilogram (kg): one thousand grams

Micrograms per kilogram ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$)

Kilograms bodyweight (kg/bw)

Micrograms per kilogram body weight per day ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg bw}/\text{day}$)

Glossary

Limit of detection (LoD)

The lowest concentration at which the analyte can be reliably detected using a particular measurement procedure.

Limit of quantification (LoQ)

The lowest concentration of an analyte that can be determined with acceptable precision and accuracy under the stated conditions of the test.

Lower bound exposure (LB)

The measure of exposure based on a concentration where the analytical result is below the limit of detection and is assumed to have a value of zero.

Upper bound exposure (UB)

The measure of exposure based on a concentration where the analytical result is below the limit of detection and is assumed to have a value equal to the limit of detection or where the analytical result is above the limit of detection but below the limit of quantification is assumed to have a value equal to the limit of quantification.

Average exposure at the population level

As determined by the National Diet and Nutrition Survey Rolling Programme (NDNS) the average amount of a given food consumed at the population level. This level of consumption is used to calculate the average dietary exposure.

High level 97.5th percentile exposure at the population level

As determined by the National Diet and Nutrition Survey Rolling Programme (NDNS) an above average consumption of a given food at the population level which includes increasing ranked levels of consumption up to and including the 97.5 percentile. The very highest level of consumption at the population level would equate to the 100th percentile.

References

Multi element survey of infant foods (42/03). Available at:

<http://tna.europarchive.org/20120530191353/http://www.food.gov.uk/science/surveillance/fsis2003/fsis422003>

Survey of metals in weaning foods and formulae for infants 17/06 September 2006. Available at:

<http://tna.europarchive.org/20120530191353/http://www.food.gov.uk/science/surveillance/fsisbranch2006/fsis1706>

Diet and nutrition survey of infants and young children, 2011. Available at:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/diet-and-nutrition-survey-of-infants-and-young-children-2011>

Survey of metals in commercial infant foods, infant formula and non-infant specific foods, Hallmark Meat Hygiene.

Survey of metals in commercial infant foods, infant formula and non-infant specific foods. Report for the UK Food Standards Agency (FS102048), Fera

Addendum to the 2013 COT statement on potential risks from aluminium in the infant diet.

<https://cot.food.gov.uk/committee/committee-on-toxicity/cotstatements/cotstatementsyrs/cot-statements-2016/addendum-to-the-2013-cot-statement-on-aluminium>

Commission Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs.

Table 1. Average concentration data used to assess dietary exposure to metals and other elements in ready-to-feed infant formula

Ready-To-Feed Formula	Concentrations (µg/l)*															
	Al	Sb	As	iAs [^]	Cd	Cr	Cu	I	Fe	Pb	Mn	Hg	Ni	Se	Sn	Zn
First milk & hungrier milk (from birth)	18-34	0-1	0-0.3	0-0.2	0-0.2	0-3	376	143	5136	0-0.4	63	0-0.2	0-9	18	0-3	5974
Follow on milk (6 months +)	15-31	0-0.8	0-0.4	0-0.3	0-0.2	0-3	329	115	8785	0-0.5	71	0-0.2	0-7	17	0-3	5608
Growing up milk (12 months +)	15-29	0-0.8	0.3-0.7	0.2-0.5	0-0.3	0-3	346	140	10223	0-0.5	65	0-0.2	0-9	14	0-3	7615

* Values are presented as lower-bound (LB) to upper-bound (UB). The LB was calculated by treating concentration data < LOD as 0, while the UB was determined by treating values <LOD as equal to the LOD. If there is only one figure shown then all data were above the LOD.

[^] As samples were only tested for inorganic arsenic (iAs) where total arsenic (tAs) results were >10µg/kg, a factor of 70 % was applied to reported tAs to estimate iAs, for those samples not tested for iAs. The corresponding iAs estimates were then combined with the reported iAs results to calculate the lower bound and upper bound means for the exposure assessments, in accordance with the approach taken by EFSA in their 2009 opinion and 2014 report. Range reported as <LOD for those samples not tested for inorganic arsenic.

Table 2. Average concentration data used to assess dietary exposure to metals and other elements in dry infant formula (samples of dry formula were analysed 'as sold' and not reconstituted prior to analysis)

Dry Powder Formula	Concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$)*															
	Al	Sb	As	iAs [^]	Cd	Cr	Cu	I	Fe	Pb	Mn	Hg	Ni	Se	Sn	Zn
First milk & hungrier milk (from birth)	388-488	0-5	1-3	0.7-1.8	3-4	15-35	3007	948	42363	1-4	593	0-1	18-54	107	0-23	40388
Comfort (from birth)	767	0-5	1-3	0.9-1.9	0-2	37-73	2967	753	46600	0-5	603	0-1	0-40	173	0-24	42800
Follow on milk (from 6 months)	400-450	0-5	1-3	0.9-2	3	0-25	2855	913	72475	0-3	615	0-1	0-40	93	0-22	44500
Growing up milk (12 months +)	650	5-9	2-3	1.4-2.3	3-4	0-40	3195	1150	83950	0-4	580	0-1	0-40	105	0-22	60300
Soy based (from birth)	2550	0-6	7	4.6	11	35-55	2905	855	65250	0-5	2785	0-1	200	147	0-23	46000
Goat based (from birth and growing up)	950	0-5	9	6-6.3	0-2	40-45	4220	960	71900	6.5	800	0-1	0-45	137	0-35	47000
Organic milk [†]	1000	<5	14	~7	8	~30	3740	1030	47500	~3	2470	<1	<40	79	~40	49400

* Values are presented as lower-bound (LB) to upper-bound (UB). The LB was calculated by treating concentration data < LOD as 0, while the UB was determined by treating values <LOD as equal to the LOD. If there is only one figure shown then all data were above the LOD.

[^] As samples were only tested for inorganic arsenic (iAs) where total arsenic (tAs) results were >10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, a factor of 70 % was applied to reported tAs to estimate iAs, for those samples not tested for iAs. The corresponding iAs estimates were then combined with the reported iAs results to calculate the lower bound and upper bound means for the exposure assessments, in accordance with the approach taken by EFSA in their 2009 opinion and 2014 report. Range reported as <LOD for those samples not tested for inorganic arsenic.

[†] Contained milk and cereals

Table 3. Average concentration data used to assess dietary exposure to metals and other elements in commercial infant foods

Commercial Infant Foods	Concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$)*															
	Al	Sb	As	iAs [^]	Cd	Cr	Cu	I	Fe	Pb	Mn	Hg	Ni	Se	Sn	Zn
Cereal based foods and dishes	183-229	0-2	10	5-6	3	14-19	422	74-76	10813	0-1	2778	0	124-127	26	14-18	6460
Dairy based foods and dishes	861-878	0-3	11	3-7	2	24-34	347	85-87	8934-8984	1-2	871	0-1	23-44	15	80-86	8644
Fruit based foods and dishes	1125	0-3	9	1-4	2-3	43-54	862	22-27	7543	1-3	2436	0-1	92-117	6-7	43-50	4993-5002
Baby drinks	453	0-1	2	1	0	0-7	24	0-5	757	3	218	0-0	0-9	0	0	103
Other savoury based foods and dishes (no meat)	1995-1999	0-3	15	7-9	10	47-57	774	61-63	14821	3-5	1603	0-1	66-97	17	61-68	8640
Snacks (sweet and savoury)	5185	0-0	98	58-62	24	75	2202	4	28750	10	18125	0	292	45	0	12180
Meat and fish based foods and dishes (All [†])	1425-1427	0-3	15	2-4	9	35-49	595	14-22	7454	4-5	944	0-1	43-72	17	47-52	5190

* Values are presented as lower-bound (LB) to upper-bound (UB). The LB was calculated by treating concentration data < LOD as 0, while the UB was determined by treating values <LOD as equal to the LOD. If there is only one figure shown then all data were above the LOD.

[^] As samples were only tested for inorganic arsenic (iAs) where total arsenic (tAs) results were >10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, a factor of 70 % was applied to reported tAs to estimate iAs, for those samples not tested for iAs. The corresponding iAs estimates were then combined with the reported iAs results to calculate the lower bound and upper bound means for the exposure assessments, in accordance with the approach taken by EFSA in their 2009 opinion and 2014 report. Range reported as <LOD for those samples not tested for inorganic arsenic.

[†] Meat and fish based foods and dishes included beef, chicken, fish, ham, lamb, pork and turkey

Table 4. Average concentration data used to assess dietary exposure to metals and other elements in ‘other foods’

Food Group	Concentrations (µg/kg)*															
	Al	Sb	As	iAs [^]	Cd	Cr	Cu	I	Fe	Pb	Mn	Hg	Ni	Se	Sn	
Beverages	0-40	0-1	1	1	0	0-3	0-4	0-4	0-50	0	9-11	0	0-7	0	0-3	
Bread	4300	0-5	6	4	26	47-73	1797	23-30	21133	2-5	11677	0-1	0-80	46	0-16	
Canned vegetables	1780	0-3	1-2	1	7	23-40	1107	0-5	11100	5-7	1917	0-1	143-177	12	35767	
Cereal	1966-2760	0-3	59-60	37-38	26-29	42-83	1353-1683	14-23	35454-37788	5-6	10611-11639	0-1	78-127	14-30	12-19	
Dairy products	100-150	0-3	0-1	0-1	0-1	0-11	155	269	590-628	2	188-196	0-1	0-23	43	8-16	
Eggs	0-50	0-3	5	1-3	0-1	0-10	560	469	22700	0-1	360	0-1	0-20	245	0-8	
Fish	697-717	0-4	1730	0-10	11-12	17-33	537	515	7133	0-2	777	56	0-27	353	17-23	
Fresh fruit	328-363	0-1	1	1	0-1	0-5	578	0-3	1667	1	2739	0	22-32	1	0-4	
Fruit products	327	0-1	1	0-1	0	0-5	149	3-4	647	1	280	0	0-9	0	0-3	
Green vegetables	1990	0-1	2	1	5	0-11	1084	11-12	11565	2	2585	0	210	9	0-4	
Meat products	1920	0-3	3	2	4	50	690	0-11	8500	0-3	1650	0-1	0-50	61	0-8	
Milk	0-17	0-1	0	0	0	0-3	36	271	0-90	0	16	0	0-7	14	0-3	
Other vegetables	847-865	0-1	2	2	17	4-8	1288	0-3	5632	7-8	2244	0	163-171	18	10-14	
Potatoes	90	0-1	0	0	21	0-5	769	0-3	3160	0-1	1400	0	0-30	3	0-4	
Poultry	0-50	0-3	4	3	0-1	0-10	270	28	2900	0-1	90	0-1	0-20	83	0-8	

* Values are presented as lower-bound (LB) to upper-bound (UB). The LB was calculated by treating concentration data < LOD as 0, while the UB was determined by treating values <LOD as equal to the LOD. If there is only one figure shown then all data were above the LOD.

[^] As samples were only tested for inorganic arsenic (iAs) where total arsenic (tAs) results were >10µg/kg, a factor of 70 % was applied to reported tAs to estimate iAs, for those samples not tested for iAs. The corresponding iAs estimates were then combined with the reported iAs results to calculate the lower bound and upper bound means for the exposure assessments, in accordance with the approach taken by EFSA in their 2009 opinion and 2014 report. Range reported as <LOD for those samples not tested for inorganic arsenic

Table 5. Summary of estimated dietary exposure to metals and other elements for the infant formula, commercial infant foods and 'other' foods' groups.

Food Group	Consumer	Dietary exposures in UK infants aged 4 to 18 months ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg bw}/\text{day}$)														
		Al	Sb	As	iAs	Cd	Cr	Cu	I	Fe	Pb	Mn	Hg	Ni	Se	Sn
Infant Formula	Mean	0.64-1.1	0-0.030	0-0.013	0-0.010	0-0.010	0.0029-0.10	11	4.0	240	0-0.015	2.2	0-0.0061	0.010-0.25	0.020-0.53	0-0.090
	High level	2.0-3.6	0-0.10	0.012-0.040	0.010-0.030	0-0.022	0-0.32	37	14	760	0-0.046	6.9	0-0.020	0-0.90	0.060-1.8	0-0.31
Commercial Infant Foods	Mean	12	0.010-0.020	0.13	0.04-0.062	0.06	0.30-0.39	5.7	0.28-0.33	81	0.030-0.040	19	0.0012-0.010	0.60-0.80	0.14	0.36-0.41
	High level	54-55	0.040-0.10	0.58	0.19-0.26	0.27	1.4-1.8	26	1.6-1.7	370	0.13-0.17	78	0.010-0.030	2.6-3.6	0.67-0.70	1.9-2.1
Other Foods	Mean	19-20	0-0.050	0.78-0.79	0.090-0.10	0.19-0.20	0.26-0.48	16	5.3	160-170	0.040-0.070	63	0.020-0.030	0.92-1.5	0.8	38
	High level	50-51	0-0.12	4.2	0.35-0.37	0.52	0.81-1.2	39	19	450-460	0.12-0.16	170	0.13-0.15	2.8-3.8	2.1	250
Total	Mean	33-34	0.0040-0.11	0.91-0.94	0.14-0.18	0.25-0.27	0.59-1.0	37	11	550	0.071-0.12	85	0.022-0.046	1.6-2.6	1.1-1.6	38
	High level	74-76	0.029-0.21	4.3-4.4	0.41-0.47	0.57-0.59	1.7-2.5	69	23	1300	0.17-0.26	190	0.13-0.16	3.9-5.6	2.6-3.0	250

* Values are rounded to 2SF. Values are presented as estimates based on lower-bound (LB) to upper-bound (UB) concentration data. The LB was calculated by treating concentration data < LOD as 0, while the UB was determined by treating values <LOD as equal to the LOD. If there is only one figure shown then all concentration data were above the LOD.

Table 6. Breakdown of mean exposures to metals and other elements in infant formula (ready to feed and dry powder)

Food category	Mean exposure estimates (µg/kg bw/day)*															
	Al	Sb	As	iAs^	Cd	Cr	Cu	I	Fe	Pb	Mn	Hg	Ni	Se	Sn	Zn
Comfort milk	0.018	0	0	0	0	0.0010-0.0020	0.069	0.018	1.1	0	0.014	0	0-0.0010	0.0040	0-0.0010	1.0
First milk from birth (dry powder)	0.011-0.013	0	0	0	0	0-0.0010	0.081	0.026	1.2	0	0.016	0	0-0.0010	0.0030	0-0.0010	1.1
Follow on milk (6 months+) (dry powder)	0.014-0.016	0	0	0	0	0-0.0010	0.1	0.033	2.6	0	0.022	0	0-0.0010	0.0030	0-0.0010	1.6
Growing up milk (12 months+) (dry powder)	0.006	0	0	0	0	0	0.03	0.011	0.78	0	0.005	0	0	0.0010	0	0.56
Goat milk	0.007	0	0	0	0	0	0.03	0.007	0.51	0	0.006	0	0	0.0010	0	0.34
Organic milk	0.002	0	0	0	0	0	0.008	0.002	0.11	0	0.005	0	0	0	0	0.11
Soy milk	0.098	0	0	0	0	0.0010-0.0020	0.11	0.033	2.5	0	0.11	0	0.008	0.0060	0-0.0010	1.8
First milk from birth (ready to feed)	0.20-0.37	0-0.011	0-0.0030	0-0.0020	0-0.0020	0-0.033	4.1	1.6	56	0-0.0040	0.68	0-0.0020	0-0.098	0-0.20	0-0.033	65
Follow on milk (6 months+) (ready to feed)	0.24-0.50	0-0.013	0-0.0060	0-0.0050	0-0.0030	0-0.049	5.3	1.9	140	0-0.0080	1.15	0-0.0030	0-0.11	0-0.27	0-0.049	91
Growing up milk (12 months+) (ready to feed)	0.044-0.084	0-0.0020	0.0010-0.0020	0.001	0-0.0010	0-0.0090	1	0.41	30	0-0.0010	0.19	0-0.0010	0-0.026	0-0.041	0-0.009	22
Total	0.64-1.1	0-0.030	0.0010-0.013	0-0.010	0-0.010	0.0029-0.10	11	4	240	0-0.015	2.2	0-0.0061	0.010-0.25	0.020-0.53	0-0.090	180

* Values are presented as lower-bound (LB) to upper-bound (UB). The LB was calculated by treating concentration data < LOD as 0, while the UB was determined by treating values <LOD as equal to the LOD. If there is only one figure shown then all data were above the LOD.

^ As samples were only tested for inorganic arsenic (iAs) where total arsenic (tAs) results were >10µg/kg, a factor of 70 % was applied to reported tAs to estimate iAs, for those samples not tested for iAs. The corresponding iAs estimates were then combined with the reported iAs results to calculate the lower bound and upper bound means for the exposure assessments, in accordance with the approach taken by EFSA in their 2009 opinion and 2014 report. Range reported as <LOD for those samples not tested for inorganic arsenic.

Table 7. Breakdown of 97.5th percentile exposures to metals and other elements in infant formula (ready to feed and dry powder)

Food category	97.5 th percentile exposure estimates (µg/kg bw/day)*															
	Al	Sb	As	iAs [^]	Cd	Cr	Cu	I	Fe	Pb	Mn	Hg	Ni	Se	Sn	Zn
Comfort milk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
First milk from birth (dry powder)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Follow on milk (6 months+) (dry powder)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Growing up milk (12 months+) (dry powder)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Goat milk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Organic milk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Soy milk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
First milk from birth (ready to feed)	1.7-3.2	0-0.093	0-0.028	0-0.019	0-0.019	0-0.28	35	13	480	0-0.037	5.9	0-0.019	0-0.84	0-1.7	0-0.28	550
Follow on milk (6 months+) (ready to feed)	1.2-2.6	0-0.066	0-0.033	0-0.025	0-0.017	0-0.25	27	9.5	730	0-0.041	5.9	0-0.017	0-0.58	0-1.4	0-0.25	460
Growing up milk (12 months+) (ready to feed)	0.60-1.17	0-0.032	0.012-0.028	0.0080-0.020	0-0.012	0-0.12	14	5.6	410	0-0.020	2.6	0-0.0080	0-0.36	0-0.6	0-0.12	300
Total	2.0-3.6	0-0.10	0.012-0.040	0.010-0.030	0-0.022	0-0.32	37	14	760	0-0.046	6.9	0-0.020	0-0.90	0.060-1.8	0-0.31	600

* Values are presented as lower-bound (LB) to upper-bound (UB). The LB was calculated by treating concentration data < LOD as 0, while the UB was determined by treating values <LOD as equal to the LOD. If there is only one figure shown then all data were above the LOD.

[^] As samples were only tested for inorganic arsenic (iAs) where total arsenic (tAs) results were >10µg/kg, a factor of 70 % was applied to reported tAs to estimate iAs, for those samples not tested for iAs. The corresponding iAs estimates were then combined with the reported iAs results to calculate the lower bound and upper bound means for the exposure assessments, in accordance with the approach taken by EFSA in their 2009 opinion and 2014 report. Range reported as <LOD for those samples not tested for inorganic arsenic.

Table 8. Breakdown of mean exposures to metals and other elements in commercial infant foods

Food category	mean exposure estimates (µg/kg bw/day)*															
	Al	Sb	As	iAs^	Cd	Cr	Cu	I	Fe	Pb	Mn	Hg	Ni	Se	Sn	Zn
Cereal based foods and dishes	0.17-0.20	0-0.0020	0.0090	0.005	0.0030	0.013-0.017	0.39	0.068-0.070	9.9	0-0.0010	2.5	0	0.11-0.11	0.024	0.012-0.016	5.9
Dairy based foods and dishes	0.56-0.57	0-0.0020	0.007	0.002-0.005	0.0010	0.015-0.022	0.22	0.055-0.056	5.8	0.0010	0.56	0-0.0010	0.015-0.028	0.010	0.052-0.056	5.6
Fruit based foods and dishes	2.1	0-0.0060	0.017	0.002-0.008	0.0040-0.0060	0.081-0.10	1.6	0.042-0.051	14	0.0020-0.0060	4.6	0-0.0020	0.17-0.22	0.011-0.013	0.081-0.095	9.5
Meat and fish based foods and dishes (All [†])	4.4	0-0.0090	0.046	0.006-0.012	0.027	0.11-0.15	1.8	0.043-0.067	23	0.012-0.015	2.9	0-0.0030	0.13-0.22	0.052	0.14-0.16	16
Baby drinks	0.56	0-0.0010	0.0020	0.001	0	0-0.0090	0.029	0-0.0060	0.93	0.0040	0.27	0	0-0.011	0	0	0.13
Other savoury based foods and dishes (no meat)	2.4	0-0.0040	0.018	0.009-0.011	0.012	0.057-0.069	0.94	0.074-0.077	18	0.0040-0.0060	2.0	0-0.0010	0.080-0.12	0.021	0.074-0.083	10
Snacks (sweet and savoury)	1.7	0	0.032	0.019-0.02	0.0080	0.024	0.71	0.0010	9.3	0.0030	5.8	0	0.094	0.014	0	3.9
Total	12	0.010-0.020	0.13	0.043-0.062	0.06	0.30-0.39	5.7	0.28-0.33	81	0.030-0.040	19	0.0012-0.010	0.60-0.80	0.14	0.36-0.41	51

* Values are presented as lower-bound (LB) to upper-bound (UB). The LB was calculated by treating concentration data < LOD as 0, while the UB was determined by treating values <LOD as equal to the LOD. If there is only one figure shown then all data were above the LOD.

^ As samples were only tested for inorganic arsenic (iAs) where total arsenic (tAs) results were >10µg/kg, a factor of 70 % was applied to reported tAs to estimate iAs, for those samples not tested for iAs. The corresponding iAs estimates were then combined with the reported iAs results to calculate the lower bound and upper bound means for the exposure assessments, in accordance with the approach taken by EFSA in their 2009 opinion and 2014 report. Range reported as <LOD for those samples not tested for inorganic arsenic.

Table 9. Breakdown of 97.5th percentile exposures to metals and other elements in commercial infant foods

Food category	97.5 th percentile exposure estimates (µg/kg bw/day)*															
	Al	Sb	As	iAs [^]	Cd	Cr	Cu	I	Fe	Pb	Mn	Hg	Ni	Se	Sn	Zn
Cereal based foods and dishes	1.3-1.6	0-0.014	0.071	0.035-0.042	0.021	0.099-0.13	3.0	0.52-0.54	76	0-0.0070	20	0	0.84-0.86	0.18	0.092-0.12	46
Dairy based foods and dishes	6.1-6.2	0-0.021	0.077	0.021-0.049	0.014	0.17-0.24	2.4	0.60-0.61	63	0.0070-0.014	6.1	0-0.0070	0.16-0.31	0.11	0.56-0.61	61
Fruit based foods and dishes	14	0-0.037	0.11	0.012-0.05	0.025-0.037	0.53-0.67	11	0.27-0.34	94	0.012-0.037	30	0-0.012	1.1-1.5	0.074-0.087	0.53-0.62	62
Meat and fish based foods and dishes (All [†])	28	0-0.059	0.30	0.039-0.079	0.18	0.69-0.96	12	0.28-0.43	150	0.079-0.098	19	0-0.020	0.85-1.4	0.34	0.93-1.0	100
Baby drinks	6.8	0-0.015	0.030	0.015	0	0-0.11	0.36	0-0.075	11	0.045	3.3	0	0-0.14	0	0	1.5
Other savoury based foods and dishes (no meat)	19	0-0.028	0.14	0.065-0.083	0.093	0.44-0.53	7.2	0.57-0.58	140	0.028-0.046	15	0-0.010	0.61-0.90	0.16	0.57-0.63	80
Snacks (sweet and savoury)	10	0	0.19	0.112-0.12	0.046	0.15	4.3	0.0080	56	0.019	35	0	0.56	0.087	0	34
Total	54-55	0.040-0.10	0.58	0.187-0.265	0.27	1.4-1.8	26	1.6-1.7	370	0.13-0.17	78	0.010-0.030	2.6-3.6	0.67-0.70	1.9-2.1	250

* Values are presented as lower-bound (LB) to upper-bound (UB). The LB was calculated by treating concentration data < LOD as 0, while the UB was determined by treating values <LOD as equal to the LOD. If there is only one figure shown then all data were above the LOD.

[^] As samples were only tested for inorganic arsenic (iAs) where total arsenic (tAs) results were >10µg/kg, a factor of 70 % was applied to reported tAs to estimate iAs, for those samples not tested for iAs. The corresponding iAs estimates were then combined with the reported iAs results to calculate the lower bound and upper bound means for the exposure assessments, in accordance with the approach taken by EFSA in their 2009 opinion and 2014 report. Range reported as <LOD for those samples not tested for inorganic arsenic.

[†] Meat and fish based foods and dishes included beef, chicken, fish, ham, lamb, pork a

Table 10. Breakdown of mean exposures to metals and other elements in ‘other foods’

Food category	mean exposure estimates (µg/kg bw/day)*															
	Al	Sb	As	iAs^	Cd	Cr	Cu	I	Fe	Pb	Mn	Hg	Ni	Se	Sn	Zn
Beverages	0-0.036	0-0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0	0-0.0030	0-0.0040	0-0.0040	0-0.045	0	0.0080-0.0090	0	0-0.0060	0	0-0.0030	0-0.024
Bread	5.8	0-0.0070	0.0080	0.0050	0.034	0.062-0.098	2.4	0.031-0.040	28	0.0030-0.0070	16	0-0.0010	0-0.1070	0.062	0-0.021	17
Canned vegetables	1.9	0-0.0030	0.0010-0.0020	0.0010	0.008	0.024-0.042	1.2	0-0.0050	12	0.0050-0.0070	2.0	0-0.0010	0.15-0.19	0.013	37	2.8
Cereal	5.3	0-0.0060	0.115	0.074	0.055	0.15-0.16	3.2	0.036-0.043	73	0.0080-0.010	22	0-0.0020	0.17-0.24	0.057	0.023-0.037	19
Dairy products	0.32-0.48	0-0.0090	0-0.0030	0-0.0020	0-0.0020	0-0.036	0.50	0.86	1.9-2.0	0.0060-0.0080	0.61-0.63	0-0.0020	0-0.072	0.14	0.026-0.051	38
Eggs	0-0.019	0-0.0010	0.0020	0-0.0010	0	0-0.0040	0.21	0.18	8.6	0	0.14	0	0-0.0080	0.092	0-0.0030	4.8
Fish	0.26-0.26	0-0.0010	0.635	0-0.0040	0.0040	0.0060-0.012	0.20	0.19	2.6	0-0.0010	0.29	0.020	0-0.010	0.13	0.0060-0.0080	2.1
Fresh fruit	1.3-1.5	0-0.0050	0.0050-0.0060	0.0030-0.0040	0.0020-0.0030	0-0.020	2.4	0-0.013	6.8	0.0030-0.0050	11	0-0.0010	0.089-0.13	0.0040-0.0060	0-0.016	4.1
Fruit products	0.37	0-0.0010	0.0010	0-0.0010	0	0-0.0060	0.17	0.0030-0.0050	0.73	0.0010	0.32	0	0-0.011	0	0-0.0030	0.16-0.17
Green vegetables	1.3	0-0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0040	0-0.0070	0.72	0.0070-0.0080	7.7	0.0010-0.0020	1.7	0	0.14	0.0060	0-0.0030	4.2
Meat products	0.57	0-0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.015	0.21	0-0.0030	2.5	0-0.0010	0.49	0	0-0.015	0.018	0-0.0020	3.2
Milk	0-0.25	0-0.012	0-0.0030	0-0.0020	0-0.0030	0-0.044	0.52	3.9	0-1.3	0-0.0060	0.23	0-0.0030	0-0.10	0.21	0-0.044	44
Other vegetables	1.9	0-0.0030	0.0050-0.0060	0.0040	0.037	0.0080-0.019	2.9	0-0.0060	13	0.016-0.018	5.0	0-0.0010	0.37-0.38	0.039-0.040	0.023-0.031	8.9
Potato	0.20	0-0.0030	0-0.0010	0-0.0010	0.047	0-0.011	1.7	0-0.0060	7.1	0-0.0010	3.1	0-0.0010	0-0.067	0.0070	0-0.0090	5.5
Poultry	0-0.016	0-0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0	0-0.0030	0.087	0.0090	0.94	0	0.029	0	0-0.0060	0.027	0-0.0030	1.9
Total	19-20	0-0.050	0.78-0.79	0.090-0.10	0.19-0.20	0.26-0.48	16	5.3	160-170	0.040-0.070	63	0.020-0.030	0.92-1.5	0.8	38	160

* Values are presented as lower-bound (LB) to upper-bound (UB). The LB was calculated by treating concentration data < LOD as 0, while the UB was determined by treating values <LOD as equal to the LOD. If there is only one figure shown then all data were above the LOD.

^ As samples were only tested for inorganic arsenic (iAs) where total arsenic (tAs) results were >10µg/kg, a factor of 70 % was applied to reported tAs to estimate iAs, for those samples not tested for iAs. The corresponding iAs estimates were then combined with the reported iAs results to calculate the lower bound and upper bound means for the exposure assessments, in accordance with the approach taken by EFSA in their 2009 opinion and 2014 report. Range reported as <LOD for those samples not tested for inorganic arsenic.

Table 11. Breakdown of 97.5th percentile exposures to metals and other elements in ‘other foods’

Food category	97.5 th percentile exposure estimates (µg/kg bw/day)*															
	Al	Sb	As	iAs^	Cd	Cr	Cu	I	Fe	Pb	Mn	Hg	Ni	Se	Sn	Zn
Beverages	0-0.43	0-0.0090	0.014	0.010	0-0.0020	0-0.032	0-0.043	0-0.043	0-0.54	0-0.0030	0.097-0.11	0-0.0020	0-0.075	0-0.0050	0-0.032	0-0.29
Bread	24	0-0.028	0.032	0.022	0.14	0.26-0.42	10	0.13-0.17	120	0.013-0.030	66	0-0.0060	0-0.45	0.26	0-0.090	72
Canned vegetables	12	0-0.017	0.0070-0.014	0.0050-0.010	0.051	0.16-0.28	7.6	0-0.035	77	0.035-0.046	13	0-0.0030	0.99-1.2	0.085	250	18
Cereal	24	0-0.029	0.52	0.33	0.25	0.67-0.71	15	0.16-0.20	330	0.035-0.043	100	0-0.0080	0.78-1.1	0.26	0.10-0.17	87
Dairy products	1.2-1.8	0-0.035	0-0.012	0-0.0080	0-0.0070	0-0.14	1.9	3.3	7.2-7.7	0.021-0.029	2.3-2.4	0-0.0070	0-0.28	0.53	0.098-0.20	150
Eggs	0-0.14	0-0.0070	0.013	0.0020-0.0090	0-0.0010	0-0.028	1.6	1.3	63	0-0.0030	1.0	0-0.0030	0-0.055	0.68	0-0.022	35
Fish	1.6-1.7	0-0.0090	4.1	0-0.023	0.027	0.039-0.078	1.3	1.2	17	0-0.0050	1.8	0.13	0-0.063	0.83	0.041-0.053	13
Fresh fruit	5.0-5.5	0-0.020	0.017-0.021	0.012-0.014	0.0070-0.012	0-0.075	8.7	0-0.050	25	0.010-0.018	41	0-0.0050	0.33-0.48	0.013-0.021	0-0.060	15
Fruit products	3.4	0-0.0090	0.0070-0.011	0.0050-0.0070	0-0.0020	0-0.060	1.7	0.030-0.045	7.3	0.010-0.013	3.2	0-0.0020	0-0.11	0-0.0030	0-0.034	1.62-1.73
Green vegetables	7.2	0-0.0050	0.0070	0.0050	0.019	0-0.038	3.9	0.038-0.042	42	0.0060-0.0090	9.3	0-0.0010	0.76	0.032	0-0.014	23
Meat products	5.1	0-0.0080	0.0090	0.0060	0.011	0.13	1.8	0-0.029	23	0-0.0080	4.4	0-0.0010	0-0.13	0.16	0-0.021	29
Milk	0-1.1	0-0.051	0-0.013	0-0.0090	0-0.013	0-0.19	2.3	17	0-5.8	0-0.026	1.0	0-0.0130	0-0.45	0.91	0-0.19	200
Other vegetables	9.0-9.2	0-0.014	0.024-0.026	0.017-0.018	0.18	0.039-0.088	14	0-0.030	60	0.078-0.084	24	0-0.0040	1.7-1.8	0.19	0.11-0.15	42
Potato	0.86	0-0.012	0-0.0040	0-0.0030	0.20	0-0.048	7.4	0-0.024	30	0-0.0060	13	0-0.0030	0-0.29	0.030	0-0.038	23
Poultry	0-0.11	0-0.0060	0.0090	0.0060	0-0.0010	0-0.022	0.61	0.063	6.5	0-0.0020	0.2	0-0.0010	0-0.045	0.19	0-0.018	13
Total	50-51	0-0.12	4.2	0.35-0.37	0.52	0.81-1.2	39	19	450-460	0.12-0.16	170	0.13-0.15	2.8-3.8	2.1	250	370

* Values are presented as lower-bound (LB) to upper-bound (UB). The LB was calculated by treating concentration data < LOD as 0, while the UB was determined by treating values <LOD as equal to the LOD. If there is only one figure shown then all data were above the LOD.

^ As samples were only tested for inorganic arsenic (iAs) where total arsenic (tAs) results were >10µg/kg, a factor of 70 % was applied to reported tAs to estimate iAs, for those samples not tested for iAs. The corresponding iAs estimates were then combined with the reported iAs results to calculate the lower bound and upper bound means for the exposure assessments, in accordance with the approach taken by EFSA in their 2009 opinion and 2014 report. Range reported as <LOD for those samples not tested for inorganic arsenic.