

Sanitary Survey Review for Lough Foyle

Produced by AQUAFACT part of the APEM group

On behalf of The Food Standards Agency in Northern Ireland March 2022

Aquafact part of the APEM group 12 Kilkerrin park Tuam Road Galway city <u>www.aquafact.ie</u> info@aquafact.ie

Table of Contents

Glo	Glossary	
1.	Executive Summary	10
2.	Overview of the Fishery/Production Area	11
2.1	Location/Extent of Growing/Harvesting Area	11
2.2	Description of the Area	16
3.	Hydrography/Hydrodynamics	17
3.1	Tides and Currents	17
3.2	Rainfall Data	29
3.3	Wind and Waves	45
3.4	River Discharges	54
3.5	Depth	59
3.6	Salinity	61
3.7	Turbidity	65
3.8	Simple/Complex Models	66
3.9	Discussion	66
4.	Identification of Pollution Sources	68
4.1	Desktop Survey	68
5.	Shellfish and Water Sampling	139
5.1	Historical Data	139
6.	Production Areas for Monitoring	160
7.	Discussion/Conclusion	162
8.	Sampling Plan	166
8.1	Production Areas and Monitoring Points	166
8.2	Sampling Plan	167
9.	References	

List of Figures

Figure 3.13: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data from 1981 to 2010 for Northern
Ireland (Source: Met Office, 2010) 34
Figure 3.14: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data along the Lough Foyle coast from
1971-2000 (Source: Met Office, 2010) 42
Figure 3.15: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data along the Lough Foyle coast from
1981-2010 (Source: Met Office, 2010) 43
Figure 3.16: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data along the Lough Foyle coast from
2005-2009 (Source: Met Eireann, 2010a) 43
Figure 3.17: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data at Malin Head, Co. Donegal from
2005 to 2009 (Source: Met Eireann, 2010a) 44
Figure 3.18: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data at Malin Head, Co. Donegal from
2010 to 2015 (Source: Met Eireann, 2021)44
Figure 3.19: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data at Malin Head, Co. Donegal from
2016 to 2020 (Source: Met Eireann, 2021)45
Figure 3.20: Wind roses for Malin Head from 2005 to 2009 and 2015 to 2020.
(Source: Met Eireann, 2021a) 50
Figure 3.21: Computed wave height, peak period and near-bed orbital velocity for
wind speed of 7 m/s and a wind direction of 240° (Source: Deltares, 2009) 53
Figure 3.22: Rivers and river monitoring stations (Source: NRFA, 2020)55
Figure 3.23: River Mourne discharge at Drumnabouy House a) boxplot distribution of
discharge per month and b) recorded time series (Source: Deltares, 2009) 56
Figure 3.24: Average and monthly flow data from the Mourne at Drumnabuoy House
2009 -2015 (Source: NRFA, 2021)58
Figure 3.25: Average and monthly flow data from the Mourne at Drumnabuoy House
2016 -2020 (Source: NRFA, 2021) 58
Figure 3.26: Depths in Lough Foyle (Source: The Loughs Agency) 60
Figure 3.27: Location of the salinity and turbidity monitoring sites in Lough Foyle 62
Figure 3.28: Salinity profile at Lough Foyle South EMS from July 2008 to March 2009.
(Source: Loughs Agency) 64
Figure 3.29: Salinity profile at Lough Foyle South EMS from September 2020 to
October 2020. (Source: Loughs Agency) 64

Figure 3.30: Salinity profile at Lough Foyle North EMS from July 2008 to March 2009
(Source: Loughs Agency)65
Figure 4.1: Distance limits used for assessment of the pollution sources
Figure 4.2: Counties within a 20km radius of Lough Foyle
Figure 4.3: SOAs and EDs within a 20km radius of Lough Foyle
Figure 4.4: Legend to accompany Figure 4.372
Figure 4.5: Population change within 20km of Lough Foyle from 2006 to 2016 74
Figure 4.6: Tourist facilities within the Lough Foyle Catchment Area
Figure 4.7: Seasonal variation of <i>E. coli</i> in mussel flesh from M2 monitoring point 82
Figure 4.8: Seasonal variation of <i>E. coli</i> in mussels flesh from M3 monitoring point 82
Figure 4.9: Seasonal variation of <i>E. coli</i> in mussel flesh from M4 monitoring point 83
Figure 4.10: Seasonal variation of <i>E. coli</i> in native oyster flesh from O1 monitoring point
Figure 4.11: Seasonal variation of <i>E. coli</i> in native oyster flesh from O2 monitoring point
Figure 4.12: Seasonal variation of <i>E. coli</i> in native oyster flesh from PO1 monitoring point
Figure 4.13: WWTWs within the Lough Foyle Catchment Area (Source: NI Water/ EPA)
Figure 4.14: Location of Continuous Sewage Discharges within the Lough Foyle
Catchment Area (Source: NI Water/ EPA)93
Figure 4.15: All storm water and combined sewer overflow discharges within 20km of
Lough Foyle (Source: NI Water/ EPA)97
Figure 4.16: All Pumping station overflow discharges to the south of Lough Foyle within 20km (Source: NI Water/ EPA)
Figure 4.17: All Pumping station overflow discharges to the south east of Lough Foyle within 20km (Source: NI Water/ EPA)
Figure 4.18: All Pumping station overflow discharges to the east of Lough Foyle within 20km (Source: NI Water/ EPA)
Figure 4.19: All septic tanks within the Lough Foyle Catchment Area (Source: NI Water)

Figure 4.20: All private sewage systems within the Lough Foyle Catchment Area
(Source: NI Water)102
Figure 4.21: All industrial discharges within 20km of Lough Foyle (Source: NIEA water
information request viewer) 119
Figure 4.22: Corine land use within 20km of Lough Foyle 2009 (Bottom) and 2018
(Top) (Source: CLC, 2018) 121
Figure 4.23: Corine land use legend for figure 4.19
Figure 4.24: Breakdown of land use within 20km of Lough Foyle 2009 (Top) and 2018
(Bottom)123
Figure 4.25: Number of farms within 20km of Lough Foyle for 2009 (top) and 2018
(bottom) (Source: DAERA, 2009 & 2018: CSO, 2019a) 126
Figure 4.26: Area farmed (ha) within 20km of Lough Foyle for 2009 (top) and 2018
(bottom) (Source: DAERA, 2009 & 2018; CSO, 2019a) 127
Figure 4.27: Total crops within 20km of Lough Foyle for 2009 (top) and 2018 (bottom)
(Source: DAERA, 2009 & 2018; CSO, 2019a) 128
Figure 4.28: Total grasses within 20km of Lough Foyle for 2009 (top) and 2018
(bottom) (Source: DAERA, 2009 & 2018; CSO, 2019a) 129
Figure 4.29: Cattle within 20km of Lough Foyle for 2009 (top) and 2018 (bottom)
(Source: DAERA, 2009 & 2018; CSO, 2019a)130
Figure 4.30: Sheep within 20km of Lough Foyle for 2009 (top) and 2018 (bottom)
(Source: DAERA, 2009 & 2018; CSO, 2019a)131
Figure 4.31: Pigs within 20km of Lough Foyle for 2009 (top) and 2018 (bottom)
(Source: DAERA, 2009 & 2018; CSO, 2019a)132
Figure 4.32: Poultry within 20km of Lough Foyle for 2009 (Left) and 2018 (right)
(Source: DAERA, 2009 & 2018; CSO, 2019a)133
Figure 4.33: Location of all boating facilities and activities in Lough Foyle (Source:
DAERA-NI, 2021) 136
Figure 4.34: Lough Foyle SPA and Ramsar Sites 138
Figure 5.1: Locations of FSA in NI and SFPA shellfish monitoring points for
classification purposes 142
Figure 5.2: <i>E. coli</i> results from mussels at M1 from 2011-June 2020 (Source: SFPA).

Figure 5.3: <i>E. coli</i> levels from mussels at M2 from 2011 to June 2020 (Source:
SFPA)
Figure 5.4: <i>E. coli</i> levels from mussels at M3 from 2011 to 2020 (Source: FSA in NI).
Figure 5.5: <i>E. coli</i> levels from mussels at M4 from 2011 - 2020 (Source: FSA in NI).
Figure 5.6: <i>E. coli</i> levels from native oysters at O1 from 2011 - 2016 (Source: SFPA).
Figure 5.7: <i>E. coli</i> levels from native oysters at O2 from 2011 - 2016 (Source: SFPA).
Figure 5.8: <i>E. coli</i> levels from native oysters at O3 from 2011 - 2016 (Source: FSA in
NI)
Figure 5.9: <i>E. coli</i> levels from native oysters at O4 from 2011 - 2016 (Source: FSA in
NI)
Figure 5.10: <i>E. coli</i> levels from pacific oysters at PO1 from 2011 - 2020 (Source:
SFPA)
Figure 5.11: Trend in geometric mean of <i>E. coli</i> levels from 2011 to 2020 for all 9
monitoring points
Figure 6.1: Production Areas and RMPs from 2010 sanitary survey 161
Figure 8.1: Lough Foyle shellfish productin areas and RMPs 2022

List of Tables

Table 3.1 River Foyle (Lisahally) tidal characteristics (Source: UKHO, 2006 and tidal
analysis carried out by Deltares, 2009) 19
Table 3.2: Rainfall range and median monthly rainfall (mm) data along the Lough
Foyle coastline from 1971 to 2000 (Source: Met Office, 2010)
Table 3.3: Rainfall range and median monthly rainfall (mm) data along the Lough
Foyle coastline from 1981 to 2010 (Source: Met Office, 2010)
Table 3.4: Total seasonal rainfall values (mm) from 1971-2000 based on the median
rainfall value (Source: Met Office, 2010)
Table 3.5: Total seasonal rainfall values (mm) from 1981-2010 based on the median
rainfall value (Source: Met Office, 2010)

Table 3.6: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data at Malin Head, Co. Donegal from 2005
to 2009 (Source: Met Eireann, 2010a) 37
Table 3.7: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data at Malin Head, Co. Donegal from 2010
to 2015 (Source: Met Eireann, 2021a) 39
Table 3.8: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data at Malin Head, Co. Donegal from 2016
to 2020 (Source: Met Eireann, 2021b) 40
Table 3.9: Total seasonal rainfall (mm) at Malin Head from 2005-2009 (Source: Met
Eireann, 2010a)
Table 3.10: Total seasonal rainfall (mm) at Malin Head from 2010-2015 (Source: Met
Eireann, 2010a)
Table 3.11: Total seasonal rainfall (mm) at Malin Head from 2016-2020 (Source: Met
Eireann, 2010a)
Table 3.12: Wind speed and direction data for Malin Head from 2005-2009 (Source:
Met Eireann, 2010a) 47
Table 3.13: Wind speed and direction data for Malin Head from 2016-2020 (Source:
Met Eireann, 2021a) 48
Table 3.14: Seasonal averages (knots) for Malin Head wind data 2005 - 2009
(Source: Met Eireann, 2010a) 49
Table 3.15: Seasonal averages (knots) for Malin Head wind data 2016 – 2020
(Source: Met Eireann, 2021a)49
Table 3.16: River discharges m ³ /s (Source: Rivers Agency in Deltares, 2009) 57
Table 3.17 Depth and Turbidity (NTU) in Lough Foyle in 2020
Table 4.1: Human population within the Lough Foyle Catchment Area (Source:
NISRA, 2020: CSO, 2019)75
Table 4.2: WWTWs within the Lough Foyle Catchment Area (Source: NI Water/ EPA).
Table 4.3: WWTW Continuous Discharges within the Lough Foyle Catchment Area
(Source: NI Water/ EPA)94
Table 4.4: CSO and WwPS overflows within the Lough Foyle catchment Area
(Source: NI Water/ EPA) 103
Table 4.5: Septic tanks within the Lough Foyle catchment Area (Source: NI Water).

Table 4.6: Potential daily loading of <i>E. coli</i> (Jones & White, 1984) 125	
Table 4.7: Total number of water birds in Lough Foyle from 2002/03 to 2006/07 and	
2015/16 to 2019/20 (Source: Frost et al., 2020; Birdwatch Ireland) 137	
Table 5.1: Classification system for shellfish harvesting areas	
Table 5.2: Current and historical classification of shellfish beds in Lough Foyle (2011	
– 2020)	
Table 5.3: Summary statistics of historical E. coli data monitored from shellfish beds	
in Lough Foyle 155	
Table 5.4: Variation of annual geometric means of <i>E. coli</i> from shellfish monitoring	
points in Lough Foyle 157	
Table 7.1: Lough Foyle RMPS coordinates 166	

List of Appendices

Appendix 1: Statistical Analysis

Glossary

Acronym	Definition
AFBI	Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute
ANOVA	Analysis Of Variance
ASP	Amnesic Shellfish Poisoning
Bathymetry	The measurement of water depth at various places of
	a water body
BOD	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
BTO	British Trust for Ornithology
CD	Chart Datum; is the level of water that charted depths
	displayed on a nautical chart are measured from.
	Common chart datums are lowest astronomical tide and
	mean lower low water.
CEFAS	Centre for Environmental, Fisheries & Aquaculture Science
CEH	Centre for Ecology & Hydrology
CSO	Combined Sewer Overflow
DAERA	Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs
Depuration	The process of purification or removal of impurities
EC	European Communities
E. coli	Escherichia coli
EMS	Environmental Monitoring Stations
Fetch	The distance a wave can travel towards land without being
	blocked.
FSA in NI	Food Standards Agency in Northern Ireland
Geometric Mean	The nth root of the product of n numbers (The average of the
	logarithmic values of a data set, converted back to a
	base 10 number).
GIS	Geographical Information Systems
GPS	Global Positioning System
Hydrodynamic	Forces in or motions of liquids

Acronym	Definition
Hydrography	The description and analysis of the physical conditions,
	boundaries, flows and related characteristics of water bodies
Marpol 73/78	International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from S
	1973 as modified by the Protocol of 1978. Marpol is short for
	Marine Pollution, 73 for 1973 and 78 for 1978.
MPN	Most Probable Number
NI Water	Northern Ireland Water
NRFA	National River Flow Archive
Pathogenic	Capable of causing disease
p.e.	Population Equivalent
PSU	Practical Salinity Units
RAMSAR	A term adopted following an international conference, held in
	1971 in Ramsar in Iran, to identify wetland sites of
	international importance, especially as waterfowl habitat.
Regulation (EU) 2017	of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 March
	2017 on official controls and other official activities performed
	ensure the application of food and feed law, rules on animal
	health and welfare, plant health and plant protection products
RMP	Representative Monitoring Point
SAC	Special Area of Conservation
SMILE	Sustainable Mariculture in northern Irish Lough Ecosystems
SOA	Super Output Areas or ward
SPA	Special Protection Area
SPS	Sewage Pumping Station
SS	Suspended Solids
Suspension feeders	Animals that feed on small particles suspended in water
Telemetry	The measurement and transmission of data from remote
	sources to receiving stations for recording and analysis
UKAS	United Kingdom Accreditation Service
UKHO	United Kingdom Hydrographic Office
WeBS	Wetland Bird Survey

Acronym	Definition
WWTW	Waste Water Treatment Works

1. Executive Summary

Under Regulation (EU) 2017/625 and its subsequent Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/627, there is a requirement for competent authorities intending to classify bivalve production and relaying areas to undertake a sanitary survey. The purpose of this is to determine the extent to which potential sources of pollution may impact on a production area and ultimately inform the sampling plan for the Official Control Microbiological Monitoring Programme, the results of which determine the annual classification for bivalve mollusc production areas. In accordance with the EURL Guide to Good Practice on the microbiological monitoring of bivalve mollusc harvesting areas, a re-evaluation of pollution sources and the sampling plan (primary sanitary survey) should be undertaken if a time trigger (6 years or > since last survey) or change in the environment has occurred. As the sanitary survey for Lough Foyle was completed in 2010 a review of this sanitary survey is required. This report will review any changes to Lough Foyle and assess whether or not the changes are likely to affect the microbiological concentration of the classified production area.

Lough Foyle is a 186km2 shallow estuarine sea Lough located along the northern coast of Ireland between Co. Donegal in the Republic of Ireland and County Derry in Northern Ireland. It exhibits extensive intertidal and subtidal areas of mud flats and sand flats, which are intersected by tidal channels. The Lough is relatively shallow, with an average depth of approximately 4m. The deeper tidal channels reach an average depth of approximately 8-12m, whereas the entrance channel, where the width is constrained by headlands, is over 20m deep. The Lough supports populations of blue mussels (*Mytilus edulis*), native oysters (*Ostrea edulis*) and Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*), all of which have designated fisheries within the Lough.

This report attempts to document and quantify all known sources of pollution to the Lough. It was concluded that the main sources of pollution in Lough Foyle come from direct sewage discharges into the Lough and into the Rivers Foyle, Faughan and Roe and from non-point

sources related to agricultural land-use in the wider Foyle area. There are also seasonal variations in the contribution of microbiological sources of contamination from wildfowl (birds), boats (shipping and recreational activity) and tourism.

The south-eastern section of the Lough is more vulnerable to pollution due to the shallow depths (increased suspended sediment concentration) and weak currents than the north-western section which has deeper depths and much stronger currents. It was on the basis of hydrodynamic and spatial features (for example, areas of similar depth, tidal currents, suspended sediment levels and freshwater influence) that resulted in the Lough been divided into 4 production areas. Each of these production areas contain one Representative Monitoring Point (RMP) for each of the species found within it for example, blue mussel, native oyster and Pacific oyster. In total there are 9 RMPs in the Lough, to be sampled monthly.

2. Overview of the Fishery/Production Area

2.1 Location/Extent of Growing/Harvesting Area

Figure 2.1 shows the locations of the blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*) grounds within Lough Foyle. This map was produced following an extensive baseline survey by CEFAS (Centre for Environmental, Fisheries & Aquaculture Science) (2007). During the CEFAS (2007) survey, it was not possible to distinguish between wild and re-laid mussels in all cases; however, experienced opinions were sought as to the likely locations of wild and re-laid mussels. The mussel grounds that could not be differentiated were labelled 'undifferentiated mussel'. There is 23.34km² of re-laid mussel ground, 4.11km² of wild mussel ground, 7.62km² of undifferentiated mussel ground and 2km² of intertidal mussel beds. The intertidal mussel beds are located at Vances Point, north of Leper's Point and south of Ture Point on the western shoreline, at Longfield Bank east to Cross Bank on the southern shoreline and at Shell Bank and approximately 1km west of Balls Point on the eastern shoreline.






Figure 2.2 shows the native oyster (*Ostrea edulis*) grounds within Lough Foyle. This map was also produced following an extensive baseline survey by CEFAS (2007). The oyster grounds were typified not only by the presence of oysters but also of suitable shell cultch. The cultch typically contained oyster shell, but also clam, cockle and mussel shell. The oyster ground shown in Figure 2.2 includes locations that, while containing few if any oysters, contained quantities of cultch which would suggest that it had supported oysters in the past and might do so again in the future. There is 63.83km² of potential oyster grounds and 0.02km² of intertidal Pacific oyster grounds, which are farmed within Lough Foyle. The intertidal oyster grounds are located along the western shoreline and extend from Culmore Point to Black Point located some 13km northeast. Figure 2.2 also shows the wild Pacific oyster sites recorded on native oyster beds during a Loughs Agency survey in 2009 (Loughs Agency, 2009a). Wild Pacific oyster populations were observed in 25 different locations around the Lough. Figure 2.3 shows oyster density class recorded from oyster beds during a Loughs Agency survey in 2010 (Loughs Agency, 2010). The High class oysters are located at The

JN1588

Perch, between Quigleys Point and Vances Point, between Ture Point and Quigley's Point and in the Crooked Channel. The majority of Medium class oysters are located between Ture Point and Castlecary, in the East Channel, South Middle Bank, Crooked Channel and northwest and northeast of the Crooked Channel. The remaining locations are all of a Low class.

Data on oyster density for 2020 was taken from the Loughs Agency's autumn 2020 prefishery survey (Loughs Agency, 2020). It can be see in Figure 2.4 that the abundance of native oysters was higher in 2020 than in 2010. Figure 2.5 shows the adult density of native oysters from 2008 to 2020. It can be seen that there is a high level of variability in density from year to year.

At the time of writing there was no available recent data on mussel densities in the lough.

Figure 2.2: Oyster grounds located within Lough Foyle (Source: CEFAS, 2007 & Loughs Agency).




Figure 2.3: Oyster density class in Lough Foyle (Source: The Loughs Agency).




Figure 2.4: Lough Foyle native oyster abundance 2020 (Loughs Agency, 2020)





Figure 2.5: Lough Foyle native oyster abundance 2008 - 2020 (Loughs Agency, 2020)



2.2 Description of the Area

Lough Foyle is a 186km² shallow estuarine sea Lough located along the northern coast of Ireland between Co. Donegal in the Republic of Ireland and County Derry in Northern Ireland. The littoral communities found in Lough Foyle reflect the dominance of intertidal sands and muds (Ramsar, 2009). While rocky substrate is very limited, the extensive beds of common mussel *Mytilus edulis* provide a stable surface for acorn barnacle *Semibalanus balanoides* and edible periwinkle *Littorina littorea*. The polychaete green leaf worm *Eulalia viridis* is a common associate. The soft shores hold a range of invertebrates typical of mud and sand shores, with a number of species, such as the polychaete worm *Hediste diversicolor*, indicative of reduced salinity conditions. Balls Point has the highest diversity of sediment and community types in Lough Foyle and holds large populations of the bivalves sand gaper *Mya arenaria* and peppery furrow shell *Scrobicularia plana*.

The intertidal area consists of extensive mudflats, which support large beds of both common mussel *Mytilus edulis* and eelgrass *Zostera* spp. (Ramsar, 2009). The latter are amongst the largest colonies of this vegetation type in Northern Ireland and includes two species, narrow-leaved eelgrass *Zostera angustifolia* and dwarf eelgrass *Z. noltii*. Large stands of saltmarsh vegetation occur along the foreshore, displaying a transitional sequence of community types. The lower colonising saltmarsh consists of a community dominated by common saltmarsh-grass *Puccinellia maritima*. As tidal influence declines up the shore, this is replaced by a 'middle-marsh' community, characterised by red fescue *Festuca rubra* and mud rush *Juncus gerardii*. Localised stands of sea club-rush *Bolboschoenus maritimus* and common reed *Phragmites australis* also occur. The uppermost saltmarsh features a community dominated by common couch *Elytrigia repens*. Just west of the Ballykelly Bank, on the large intertidal mudflats which form part of a larger creek network, the lower saltmarsh communities are replaced by extensive stands of common cord-grass *Spartina anglica*. Brackish dykes behind the shore support a maritime aquatic and swamp vegetation, including the rare reflexed saltmarsh-grass *Puccinellia distans* and spiral tasselweed *Ruppia cirrhosa*.

3. Hydrography/Hydrodynamics

3.1 Tides and Currents

The Londonderry Port and Harbour Commissioners (LPHC) operates a tidal gauge near Lisahally in the southwest corner of Lough Foyle. A time series of recorded water levels for the period 1-1-2006 to 30-11-2008 (at a 1 min interval); can be seen in Figure 3.1 (Deltares, 2009). The tidal motion in the Lough is characterised by a semi-diurnal tide travelling from east to west, with daily inequality, especially apparent in the heights of high waters. A 14-day spring-neap cycle can be observed, with a mean spring tidal range of 2.2m and mean neap tidal range of 1m. From the Deltares (2009) Delft3D-FLOW model, validated by data from EGS International Ltd., the tidal wave gets amplified as it travels up the Lough; the mean tidal range increases by several decimetres from the entrance channel to the south-western corner of Lough Foyle near Culmore, a distance of approximately 25km. The tidal amplification is accompanied by a phase difference between the northern and southern corner of the Lough, which is approximately 1 hour. Next to water level variations due to tidal forcing, variations in air pressure and wind setup affects the water level in the Lough. These phenomena may generate water level variations in the order of a few decimetres.




Figure 3.6: Lough Foyle water levels 2008-2009 (Source: Deltares, 2009).

The characteristic tidal levels at the River Foyle at Lisahally can be seen in Table 3.1. These are taken from the Admiralty Tide Tables (UKHO, 2006) and from tidal analysis carried out by Deltares (2009). Levels are presented in metres Chart Datum, which is approximately equal to Lowest Astronomical Tide (LAT).

Tidal Level	Admiralty Chart Levels (m CD)	Derived levels (m CD)
HAT	3.1	2.96
MNWS	2.6	2.52
MHWN	1.9	1.78
MSL	1.4	1.36
MLWN	0.9	0.97
MLWS	0.4	0.23
LAT	0.0	-0.04
Ordnance Datum Belfast (ODB)	1.37	-

Table 3.1 River Foyle (Lisahally) tidal characteristics (Source: UKHO, 2006 and tidalanalysis carried out by Deltares, 2009).

The current flow within Lough Foyle is governed by the tides and river flow and to a lesser extent by wind conditions. The combination of fresh water flowing in from the rivers and salt water from the ocean leads to density differences between these two water masses, creating stratified conditions and three-dimensional flow patterns. In combination with a bathymetry consisting of extensive shallow tidal flats intersected by tidal channels, complex hydrodynamic patterns and considerable spatial variation in current flow are found in the Lough.

A 1990 survey carried out by Atkins indicated a complex flow regime with significant spatial variation in the vicinity of the major channels (Atkins, 1990). Fragmented current speed data is reported in Atkins (1990) for various survey sites spread over the Lough. Maximum current velocities up to 1.2 m/s were recorded in the East Channel and flow reversal around slack tides was observed. In addition to this survey, EGS International Ltd. collected ADCP (Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler) measurements from Lough Foyle to validate a Delft3D-FLOW model which Deltares (2009) produced. The outputs from this model show the current speeds and directions during a spring and neap tide (See Figure 3.2 to Figure 3.9).

Figure 3.7: Surface current velocities and direction during a spring tide, flood flow at 16:30:00 November 13th 2008 (Source: Deltares Delft3D-FLOW).







Figure 3.8: Bottom current velocities and direction during a spring tide, flood flow at 16:30:00 November 13th 2008 (Source: Deltares Delft3D-FLOW).








Greencastle Spring Tide, Ebb Flow, Bottom Layer (m/s) 0.5 The Tuns 1.3 Magilligan Pt 1 0.8 + Current Direction REPUBLIC OF IRELAND. l 384 -(396) J agh East that Cooked Chy NORTHERN IRELAND. LOCAL HORIZONTAL c'osigions read⁵ from chart adjusted bkilometresminute before plotting on docume OSGR36 Datum For docume

Figure 3.10: Bottom current velocities and direction during a spring tide, ebb flow at 21:30:00 November 13th 2008 (Source: Deltares Delft3D-FLOW).











Figure 3.12: Bottom current velocities and direction during a neap tide, flood flow at 10:30:00 November 5th 2008 (Source: Deltares Delft3D-FLOW).











From low water, the tidal currents start filling Lough Foyle from the southern side of the entrance channel: the flow first passes over The Tuns (a shallow offshore area east of the entrance to Lough Foyle), especially during spring tide, and only later arrives from the North Channel. Maximum flood velocities are found in the narrowest and deepest part of the entrance channel between Magilligan Point and Greencastle. The flow fills the Lough through the deeper channels before laterally flooding the shallower areas. Velocities over the shallow areas are low in comparison to the velocities in the tidal channels and navigation channel. Differences between the bottom and surface currents express themselves mainly in magnitude.

Water during flood flow largely moves into the East Channel, before flooding over the shallower tidal flats in the south, and also into the navigation channel. Under spring tidal conditions, the flood tidal flow pushes back the river flow and currents are directed upstream in the River Foyle mouth. Conversely, under neap tidal conditions, the tidal flow is less dominant relative to the river flow. At the bottom in the mouth of the Foyle, inward directed currents are still present, whereas at the surface, seaward directed river runoff is evident (see Figure 3.6 and Figure 3.7).

On the ebbing tide, strong currents are generated at the surface layer in the river mouth. Bottom velocities, especially in the vicinity of the river mouth, are relatively low in comparison to the surface velocities. This can be explained by the increased return flow in the surface layer due to the less dense river discharge affecting the vertical velocity profile.

The river discharge and tidal emptying of the south-western corner of Lough Foyle occurs mostly through East Channel and Crooked Channel. Water running off the shallow areas is not strictly confined to the deeper tidal channels, opposite to the flooding tide, but generally takes the shortest route towards the entrance channel. The strongest ebb currents occur in the entrance channel region.

The velocity distribution over Lough Foyle shows a clear spring-neap variation and a reduction in magnitude further into the Lough, with an exception in the river mouth. The largest velocities are found in the entrance channel with maximum of approximately 1.9 m/s on the ebb flow during spring tides and slightly lower maximums on the flood flow.

JN1588

The current pattern in the river mouth is clearly affected by the density differences between the river runoff and sea water. Strong ebb flow peaks are generated at the surface and strong flood flow peaks at the bottom, pointing at stratified conditions and the influence of a saltwater wedge. In the navigation channel, large differences between the bottom and surface velocities are apparent during the ebb flow, whereas this is less evident during the flood flow. This is also related to the river discharge affecting the velocity profile over depth. The reduced flow in the top layer in flood currents is caused by the opposing river flow and the accelerated ebb flow by the reinforcement of the river flow. In addition, the bottom ebb tide velocities, especially during neap tide, are reduced due to the penetrating salt wedge, resulting in a net inland residual current (estuarine circulation).

The effect of density differences on flow patterns is evident from differences in current magnitudes over the vertical profile. However, it also affects current directions, resulting in a net estuarine circulation. The estuarine circulation affects the residual current pattern over Lough Foyle, which in turn has an effect on transport and sedimentation patterns. The net inward bottom flow due to estuarine circulation will give the bed load transport a mainly inward directed bottom flow and outward directed surface flow. This generalised trend can be overruled by short-term events (for example, storms), in which this sediment transport direction will depend on surges, wave and wind driven currents and local bathymetry.

3.2 Rainfall Data

3.2.1 Amount and Time of Year

Figure 3.10 and Figure 3.11 show the average monthly rainfall data for Northern Ireland (Met Office, 2010) from 1971 to 2000. Table 3.2 shows the average rainfall range and median value along the Lough Foyle coastline. During the period 1971 to 2000, the average rainfall along the Northern Ireland coastline of Lough Foyle ranged from 30-120mm, with the lowest levels occurring in May (40-60mm) and the highest levels occurring in December (60-120mm). The lowest median value was 50mm in May and the highest was 90mm in December. Table 3.3 shows the total seasonal rainfall values based on the median rainfall values. Seasonally, spring was the driest season (178mm) and winter was the wettest season (235mm). Seasons were selected by grouping the results from the following periods: spring (March - May), summer (June – July), autumn (September – November) and winter


(December – February).

Figure 3.13 show the average monthly rainfall data for Northern Ireland (Met Office, 2021) from 1981 to 2010. Table 3.3 shows the average rainfall along the Lough Foyle coastline. During the period 1981 to 2010, the average rainfall along the Northern Ireland coastline of Donegal ranged from 56.9mm to 117.4mm, these values are similar to those reported from 1971 to 2000.

Figure 3.12 shows the seasonal averages for Northern Ireland from 1981 to 2010. Table 3.4 and Table 3.5 show the mean seasonal rainfall values. Similar to data from 1971 to 2000, spring was the driest season (205.9mm) and winter was the wettest season (316.4mm).

JN1588

Figure 3.15: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data for January to June from 1971 to 2000 for Northern Ireland (Source: Met Office, 2010).



JN1588

Figure 3.16: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data for July to December from 1971 to 2000 for Northern Ireland (Source: Met Office, 2010).




Figure 3.17: Average seasonal rainfall (mm) data from 1981 to 2010 for Northern Ireland (Source: Met Office, 2010).





Figure 3.18: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data from 1981 to 2010 for Northern Ireland (Source: Met Office, 2010)



Month/Season	Rainfall Range (mm)	Median Value (mm)
January	60-110	85
February	30-90	60
March	50-90	70
April	45-70	58
May	40-60	50
June	40-65	53
July	40-100	70
August	50-90	70
September	50-90	70
October	50-110	80
November	50-110	80
December	60-120	90

Table 3.2: Rainfall range and median monthly rainfall (mm) data along theLough Foyle coastline from 1971 to 2000 (Source: Met Office, 2010).

Table 3.3: Rainfall range and median monthly rainfall (mm) data along theLough Foyle coastline from 1981 to 2010 (Source: Met Office, 2010).

Month/Season	Mean Value (mm)
January	117.4
February	84.8
March	85.9
April	63.1
Мау	56.9
June	69.1
July	76.8
August	93.2
September	91.8
October	118.4
November	104.5
December	114.2

Table 3.4: Total seasonal rainfall values (mm) from 1971-2000 based on the
median rainfall value (Source: Met Office, 2010).

Season	Median
Spring	178
Summer	193
Autumn	230
Winter	235

Table 3.5: Total seasonal rainfall values (mm) from 1981-2010 based on the median rainfall value (Source: Met Office, 2010).

Season	Mean
Spring	205.9
Summer	239.1
Autumn	314.7
Winter	316.4

Table 3.6 shows average monthly rainfall data at Malin Head from 2005 to 2009 (Met Eireann, 2010a). Table 3.9 shows the total seasonal rainfall at Malin Head from 2005-2009 (Met Eireann, 2010a). The Malin Head station is located approximately 30km northwest of Lough Foyle. Rainfall ranged from 7.4mm in May 2008 to 227.6mm in November 2009. The following seasonal fluctuations were observed from 2005-2009: In 2005, the summer was the driest season and winter was the wettest, in 2006, summer was driest and autumn was the wettest, in 2007 spring was the driest and winter was the wettest, in 2009 winter was the driest season and autumn and winter were the wettest and in 2009 winter was the driest season and strest. This data was collected from Met Eireann Monthly Weather Bulletins from 2005 to 2009.

Table 3.7 shows average monthly rainfall data at Malin Head from 2010 to 2015 (Met Eireann, 2021a). Table 3.10 shows the total seasonal rainfall at Malin Head from 2010-2015 (Met Eireann, 2021a). Rainfall ranged from 23mm in September 2014 (which was higher than data recorded from 2005 to 2009) to 272.9mm in December 2015. The following seasonal fluctuations were observed from 2010-2015: In 2010, the summer was the driest season and autumn was the wettest, in 2011, summer was driest and autumn was the wettest, in 2012

spring was the driest and autumn was the wettest, in 2013 spring was the driest and autumn and winter were the wettest in 2014 spring was the driest season and winter was the wettest season and in 2015 summer was the driest season and winter was the wettest. This data was collected from Met Eireann Monthly Weather Bulletins from 2010 to 2015.

Table 3.8 shows average monthly rainfall data at Malin Head from 2016 to 2020 (Met Eireann, 2021a). Table 3.11 shows the total seasonal rainfall at Malin Head from 2016-2020 (Met Eireann, 2021a). Similar to data from 2010 to 2015, rainfall ranged from 20.1mm in April 2020 to 210.4mm in February 2020. The following seasonal fluctuations were observed from 2016-2020: In 2016, the spring was the driest season and winter was the wettest, in 2017, spring was driest and summer was the wettest, in 2018 spring was the driest and winter was the wettest and winter was the wettest and in 2020 spring was the driest season and winter was the wettest and in 2020 spring was the driest season and winter was the wettest. This data was collected from Met Eireann Monthly Weather Bulletins from 2016 to 2020.

Month/Year	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	Monthly	Monthly
						Total	Average
Jan	98.8	188.9	161.5	67.6	139.1	655.9	131.18
Feb	40.4	89.6	63.9	40.6	75.2	309.7	61.94
Mar	87.6	142.7	59.7	107.2	65.2	462.4	92.48
Apr	94.7	54.2	32.6	69.9	78.8	330.2	66.04
Мау	95.2	7.4	101.8	73.4	94.6	372.4	74.48
Jun	47.7	74.8	83.3	63.3	65.9	335	67
Jul	72.2	125.8	105.4	74.1	24.3	401.8	80.36
Aug	170.9	123.2	86.2	73.1	128	581.4	116.28
Sep	71	89.9	79.5	112.7	94	447.1	89.42
Oct	108.6	144.8	77	123.9	109.5	563.8	112.76
Nov	227.6	115.6	102.1	170.2	107.7	723.2	144.64
Dec	57	85.7	109.4	184.7	103.8	540.6	108.12

Table 3.6: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data at Malin Head, Co. Donegal from 2005 to 2009 (Source: Met Eireann, 2010a).

Month/Year	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	Monthly	Monthly
						Total	Average
Annual	1171.7	1242.6	1062.4	1160.7	1086.1	-	-
Total							
Annual	97.6	103.6	88.5	96.7	90.5	-	-
Average							

Month/Year	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	Monthly	Monthly
							Total	Average
Jan	176	162.2	140.9	134.7	89.6	68.3	771.7	128.61
Feb	85.8	189.9	74.1	68.1	105.5	85.4	608.8	101.46
Mar	123.1	71.6	61.7	29.8	59	83.5	428.7	71.45
Apr	64.7	33.4	61.6	46.3	66.2	51.9	324.1	54.0
Мау	137	86.8	102.5	50.7	100.4	50.7	528.1	88.01
Jun	56.1	48.6	85.5	141.1	84.5	24.8	440.6	73.43
Jul	132.7	86	56.6	91.4	49.9	136	552.6	92.1
Aug	111	95.3	92.6	87.3	79	64.4	529.6	88.26
Sep	29.7	23	69.7	139.2	133	144.1	538.7	89.78
Oct	71.8	131.4	103.8	123.5	177.1	68.5	676.1	112.68
Nov	222.9	134.4	116	87.4	103.7	115.6	780	130
Dec	272.9	150.5	178.6	149.3	184.2	69.2	1004.7	167.45
Annual	1483.7	1213.1	1143.6	1148.8	1232.1	962.4	-	-
Total								
Annual	123.64	101.09	95.3	95.73	102.675	80.2	-	-
Average								

Table 3.7: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data at Malin Head, Co. Donegalfrom 2010 to 2015 (Source: Met Eireann, 2021a).

Month/Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Monthly	Monthly
						Total	Average
Jan	166.3	56.7	203.7	81.5	79.3	587.5	117.5
Feb	130.7	85.1	74.2	59.7	210.4	560.1	112.02
Mar	72.7	96.9	67.2	138.8	79.4	455	91
Apr	52.9	41.1	63.4	49.9	20.1	227.4	45.48
Мау	65.6	50.9	41.5	79.9	37.3	275.2	55.04
Jun	72.4	77.7	51.1	67.3	106.3	374.8	74.96
Jul	109.9	114.6	60.3	85.9	133.8	504.5	100.9
Aug	79.9	172.5	126	162.9	123.1	664.4	132.88
Sep	94.7	88.2	108.5	124.5	115.7	531.6	106.32
Oct	37.6	109.9	105.8	83.4	148.4	485.1	97.02
Nov	113.9	137.5	100.8	91.7	130	573.9	114.78
Dec	76	117	97.7	124.2	144.3	559.2	111.84
Annual	1072.6	1148.1	1100.2	1149.7	1328.1	-	-
Total							
Annual	89.38	95.675	91.68	95.80	110.67	-	-
Average							

Table 3.8: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data at Malin Head, Co. Donegalfrom 2016 to 2020 (Source: Met Eireann, 2021b).

Season/Year	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Spring	277.5	204.3	194.1	250.5	236.8
Summer	290.8	323.8	274.9	210.5	218.2
Autumn	407.2	350.3	258.6	406.8	311.2
Winter	196.2	364.2	334.8	292.9	318.1

Table 3.9: Total seasonal rainfall (mm) at Malin Head from 2005-2009	
(Source: Met Eireann, 2010a).	

Table 3.10: Total seasonal rainfall (mm) at Malin Head from 2010-2015(Source: Met Eireann, 2010a).

Season/Year	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Spring	186.1	225.6	126.8	225.8	191.8	324.8
Summer	225.2	213.4	319.8	234.7	229.9	299.8
Autumn	328.2	413.8	350.1	289.5	288.8	324.4
Winter	222.9	379.3	352.1	393.6	502.6	534.7


Table 3.11: Total seasonal rainfall (mm) at Malin Head from 2016-2020(Source: Met Eireann, 2010a).

Season/Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Spring	191.2	188.9	172.1	268.6	136.8
Summer	262.2	364.8	237.4	316.1	363.2
Autumn	246.2	335.6	315.1	299.6	394.1
Winter	373	258.8	375.6	265.4	434

3.2.2 Frequency of Significant Rainfalls

Figure 3.14 and Figure 3.15 show the average monthly rainfall for the Lough Foyle area from 1971-2000 and 2081-2010 respectively. Figure 3.17 shows the average monthly rainfall data at the Malin Head station from 2005-2009. October, November, December and January are typically the wettest months on average and therefore during these months there may be an increased risk of contamination from land run-off and rainfall associated sewer overflows. It is important to highlight that it is not just the winter months that are at risk of increased contamination as can be seen in Figure 3.16 and Figure 3.17 when July and August experienced very heavy rainfall in 2008 and 2009.

Figure 3.15 shows the average monthly rainfall for the Lough Foyle area from 1981-2010. Figure 3.18 shows the average monthly rainfall data at the Malin Head station from 2010-2015 and Figure 3.19 shows the average monthly rainfall data at the Malin Head station from 2016-2020. October, November, December and January are typically the wettest months on average similar to data from 2005 to 2009 and therefore during these months there may be an increased risk of contamination from land run-off and rainfall associated sewer overflows. It is important to highlight that it is not just the winter months that are at risk of increased contamination as can be seen in Figure 3.18 and Figure 3.19 when July and August experienced very heavy rainfall in 2008, 2009, 2017, 2019 and 2020.






Figure 3.20: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data along the Lough Foyle coast from 1981-2010 (Source: Met Office, 2010).





Figure 3.21: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data along the Lough Foyle coast from 2005-2009 (Source: Met Eireann, 2010a).









Figure 3.22: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data at Malin Head, Co. Donegal from 2005 to 2009 (Source: Met Eireann, 2010a).

Figure 3.23: Average monthly rainfall (mm) data at Malin Head, Co. Donegal from 2010 to 2015 (Source: Met Eireann, 2021).







3.3 Wind and Waves

Wind data for Malin Head from 2005 to 2009 is displayed in Table 3.12 below and wind roses for each year can be seen Figure 3.20 in below. In 2005, 25% of the wind came from the south south west; while 16.7% each came from the north, east south east and the south. The strongest winds came from the south south west (16-21 knots). In 2006, 25% of the wind came from the south while 16.7% each came from the north, west, west south west and south south west. The strongest winds in 2006 came from the south, north and west (16-21 knots). In 2007, 25% of the wind came from the south while 16.7% each came from the north northwest and south west. The strongest winds in 2007 were from the south west (>21 knots). In 2008, 25% of the winds came from both the north and west south west. The strongest winds in 2008 came from the north, north north west, north west and west south west (16-21 knots). In 2009 20% of the wind came from each of the following directions: east north east, south west and west south west. The strongest winds in 2009 came from the south east. Table 3.14 shows the seasonal averages from 2005 to 2009. Seasonal averages over the past 5 years indicate that winds are typically stronger in the winter months, decreasing by approximately 2 knots in the spring and decreasing by approximately a further 3.5 knots in the summer and increasing by approximately 3 knots in autumn.

Wind data for Malin Head from 2016 to 2020 is displayed in Table 3.14 below and wind roses for each year can be seen in Figure 3.20. In 2016, 25% of the wind came from the south; while 25% came from the south south east, 16.67% came from the south west and 33% came from the south south west. The strongest winds came from the south south west (18.3 knots). In 2017, 33% of the wind came from the south south west, 35% came from the south south east, 25% came from the south west and 8% came from both the west and west south west. The strongest winds in 2017 came from the south south east (17.6 knots). In 2018, 33% of the wind came from the south and west south east (17.6 knots). In 2018, 33% of the wind came from both the south west. The strongest winds in 2017 came from the south west. The strongest winds in 2018 were from the south west (18.4 knots). In 2019 33.3% of the winds came from the south west (18.4 knots). In 2019 33.3% of the winds came from the south west (18.4 knots). In 2019 came from the south west (18.4 knots). In 2020 41.6% of the wind came from south west, 16.67% came from the south west (18.42 knots). In 2020 41.6% of the wind came from south west, 16.67% came from the south south west, 25% came from the south south east, 8% from the south and 8% came from the south west winds in 2020 came from the south west (22.3knots).

Table 3.15 shows the seasonal averages from 2016 to 2020. Seasonal averages over the past 5 years indicate that winds are typically stronger in the winter months, decreasing by approximately 2 knots in the spring and decreasing by approximately a further 3 knots in the summer and increasing by approximately 5 knots in autumn. This data does not differ much from 2005-2009 data with similar seasonal averages reported for both sets of data.

Month	2005	2005 Max	2006	2006 Max	2007	2007 Max	2008	2008 Max	2009	2009 Max
	Mean	10-min								
	Speed	Mean Dir (°)								
	(kn)		(kn)		(kn)		(kn)		(kn)	
January	20.7	200	15.7	200	21.5	230	18.4	250	16.5	140
February	15.8	340	16.6	360	15.8	190	18.7	350	14.4	60
March	14.1	360	16.1	170	17.9	350	20.4	320	16	360
April	14.4	210	14.9	10	11.4	310	17.3	10	12.1	70
Мау	11	110	16.3	190	13.8	220	13.1	130	14.8	230
June	8.5	230	11.6	250	11.8	90	14.5	240	10.7	250
July	8.6	210	10.5	210	10.2	180	14.2	170	10.8	100
August	9.9	170	13.3	300	12.7	340	12.8	110	12.3	230
September	13	190	13	180	13.5	340	12.3	50	12.8	170
October	13.2	110	15.2	250	13	180	19.2	10	12.1	250
November	15.8	350	19.3	260	17.2	50	17.4	330	n/a	n/a
December	13.7	150	17.5	280	16.5	150	15.6	250	n/a	n/a

Table 3.12: Wind speed and direction data for Malin Head from 2005-2009 (Source: Met Eireann, 2010a).

Month	2016	2016 Max	2017	2017 Max	2018	2018 Max	2019	2019 Max	2020	2020 Max
	Mean	10-min								
	Speed	Mean Dir (°)								
	(kn)		(kn)		(kn)		(kn)		(kn)	
January	18.3	194.51	16.5	201.93	18.4	210.32	15.3	220.645	19.1	225.48
February	17.8	217.24	17.6	174.64	17.2	210	15.9	175.35	22.3	230.68
March	13.1	214.19	14	176.77	17.8	149.03	18.4	224.83	16.5	193.87
April	15.2	156	14.4	229.66	14.3	140.66	15.6	125.33	12.4	157.66
May	12.1	159.67	11.3	164.51	11.7	185.48	10.9	183.22	13.2	153.22
June	9.9	176	14.5	204.13	9.2	168.33	12.3	139.33	12.1	206.33
July	12.4	213.54	13	201.93	9.9	218.70	11.4	203.548	13.4	233.22
August	13.3	186.12	13.1	226	13.3	209.35	13.6	215.62	11.5	164.83
September	14.6	185	15	216	16.3	246.33	13.8	215.66	14.2	189
October	13.7	149.66	18	225.16	16.7	227.41	16.3	172.90	17.2	225.80
November	14.9	197.33	16.9	267	17.5	152.66	14.9	98.33	15.6	220
December	16.8	192.90	16.9	252.58	15.8	201.61	17.3	223.87	17.9	208.06

Table 3.13: Wind speed and direction data for Malin Head from 2016-2020 (Source: Met Eireann, 2021a).


Season	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	5-year Average
Winter	15.5	17.6	17.9	16.6	16.7	16.9
Spring	14.3	16.9	14.4	15.8	13.2	14.9
Summer	11.3	13.8	11.6	11.8	9	11.5
Autumn	12.5	16.3	14.6	15.8	14	14.6

 Table 3.14: Seasonal averages (knots) for Malin Head wind data 2005 - 2009 (Source: Met Eireann, 2010a).

Table 3.15: Seasonal averages (knots) for Malin Head wind data 2016 – 2020 (Sourc	e: Met Eireann, 2021a).
---	-------------------------

Season	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	5-year Average
Winter	19.76	16.16	17.13	17	17.63	17.54
Spring	14.03	14.96	14.6	13.23	13.46	14.06
Summer	12.33	12.43	10.8	13.53	11.86	12.19
Autumn	15.66	15	16.83	16.63	14.4	15.70

Figure 3.25: Wind roses for Malin Head from 2005 to 2009 and 2015 to 2020. (Source: Met Eireann, 2021a).




Wind conditions affect the hydrodynamic conditions in Lough Foyle by generating set up, wind-induced currents and waves. Of these phenomena, wind-induced waves are an important factor in the process of sediment resuspension and transport. Especially over the vast shallow areas of Lough Foyle, wave-induced bottom friction may lead to resuspension of material and entrainment of sediments in the water column. Atkins (1992) reports that even for the smallest wind waves (< 30cm), the critical shear stress may be exceeded for resuspension of fine material in shallow areas.

Wind data recorded at the port of Lisahally at the south-western corner of Lough Foyle, from 1/1/2006 to 25/11/2008 was used to analyse the local wind conditions for the Delft3D-FLOW model (Deltares, 2009). It should be noted that there were gaps in the dataset used for example, December 2006, August-September 2008 and December 2008. The median wind speed over the months varied between 6 and 8 m/s, with a mean monthly average of 7 m/s. Over the year a slight seasonal effect was apparent, with lower wind speeds in summer months and somewhat higher in winter months. The mean monthly wind direction was 240°N (WSW), which corresponds to the approximate orientation of Lough Foyle. A strong divergence of the average value occurred in the month of May, when mean wind direction was 150°N (SSE). No clear seasonal effect in mean direction was apparent; however during spring and summer, the wind direction was more variable than during autumn and winter. It is expected that because of the hills alongside the Lough, the wind is somewhat tunnelled in the direction of the Lough and will have an even more uniform direction over the water. It is therefore expected that the potential for wind driven advection of potentially contaminated surface waters is predominately from the head to the mouth of the Lough.

There is no significant offshore wave penetration into Lough Foyle beyond Greencastle (Deltares, 2009). Any wave energy arriving from offshore is presumable dissipated mostly on The Tuns (a shallow area east offshore of the Lough's entrance) and does not enter the narrow entrance channel to Lough Foyle. Local wind generated waves thus predominate the wave climate in Lough Foyle. Atkins (1992) reports monthly wave height exceedances based on local wind data. Their analysis showed that during the winter months, wave heights exceeded 0.9 m only 1% of time and 0.6 m about 7% of time. In summer, there is a 2% exceedance of 0.6 m and 17% of 0.3 m. This shows that Lough Foyle experiences mild wave conditions over the year.

Figure 3.21 shows wave heights, peak period and near-bed orbital velocity for a wind speed 7m/s and a wind direction of 240°. This was produced by Deltares using the wave model SWAN (Booij et al., 1999). The predominant wind direction (240°, WSW) lies parallel to the dominant axis of the Lough, therefore resulting in the longest fetch length and highest waves on the northeasterly shore. The maximum computed wave height at the downwind shore is approximately 0.3m and maximum wave period of 2s. On the shallow tidal flats, the wave heights are reduced because of depth limitations, whereas the highest and longest waves are found in the deeper channels of the Lough. However, the largest near-bed velocities (and bed shear stresses) were found on the shallow areas (see Figure 3.21, lowest panel), indicating that most sediment resuspension through wave action takes place in these areas.

Figure 3.26: Computed wave height, peak period and near-bed orbital velocity for wind speed of 7 m/s and a wind direction of 240° (Source: Deltares, 2009).





3.4 River Discharges

Lough Foyle receives a large quantity of freshwater from the rivers Foyle, Faughan and Roe (Loughs Agency, 2009b). Figure 3.22 below shows the catchment areas of these rivers with three permanent measuring stations, operated by the Rivers Agency. It should be noted that there is no operational measurement data available for the River Foyle. Therefore, the measurement station at River Mourne (Drumnabouy House) was used as a representative for the variations in river discharge for the River Foyle. Based on the records at these locations (15 min. interval) strong yearly variation in fluvial flow can be observed for all three rivers. Peaks in discharge occur in all rivers after large rain events.

The discharge for Drumnabouy House (River Mourne) is presented in Figure 3.23 for the period 2006 - 2008. Strong seasonal patterns in river flow are evident with the largest discharges in late summer and autumn. The average monthly values range from 10 to 75 m3/s. The peak recorded discharge reached 1058 m3/s on 22-Oct-1987. Based on the time series, characteristic discharge values are derived for the three rivers, presented in Table 3.16. Average values are 7 m3/s for the River Faughan, 8 m3/s for the River Roe and 58 m3/s for the River Mourne. No permanent record for the actual river discharge at River Foyle is available. The Loughs Agency report a mean value of 90 m3/s for the River Foyle (Loughs Agency, 2009b).

The river discharge also determines the limit of tidal influence upriver, the vertical current profiles near the river mouth and the distance that the salt wedge travels upriver. During periods of high river flows, the tide will be kept back further than during lower river flows. The discharge for Drumnabouy House (River Mourne) is presented in Figure 3.24 for the period 2009- 2015. Similar to data from 2006 to 2008, strong seasonal patterns in river flow are evident with the largest discharges in late summer and autumn. The average monthly values range from 6.3 to 205.15m3/s. The peak recorded discharge reached 205.15 m3/s in November 2009.

The discharge for Drumnabouy House (River Mourne) is presented in Figure 3.25 for the period 2016 – 2020. Strong seasonal patterns in river flow are evident with the largest discharges in late summer and autumn which is similar to data recorded from 2006-2008 and 2009-2015. The average monthly values range from 10.33 to 215.77 m3/s which is similar to values reported for the period 2009-2015. The peak recorded discharge reached 215.77 m3/s in February 2020.





Figure 3.27: Rivers and river monitoring stations (Source: NRFA, 2020).







Table 3.16: River discharges m³/s (Source: Rivers Agency in Deltares,	
2009).	

River	Gauge	Interval	1%	10%	Mean	90%	99%	Max
	Location		percentile	percentile		percentile	percentile	
Mourne	Drumnabouy	17/6/1982-	4	8	58	139	357	1058
	House	5/9/2008						
Faughan	Drumahoe	27/8/1976-	1	2	8	17	51	253
		15/9/2008						
Roe	Ardnargle	10/1/1975-	0	1	9	23	71	186
		15/9/2008						








Figure 3.30: Average and monthly flow data from the Mourne at Drumnabuoy House 2016 -2020 (Source: NRFA, 2021)



3.5 Depth

Lough Foyle exhibits extensive intertidal and subtidal areas of mud flats and sand flats, which are intersected by tidal channels. The Lough is relatively shallow, with an average depth of approximately 4m. The deeper tidal channels reach an average depth of approximately 8 – 12m, whereas the entrance channel, where the width is constrained by headlands, is over 20m deep. Figure 3.26 shows a bathymetric map of Lough Foyle.


Figure 3.31: Depths in Lough Foyle (Source: The Loughs Agency).



3.6 Salinity

Lough Foyle receives a large quantity of freshwater from the rivers Foyle (90m3/s), Faughan (7m3/s) and Roe (8m3/s) (Loughs Agency, 2009b). This freshwater and the saltwater that enters the Lough every tidal cycle creates density differences and variations in salinity distribution over the water column and spatially over the Lough.

The Loughs Agency collects data on coastal water quality including salinity via a network of remotely moored Environmental Monitoring Stations (EMS) in Loughs Foyle. The Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute (AFBI) manage and process this data. The EMS consists of an electronic unit which houses the data storage devices for capturing real time data and the GSM (Global System for Mobile Communication) telemetry system for communication of this data with a base station on-land. There are two EMS stations located within Lough Foyle, North and South (see Figure 3.27). The Lough Foyle North EMS is located at Magilligan Point and the Lough Foyle South EMS is located at Black Braes.





The salinity data presented in Figure 3.28 (from the Lough Foyle South EMS) shows the seasonal flow of freshwater runoff to the Foyle estuary at the Black Braes site. This less dense fresh water stays at the surface of the estuarine water thereby lowering surface salinity and creating a halocline. This trend is most closely associated with the high precipitation levels experienced during these periods. Low summer river levels and tidal ingress of seawater lead to higher salinity values during the summer months. The bottom salinities are usually higher than the surface owing to the fact that dense oceanic seawater floating on top of this highly saline bottom layer. The results of the salinity measurements at Black Braes reveal a variable salinity throughout the year with surface levels as low as 6.34 psu in October 2008 and as high as 30.38 psu in January 2009. Bottom salinity ranged from 11.36 psu (October 2008) to 33.91 (January 2009).

The results of the salinity measurements at Black Braes in 2020 reveal a variable salinity throughout the year with surface levels as low as 17.61 psu in October 2020 and as high 27.77 psu in October 2020, these results differ from the results from 2006 to 2008 above. Bottom salinity ranged from 19.22 psu (October 2020) to 29.93 psu (September 2020), these

results are higher than those reported in 2006 and 2008. As salinity is highly variable depending on rainfall and wind patterns the difference in salinity between 2008/09 and 2020 is not significant.

Figure 3.33: Salinity profile at Lough Foyle South EMS from July 2008 to March 2009. (Source: Loughs Agency).






Figure 3.34: Salinity profile at Lough Foyle South EMS from September 2020 to October 2020. (Source: Loughs Agency).



Salinity levels are typically much higher at Magilligan than at the inner Lough station as seen in Figure 3.30. Surface levels typically ranged from 8.27 psu (October 2008) to 35.44 psu (July 2008) and bottom salinity levels ranged from 4.84 psu (March 2009) to 35.02 psu (July 2008). The variability seen is probably associated with the tidal cycle and river discharge levels. Salinity levels appear to become more variable as the year progresses and as precipitation levels increase in the Autumn/Winter period, with some decreases in salinity (October and November 2008) probably correlating with freshwater discharges after periods of high precipitation.

Figure 3.35: Salinity profile at Lough Foyle North EMS from July 2008 to March 2009 (Source: Loughs Agency).



3.7 Turbidity

Turbidity is a measure of the degree to which water loses its transparency due to the presence of suspended particulates. The more total suspended solids in the water, the murkier it seems and the higher the turbidity. Table 3.17 shows the depth and turbidity NTU at Lough Foyle South EMS from September to December in 2020.

Depths at Lough Foyle South EMS ranged from a minimum of 2.46m a maximum of 5.30m. Turbidity at Lough Foyle South EMS ranged from an average level 8.95 NTU at the surface to 32.24 NTU at the bottom (see Table 18).

Month	Mean Surface	Mean Surface	Mean Bottom	Mean Bottom
	Depth (m)	Turbidity (NTU)	Depth (m)	Turbidity (NTU)
9	2.46	12.92	5.01	23.64
10	2.58	15.46	5.21	32.24
11	2.68	8.95	5.30	26.98
12	5.06	26.59	2.73	15.49

Table 3.17 Depth and Turbidity (NTU) in Lough Foyle South EMS in 2020.

3.8 Simple/Complex Models

The Loughs Agency in conjunction with Londonderry Port & Harbour Commissioners commissioned a Delft3D-FLOW model in 2008. This work modelled the hydrodynamics of Lough Foyle and current velocity and direction outputs can be seen in Section 3.1 above and wave outputs can be seen in Section 3.3 above. These model outputs were consulted to aid in the identification of sampling points.

It should be noted that the used hydrodynamic data were derived from an existing hydrodynamic model (Delft3D-FLOW) that was set up by Deltares for a sediment dispersion study in Lough Foyle. This model was calibrated and validated for the purpose of this sediment dispersion study and provides an indication of the expected flow in other areas of Lough Foyle, but requires additional validation (by means of measurements) in the shallow east and southeast parts of Lough Foyle. The presented hydrodynamic flow data were derived from model simulations that simulated normal (tidal) flow conditions, average river discharges and used a normal wind condition, for example, 7 m/s from a south-westerly direction (240°N).

3.9 Discussion

The bathymetric and hydrographic characteristics of Lough Foyle are such that the northwestern section with deeper depths and strong tidal currents is a well mixed and flushed area of the Lough and as a result any contaminants will be diluted and dispersed rapidly. This area is therefore less vulnerable to contamination than other areas of the Lough. The southeastern section of the Lough is much shallower in nature and therefore has

higher suspended sediment concentrations coupled with weak currents. This area receives large quantities of freshwater input from the Foyle, Faughan and Roe and as a result of these factors is more vulnerable to contamination. The area at the mouth of the Foyle, while it does have strong tidal currents during the ebb flow of a spring tide, it also receives the largest freshwater input accompanied with high suspended sediment loads and is therefore also vulnerable to contamination.

Since 2010, the rainfall patterns have remained consistent with autumn and winter being the wettest seasons. On average the annual rainfall has been slightly higher (+3%) from 2010 to 2020 than from 2005 to 2009. October to January remain the wettest months with high rainfall also occurring in July and August in 2008, 2009, 2017, 2019 and 2020.

There has been no significant changes to wind patterns, river flow or salinity since the 2010 sanitary survey.

4. Identification of Pollution Sources

4.1 Desktop Survey

Pollution sources were considered up to a distance of 20km from the shores of Lough Foyle and are where appropriate divided into three zones; 0-5km, 5-10km and 10-20km. Sources greater than 20km from Lough Foyle were considered if significant. Any sources within the 20km limit that discharged into Lough Swilly and not Lough Foyle were not considered. Figure 4.1 shows the 3 distance limits used for this assessment.






Figure 4.36: Distance limits used for assessment of the pollution sources.

4.1.1 Human Population

Figure 4.2 shows all of the counties which fall within the 20km limit for Lough Foyle: Donegal, Tyrone, Derry and Antrim. Population census data for Northern Ireland is given in units of Super Output Areas (SOA) or wards and Electoral Divisions (EDs) are used by the Central Statistics Office (CSO) for Co. Donegal. Figure 4.3 shows the Northern Ireland SOAs and the Republic of Ireland EDs with a 20km radius of Lough Foyle (Figure 4.4 shows the legend for Figure 4.3).










Figure 4.39: Legend to accompany Figure 4.3.

Data on human populations for Northern Ireland was obtained from The Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency (NISRA) website. The Republic of Ireland data was obtained through the Central Statistics Office (CSO) online census programme (CSO, 2019) for the year 2016. Figure 4.5 shows the human population within a 20km radius of Lough Foyle and Table 4.1 shows this data in graphical form.

The largest population centres in the study area remain Culmore and Shantallow West, followed by Crevagh in Londonderry City. Along the western coastline, the highest population occurs in Moville (2366, +8.8%) and Kilderry (2089, +19.8%). The highest population along the eastern shore occurs at Eglinton (4,374, +1.6%) and Gresteel (3,495, -10.8%). The population overall within 20km of Lough Foyle is 229,055 an increased by 4% (+8,785).

Human population in given areas is obtainable from census data, however relating this information to the level of microbial contamination in coastal waters is difficult and is constrained by the geographic boundaries used. However, it is clear that areas with a higher population will have higher levels of sewage and wastewater entering the Foyle system.




Figure 4.40: Population change within 20km of Lough Foyle from 2006 to 2016.

SOA/ED	Pop. 2006	Pop. 2016	% Change
Buncrana Urban	3411	3396	-0.44
Ballyliffin	1299	1381	6.31
Birdstown	1026	1312	27.88
Buncrana Rural	2949	3836	30.08
Burt	1264	1310	3.64
Carndonagh	1931	2339	21.13
Carthage	995	886	-10.95
Castlecary	666	705	5.86
Castleforward	909	1356	49.17
Culdaff	899	935	4.00
Desertegny	662	713	7.70
Fahan	1476	1697	14.97
Glennagannon	671	730	8.79
Gleneely	746	827	10.86
Glentogher	1133	1184	4.50
Greencastle	807	1016	25.90
Illies	796	865	8.67
Inch Island	438	461	5.25
Kilderry	1744	2089	19.78
Killea	1547	1793	15.90
Malin	622	668	7.40
Mintiaghs	797	866	8.66
Moville	2174	2366	8.83
Newtown Cunningham	1178	996	-15.45
Redcastle	791	935	18.20
Straid	1344	1288	-4.17
Three Trees	646	675	4.49
Turmone	297	296	-0.34
Whitecastle	883	1001	13.36

Table 4.18: Human population within the Lough Foyle Catchment Area (Source:NISRA, 2020: CSO, 2019).

SOA/ED	Pop. 2006	Pop. 2016	% Change
St. Johnstown	1227	1343	9.45
Treantaghmucklagh	637	802	25.90
Slievekirk	2090	2361	12.97
Dunnamanagh	2085	2,189	4.99
Upper Glenshane	2150	2,409	12.05
The Highlands	1635	1,876	14.74
Roeside	1435	1,461	1.81
Rathbrady	1645	1,471	-10.58
Magilligan	1960	2,338	19.29
Greystone Limavady	1595	1,362	-14.61
Glack	2050	2,121	3.46
Forest	2385	2,471	3.61
Feeny	2115	2,181	3.12
Dungiven	2110	2,032	-3.70
Coolessan	1680	1,496	-10.95
Ballykelly	1900	1,819	-4.26
Westland	2255	2,161	-4.17
Victoria_Derry	2530	3,087	22.02
The Diamond	2355	2,345	-0.42
Shantallow East	2745	2,581	-5.97
Rosemount	2490	2,564	2.97
Creggan South	2395	2,339	-2.34
Caw	2700	2,615	-3.15
Brandywell	2630	2,430	-7.60
Beechwood	2555	2,271	-11.12
Banagher	3120	3,374	8.14
Waterside	2585	2,817	8.97
University	2565	2,768	7.91
Royal Portrush	2310	2,133	-7.66
Ringsend	2210	2,354	6.52
Portstewart	1930	1,773	-8.13

SOA/ED	Pop. 2006	Pop. 2016	% Change
Mount Sandel	1815	1,868	2.92
Macosquin	2105	2,290	8.79
Cross Glebe	2455	2,576	4.93
Churchland	2270	2,350	3.52
Central Coleraine	1620	1,838	13.46
Atlantic	2550	2,313	-9.29
Ebrington	2725	2,569	-5.72
Ballysally	2555	2,537	-0.70
Castlerock	3075	3,118	1.40
Dundooan	2915	3,246	11.36
Hopefield	3345	3,717	11.12
Strand Coleraine	2700	2,763	2.33
The Cuts	4295	4,148	-3.42
Altnagelvin	3210	3,259	1.53
Ballynashallog	3990	3,429	-14.06
Carn Hill	3245	2,746	-15.38
Claudy	3560	3,554	-0.17
Creggan Central	3015	2,838	-5.87
Crevagh	5225	5,890	12.73
Culmore	8625	8,899	3.18
Eglinton	4305	4,374	1.60
Enagh Derry	3435	5,216	51.85
Foyle Springs	4095	3,926	-4.13
Holly Mount	3895	4,995	28.24
Kilfennan	3235	3,038	-6.09
Lisnagelvin	3705	3,350	-9.58
New Buildings	3655	3,524	-3.58
Pennyburn	2895	2,611	-9.81
Shantallow West	6460	6,502	0.65
Springtown	3610	3,278	-9.20
Strand Derry	3490	3,731	6.91

Sanitary Survey and Sampling Plan for Lough Foyle

SOA/ED	Pop. 2006	Pop. 2016	% Change
Aghanloo	2290	4,197	83.28
Enagh Limavady	2865	2,632	-8.13
Gresteel	3920	3,498	-10.77
Clondermot	2945	2,969	0.81

4.1.2 Tourism

In 2018, 2,809,000 tourists visited Northern Ireland compared to 1,918,000 in 2009 (NITB {Northern Ireland Tourist Board}, 2018). This is a 46.5% increase in tourism to Northern Ireland since the last sanitary survey. Of these tourists, 46% were visiting family and friends and 37% were holidaying, 30% of them arrived in between July and September and 25% of them arrived between April and June and 49% stayed with family or friends while 30% stayed in a hotel/guesthouse/B&B. The Lough Foyle Catchment partially overlaps two Northern Ireland Local Government Districts (LGD) for tourism numbers 'Derry City and Strabane' and 'Causeway Coast and Glens'. The Northern Ireland LGD boundaries were changed since the 2011 sanitary survey and so tourism statistics cannot be directly compared. These two LGDs received 1,346,359 tourists in 2018. As the tourism numbers in Northern Ireland have increased by 46.5% it is likely that the tourism numbers in the Lough Foyle area have also increased. The catchment also partially overlaps County Donegal. County Donegal received 255,000 overseas tourists in 2017, an increase of 25% from 2008. There is however no way of estimating the number of tourists who visited the Lough Foyle catchment area during their stay.

There are numerous activities which tourists can partake in along the shores of Lough Foyle, for example, walking, climbing, water activities, golf, cycling, equestrian, spa and wellness and cultural and heritage sights and centres. Figure 4.6 shows all tourism related activity sites around Lough Foyle. This data was gathered from a Loughs Agency Marine Tourism Audit.

Increases in population in the local area due to tourism may result in an increase in the quantity of sewage discharged within the Lough Foyle area. In addition, Papadakis et al. (1997) found significant correlations between the number of swimmers present on beaches and the presence of pathogenic bacteria. In 2007, Elmir *et al.* (2007) showed the role of human skin as an intermediate mechanism of pathogen transmission to the water column.

In order to identify any significant differences in *E. coli* levels based on seasonality, a oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed on seasonal E. coli results (for the period 2011 to 2020) from shellfish flesh taken at a number of monitoring points around the lough (Refer to Section 5.1.2 for more details on the sampling points). For this analysis, all shellfish flesh results that returned a less than value (for example,, <X) were given that value (*for example*, <20 becomes 20).

This analysis found that there was no significant difference between seasons for O3 native oysters, M1 mussels and PO1 pacific oysters. A significant difference was found between seasons for M2, M3 and M4 for mussels and O1, O2 and O4 for native oysters.

Figure 4.7 to Figure 4.12 show box-plots for each shellfish bed which had a significant seasonal difference in *E. coli* levels. These graphs are composed of a median line (or the middle line of the data), the bottom box, which indicates the first quartile value (25% of the data values are less than or equal to this value), the top box which indicates the third quartile (75% of the data values are less than or equal to this value), the lower whisker is the lower limit and the upper whisker is the highest data value within the upper limit.

M2 mussels had significantly lower *E.coli* results in spring when compared to winter and autumn. M3 mussels had significantly higher results in winter and autumn than in summer and spring. M4 mussels had significantly higher results in winter and autumn than in summer and spring. O1 native oysters had significantly higher results in autumn than in winter and spring. O2 native oysters had significantly higher results in summer and autumn than in winter and spring. Autumn also had significantly higher results than summer. O4 native oysters had significantly higher results than summer.

The trend at all of these site appears to be linked to rainfall levels and run-off from land. As such tourism does not appear to have a significant effect on the shellfish quality in the bay.





Figure 4.41: Tourist facilities within the Lough Foyle Catchment Area.








Figure 4.43: Seasonal variation of *E. coli* in mussels flesh from M3 monitoring point.








Figure 4.45: Seasonal variation of *E. coli* in native oyster flesh from O1 monitoring point.







Figure 4.46: Seasonal variation of *E. coli* in native oyster flesh from O2 monitoring point.







4.1.3 Sewage Discharges

Sewage effluent can vary in nature depending on the degree to which the sewage has been treated. Discharges of sewage effluent can arise from a number of different sources and be continuous or intermittent in nature:

- treated effluent from urban sewage treatment plants (continuous)
- storm discharges from urban sewage treatment plants (intermittent)
- effluent from 'package' sewage treatment plants serving small populations (continuous)
- combined sewer and emergency overflows from sewerage systems (intermittent)
- septic tanks (intermittent)
- crude sewage discharges at some estuarine and coastal locations (continuous).

Treatment of sewage ranges from:

- none at all (crude sewage)
- preliminary (screening and/or maceration to remove/disguise solid matter)
- primary (settling to remove suspended solids as sewage sludge). Typically removes 40% of BOD (Biochemical Oxygen Demand), 60% of suspended solids; 17% of nitrogen and 20% of phosphorus from the untreated sewage
- secondary (settling and biological treatment to reduce the organic matter content). Typically removes 95% of BOD, 95% of suspended solids, 29% of nitrogen and 35% of phosphorus from the untreated sewage. Nutrient removal steps can be incorporated into secondary treatment which can reduce ammonia
 N down to 5 mg/l and phosphorus to 2mg/l.
- tertiary (settling, biological treatment and an effluent polishing step which may involve a reed bed (unlikely for a coastal works) or a treatment to reduce the load of micro-organisms in the effluent), typically removes 100% of BOD, 100% of suspended solids, 33% of nitrogen and 38% of phosphorus from the untreated sewage.

Figure 4.10 shows all 64 Waste Water Treatment Works within the Lough Foyle catchment area. Table 4.2 shows the coordinates and population equivalents (p.e.) of these plants. There are 64 Waste Water Treatment Works (WWTWs) in the Lough Foyle catchment, serving a population of approximately 187,728 p.e. The major works are those at Culmore, Limavady, Magilligan Point Road, Donnybrewer, Dungiven, Ballykelly, Claudy and Greysteel, these eight works together account for 92.9% of the total population equivalent of the catchment. Of the 64 WWTWs 56 are below/at capacity and 8 are over capacity. The eight plants that are over capacity account for 2.5% of the load on the WWTW in the catchment. The 56 plants that are below/at capacity account for 97.5% of the load on the WWTW in the catchment. Importantly Culmore WWTW which accounts for 71.3% of the load on the WWTW in the WWTW in the catchment is operating at 16,184 p.e. below capacity.




Figure 4.48: WWTWs within the Lough Foyle Catchment Area (Source: NI Water/ EPA).

Table 4.19: WWTWs within the Lough Foyle Catchment Area (Source: NI Water/ EPA).

Мар	NAME	Easting	Northing	Longitude	Latitude	Current	Design	Available
ID						p.e.	p.e.	capacity
1	Magilligan Point Road WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	5674	8696	3022
2	Bolea WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	113	130	17
3	Aghanloo 1 WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	841	550	-291
4	Myroe WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	178	200	22
5	Carrowclare WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	286	280	-6
6	Limavady WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	16258	20490	4232
7	Lisnakilly WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	33	48	15
8	Drummond WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	22	31	9
9	Edenmore Road WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	12	12	0
10	Ardgarvan WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	164	191	27
11	Ballykelly WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	3649	7840	4191
12	Drumraighland WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	81	180	99
13	Dromore Highlands WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	116	150	34
14	Largy Limavady WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	151	200	49
15	Ballyquin WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	101	107	6
16	Drumsurn WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	592	696	104

Мар	NAME	Easting	Northing	Longitude	Latitude	Current	Design	Available
ID						p.e.	p.e.	capacity
17	Ballymacallion WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	18	22	4
18	Crebarkey WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	24	48	24
19	Dungiven WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	4744	5742	998
20	Owenbeg WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	30	44	14
21	Caugh Hill East WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	9	26	17
22	Carnanbane WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	75	49	-26
23	Dernaflaw WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	394	224	-170
24	Gortnahey WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	395	470	75
25	Bonnanaboigh WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	273	400	127
26	Glack Laurel Road WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	219	300	81
27	Greysteel 1 WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	2181	2300	119
28	Longfield Eglinton WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	232	130	-102
29	Killylane Eglinton WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	103	190	87
30	Donnybrewer WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	5246	7888	2642
31	Foreglen WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	489	518	29
32	Feeny WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	924	1353	429
33	Fincarn WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	87	100	13
34	Park WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	766	1087	321

Мар	NAME	Easting	Northing	Longitude	Latitude	Current	Design	Available
ID						p.e.	p.e.	capacity
35	Gortscreagan WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	68	100	32
36	Mulderg WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	55	99	44
37	Claudy WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	2722	3409	687
38	Killaloo WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	92	150	58
39	Ervey Road WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	14	47	33
40	Tamnaherin WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	393	470	77
41	Knockbrack WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	22	36	14
42	Gosheden Two WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	92	120	28
43	Legaghory WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	30	50	20
44	Ardground WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	69	100	31
45	Moneycanon WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	37	82	45
46	Donemana WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	1040	634	-406
47	Mountcastle WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	11	18	7
48	Milltown Burndennet WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	49	54	5
49	Donagheady WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	188	300	112
50	Cullion WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	79	100	21
51	Bready WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	301	400	99
52	St Johnston	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	330	1050	720

Мар	NAME	Easting	Northing	Longitude	Latitude	Current	Design	Available
ID						p.e.	p.e.	capacity
53	Magheramason WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	591	1200	609
54	Creaghcor WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	30	18	-12
55	Carrigans	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	280	347	67
56	Molenan WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	36	44	8
57	Nixons Corner WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	285	520	235
58	Killea	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	520	800	280
59	Glenabbey WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	45	47	2
60	Culmore 2 WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	133891	150075	16184
61	Greenbank No.1 Housing Scheme	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	28	28	0
62	Redcastle Housing Scheme	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	40	40	0
63	Moville	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	1820	0	-1820
64	Greencastle Housing Scheme	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	90	90	0

N/A indicates data were Not Available.

4.1.3.1 Continuous Sewage Discharges

Figure 4.11 shows the continuous sewage discharges associated with the WWTWs within the Lough Foyle catchment area. Table 4.3 shows the coordinates for the continuous discharges from WWTW.

All of the WWTW shown in Figure 4.7 above have continuous discharge pipes associated with them, however, coordinates for some discharge pipes were not available (those marked with * in Table 4.4). Assumptions as to the location of their discharge pipes were made based on the plant's location in relation to the nearest water body.

In total, there are 6 direct discharges into Lough Foyle and the remainder discharge into rivers which ultimately discharge into the lough.




Figure 4.49: Location of Continuous Sewage Discharges within the Lough Foyle Catchment Area (Source: NI Water/ EPA).

Table 4.20: WWTW Continuous Discharges within the Lough Foyle CatchmentArea (Source: NI Water/ EPA).

Мар	Plant	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing
ID					
1	Magilligan Point Road WwTW*	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
2	Carrowclare WwTW*	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
3	Aghanloo 1 WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
4	Myroe WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
5	Bolea WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
6	Ballykelly WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
7	Lisnakilly WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
8	Limavady WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
9	Drummond WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
10	Edenmore Road WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
11	Drumsurn WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
12	Ballymacallion WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
13	Ballyquin WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
14	Largy Limavady WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
15	Ardgarvan WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
16	Dromore Highlands WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
17	Drumraighland WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
18	Glack Laurel Road WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
19	Bonnanaboigh WwTW*	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
20	Gortnahey WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
21	Dungiven WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
22	Crebarkey WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
23	Owenbeg WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
24	Dernaflaw WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
25	Carnanbane WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
26	Caugh Hill East WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
27	Unknown	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
28	Fincarn WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]

Мар	Plant	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing
ID					
29	Feeny WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
30	Foreglen WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
31	Greysteel 1 WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
32	Longfield Eglinton WwTW*	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
33	Killylane Eglinton WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
34	Donnybrewer WwTW*	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
35	Tamnaherin WwTW*	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
36	Ervey Road WwTW*	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
37	Mulderg WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
38	Park WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
39	Gortscreagan WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
40	Claudy WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
41	Killaloo WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
42	Knockbrack WwTW*	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
43	Gosheden Two WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
44	Legaghory WwTW*	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
45	Ardground WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
46	Moneycanon WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
47	Donemana WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
48	Mountcastle WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
49	Cullion WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
50	Donagheady WwTW*	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
51	Milltown Burndennet WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
52	Bready WwTW*	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
53	St Johnston	54.93434	-7.45578	234933.0	409773.0
54	Creaghcor WwTW*	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
55	Carrigans	54.95040	-7.42736	236740.0	411575.0
56	Magheramason WwTW*	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
57	Molenan WwTW	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
58	Killea	54.98332	-7.40662	238038.0	415251.0

Мар	Plant	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing
ID					
59	Nixons Corner WwTW*	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
60	Culmore 2 WwTW*	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
61	Greenbank No. 1 housing scheme	55.11883	-7.20381	250850.0	430466.0
62	Greenbank No. 1 housing scheme	55.11906	-7.20352	250868.0	430492.0
63	Redcastle housing scheme	55.15912	-7.13302	255311.0	435005.0
64	Moville	55.18986	-7.04302	261001.0	438502.0
65	Moville	55.18913	-7.04215	261057.0	438421.0
66	Moville	55.18641	-7.04125	261119.0	438119.0
67	Moville	55.18812	-7.04402	260940.0	438308.0
68	Moville	55.18887	-7.03417	261566.0	438400.0
69	Moville	55.18818	-7.04543	260850.0	438313.0
70	Greencastle housing sheme	55.20584	-6.98854	264445.0	440330.0

4.1.3.2 Rainfall Dependent Sewage Discharges

Figure 4.12 to Figure 4.17 show all rainfall dependent discharges *for example,,* overflows, group septic tanks and private sewage treatment respectively, within 20km of Lough Foyle. Table 4.4 documents the Combined Sewer Overflows (CSO) and Sewage Pumping Station (SPS) overflows which discharge into Lough Foyle or a tributary of it and Table 4.5 documents the septic tanks. There are 173 rainfall dependent discharges and 41 group septic tanks within 20km of Lough Foyle. There are 458 private sewage systems within 20km of Lough Foyle in Northern Ireland. The locations of private sewage systems in the Republic of Ireland are not available, however, the number present within 20km of Lough Foyle has been estimated at 3,648 from the 2016 census data.







Figure 4.50: All storm water and combined sewer overflow discharges within 20km of Lough Foyle (Source: NI Water/ EPA).


Figure 4.51: All Pumping station overflow discharges to the south of Lough Foyle within 20km (Source: NI Water/ EPA).





















Table 4.21: CSO and WwPS overflows within the Lough Foyle catchment Area (Source: NI Water/ EPA).

Мар	Name	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing	Function
ID						
1	Promenade Castlerock CSO	-6.78628	55.16632	277350	436159	Combined
2	Main Street Swimming Pool	-6.79369	55.16734	276876	436264	Combined
	CSO					
3	Seacoast Road CSO	-6.96249	55.08702	266252	427149	Combined
4	Road Service Yard CSO	-6.93607	55.06903	267969	425173	Combined
5	Limavady CSO	-6.94464	55.05736	267442	423865	Combined
6	Ballyclose Street CSO	-6.94720	55.05361	267284	423446	Combined
7	Roemill Road CSO	-6.95370	55.04830	266878	422849	Combined
8	Foyle Drive CSO	-7.03206	55.04041	261881	421897	Foul
9	Pinewood Crescent CSO	-7.14961	54.91061	254542	407350	Combined
10	Berryhill Road Dunamanagh	-7.31389	54.87347	244047	403099	Combined
	CSO					
11	Culmore Point Coney CSO	-7.26013	55.04882	247291	422655	Combined
12	Strathfoyle CSO	-7.26732	55.03583	246847	421205	Combined
13	Caw CSO	-7.27863	55.01014	246153	418337	Combined
14	Caw Park CSO	-7.29612	55.00641	245038	417911	Combined
15	Alfred Street Florence CSO	-7.31125	54.99598	244082	416740	Combined
16	Bonds Hill CSO	-7.31118	54.99321	244089	416432	Combined

Sanitary Survey and Sampling Plan for Lough Foyle

Мар	Name	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing	Function
ID						
17	Duke Street Roundabout	-7.31155	54.99212	244067	416310	Combined
	CSO					
18	Duke Street CSO	-7.31474	54.98980	243865	416050	Combined
19	Dunfield Terrace 2 CSO	-7.31346	54.98826	243949	415879	Combined
20	Victoria Road Prehen CSO	-7.34475	54.97911	241955	414841	Combined
21	St Johnston SW004	-7.45578	54.93434	234933	409773	Storm water
						overflow
22	St Johnston SW003	-7.45475	54.93432	234999	409771	Storm water
						overflow
23	Carrigans	-7.42736	54.95040	236740	411575	Storm water
						overflow
24	Killea	-7.40531	54.97878	238126	414747	Storm water
						overflow
25	Lone Moor Road Brandywell	-7.33882	54.98828	242325	415865	Combined
	CSO					
26	Foyle Road Craigavon	-7.31932	54.99108	243570	416189	Combined
	Bridge CSO					
27	Orchard Street CSO	-7.31765	54.99562	243672	416695	Combined
28	Union Hall Place CSO	-7.31932	54.99689	243564	416836	Combined

Sanitary Survey and Sampling Plan for Lough Foyle

Мар	Name	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing	Function
ID						
29	Clarendon Terrace CSO	-7.32294	55.00061	243328	417247	Combined
30	Lawrence Hill CSO	-7.32277	55.00325	243336	417542	Combined
31	Glen Road Derry CSO	-7.33743	55.00687	242394	417936	Combined
32	Rock Road CSO	-7.31924	55.00743	243558	418009	Combined
33	Northland Road Spring Town CSO	-7.33852	55.01737	242314	419104	Combined
34	Northland Playing Fields CSO	-7.32816	55.01296	242981	418619	Combined
35	Duncreggan Road CSO	-7.31754	55.01167	243662	418482	Combined
36	Buncrana Road CSO	-7.36138	55.03611	240832	421177	Foul
37	Fairview Knockalla Shantallow CSO	-7.32932	55.03088	242888	420613	Combined
38	Racecourse Road One CSO	-7.31740	55.01986	243662	419394	Combined
39	Racecourse Road Two CSO	-7.31682	55.02007	243699	419418	Combined
40	Culmore Road Belmont CSO	-7.31074	55.01571	244093	418937	Combined
41	Gleneagles CSO	-7.29731	55.01812	244949	419214	Combined
42	Castlerock Promenade WwPS	-6.78735	55.16676	277329	436183	Combined

Мар	Name	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing	Function
ID						
43	Castlerock Coastguard	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
	WwPS					
44	Ballywoodock WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
45	Downhill Hotel WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
46	Downhill Roadside WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
47	Umbra Waterfall WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
48	Umbra Level Crossing	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
	WwPS					
49	Benone Tourist Complex	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
	WwPS					
50	Benone Avenue North	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
	WwPS					
51	Benone One WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
52	Lower Doaghs Magilligan	-6.95435	55.17950	266666	437430	Foul
	TPS					
53	Aughil St Aidans TPS	-6.91095	55.15320	269476	434545	Combined
54	Aughil Seacoast East	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
	WwPS					
55	Aughil Tircreven TPS	-6.91146	55.15057	269448	434251	Foul

Sanitary Survey and Sampling Plan for Lough Foyle

Мар	Name	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing	Function
ID						
56	Clooney 504-524 TPS	-6.92470	55.14893	268607	434056	Combined
57	Carriage Court WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
58	Drumavally WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
59	Oughtymoyle 2 WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
60	Carrowclare Road WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
61	Crindle WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
62	Dowland WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
63	Aghanloo Industrial Estate	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
	WwPS					
64	Myroe Sids WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
65	Ballymacran WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
66	Lomond WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
67	Aghanloo Dowland Park	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
	WwPS					
68	The Brickfields WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
69	Limavady IPS	-6.94520	55.05763	267453	423871	Final Effluent
70	Roeville Terrace WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
71	Catherine Street WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined

Мар	Name	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing	Function
ID						
72	Castle Park Limavady	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
	WwPS					
73	Bovally WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
74	Coolessan WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
75	Whitehill WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
76	Radison Roe Park WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
77	Kings Lane 62 WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
78	Drummond Park WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
79	Church Hill House WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
80	Dukes Lane WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
81	Plantation Road WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
82	Walworth WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
83	Drumsurn Rushie WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
84	Ballyquin Road WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
85	Burnfoot WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
86	Browns Bridge WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
87	Rannyglas WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
88	OCahan Place WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
89	Hass Road WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul

Мар	Name	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing	Function
ID						
90	Kevin Lynch Park WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
91	Greenhaven WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
92	Bleech Green Lane WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
93	Muldonagh WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
94	Glenedra Road WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
95	Altinure Road WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
96	Millside Crescent WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
97	Clagan Claudy WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
98	Killycor WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
99	Glenshane Road WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
100	Kinculbrack WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
101	Claudy IPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
102	Cregg WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
103	Killywool WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
104	Faughanvale WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
105	Foyle Avenue WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
106	Clooney Road Greysteel WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
107	Gortinreid Bridge WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined

Sanitary Survey and Sampling Plan for Lough Foyle

Мар	Name	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing	Function
ID						
108	Killylane Muff WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
109	St Canices Park One WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
110	St Canices Park Two WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
111	Eglinton Cottage Way WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
112	Dunboyne Park WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
113	Station Road Eglinton WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
114	Decks WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
115	Courtauld Way One WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
116	Donnybrewer IPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Final Effluent
117	Courtauld Way Three WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
118	Courtauld Way Two WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
119	Transtec WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
120	Campsie Eglinton WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
121	Carrakeel Drive WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
122	Maydown Carrakeel 2 WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined

Мар	Name	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing	Function
ID						
123	PSNI Maydown Two WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
124	PSNI Maydown One WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
125	Templetown Park WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
126	Strathfoyle 2 WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
127	Judges Road WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
128	Gransha Park 2 WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
129	Gransha Hospital WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
130	Waterfoot Caw WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
131	Caw WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
132	St Columbs Park Clooney	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
	WwPS					
133	Browning Drive WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
134	Duke Street 2 WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
135	Faughan Crescent WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
136	Gortree Road WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
137	Lettershendony 2 WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
138	Berryburn Ardkill WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
139	Cross Drumahoe WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
140	Bleach Green WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined

Sanitary Survey and Sampling Plan for Lough Foyle

Мар	Name	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing	Function
ID						
141	Beeches Drumahoe WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
142	Old Mill Mews Drumahoe	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
	WwPS					
143	Three Mile WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
144	Drumahoe WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
145	Riverside Park WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
146	Tullyally West WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
147	Trench Road 18 WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
148	Boat House Prehen WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
149	Prehen WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
150	Dunhugh Manor WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
151	New Buildings WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
152	New Buildings Desmonds	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
	WwPS					
153	Dunnalong Road WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
154	Tamnabryan WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
155	Bready Church WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
156	Bready Primary School	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
	WwPS					

Sanitary Survey and Sampling Plan for Lough Foyle

Мар	Name	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing	Function
ID						
157	Ballougry Hill Road Killea	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
	WwPS					
158	Ballinacross Meadows	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
	WwPS					
159	Coshowen Foyle Road	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
	WwPS					
160	Foyle Road Craigavon	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
	Bridge WwPS					
161	Victoria Market Car Park	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
	WwPS					
162	Queens Quay WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
163	Bay Road Shell WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Surface
164	Pennyburn WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined
165	Galliagh Park WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
166	Fairview Knockalla 2 WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
167	Upper Galliagh Road WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
168	Skeoge Link Roundabout	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
	WwPS					
169	Coshquin West WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul

Sanitary Survey and Sampling Plan for Lough Foyle

Мар	Name	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing	Function
ID						
170	Buncrana Road WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
171	Ballyarnet Springfield WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
172	Spruce Meadows WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Foul
173	Culmore Point Front Strand WwPS	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Combined

Table 4.22: Septic tanks within the Lough Foyle catchment Area (Source: NI Water).

Map Id	Name	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing
174	Ballyhacket Road 2-8 ST	-6.82644	55.15246	274865	434550
175	Limestone Road Two ST	-6.93819	55.13710	267767	432726
176	Limestone Road One ST	-6.93887	55.13716	267724	432732
177	Roeside ST	-6.94923	55.05536	267200	423615
178	Ballyavelin Road ST	-6.85162	55.04078	273464	422090
179	Drumsurn Road 234-238 ST	-6.87929	55.00196	271765	417740
180	Gortnagross Road 38-40 ST	-6.89044	54.96238	271121	413323
181	Drumneechy ST	-6.91532	54.97060	269513	414213
182	Bovevagh Road 37-41 ST	-6.94810	54.96741	267419	413826
183	Derryork Road 33-35 ST	-6.91871	54.96649	269303	413752
184	Glenedra Road 109-111 ST	-6.98560	54.87743	265163	403774
185	Brisland Road 3-5 ST	-7.15617	55.03566	254001	421243
186	Airfield Road ST	-7.16889	55.03884	253184	421587
187	Airfield Road Meat Plant ST	-7.16904	55.03821	253176	421517
188	McLean Road One ST	-7.19959	55.03988	251220	421680
189	McLean Road Two ST	-7.20123	55.04052	251114	421750
190	Donnybrewer Road 97-99 ST	-7.20343	55.04003	250974	421694
191	Donnybrewer Road 98-100 ST	-7.20587	55.04078	250817	421776
192	Donnybrewer Road 88 ST	-7.20962	55.03983	250579	421667

Sanitary Survey and Sampling Plan for Lough Foyle

Map Id	Name	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing
193	Faughan ST	-7.23452	55.02553	249005	420057
194	Gransha Stradreagh More ST	-7.27120	55.01820	246667	419216
195	Stradreagh Grandha Park ST	-7.26745	55.01566	246910	418936
196	Ardlough Road ST	-7.26742	54.99231	246939	416336
197	Edenreagh Road 39-41 ST	-7.19392	54.99512	251640	416701
198	Ervey Road 62 ST	-7.17950	54.97146	252594	414078
199	Ervey Road 66 ST	-7.17880	54.97202	252638	414140
200	Foreglen Road 51-53 ST	-7.17362	54.92443	253032	408847
201	Bonds Glen Road 149-151 ST	-7.20806	54.90938	250843	407146
202	Bonds Glen Road 65-67 ST	-7.25054	54.89679	248134	405715
203	Glenagoorland ST	-7.28651	54.90097	245821	406156
204	Castlemellan Upper ST	-7.32751	54.89459	243198	405420
205	Tullyard Donemana ST	-7.33088	54.88493	242991	404341
206	Castlemellan Lower ST	-7.33300	54.88551	242855	404405
207	Ballyheather Road121-123 ST	-7.36247	54.88596	240963	404437
208	Whin Road 21-23 ST	-7.39170	54.89019	239083	404892
209	Willow Road ST	-7.40938	54.89893	237941	405855
210	Victoria Road 277-279 ST	-7.42030	54.90228	237237	406222
211	Duncastle Road 52-60 ST	-7.35483	54.93641	241402	410058
212	Trench Road 66-70 ST	-7.31309	54.95836	244053	412527


Sanitary Survey and Sampling Plan for Lough Foyle

Map Id	Name	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing
213	Killea ST	-7.39880	54.99003	238532	416003
214	Culmore Point ST	-7.25437	55.04673	247710	422403

4.1.4 Industrial Discharges

Figure 4.18 shows the industrial discharges within the Lough Foyle catchment area accounted for during the desk-based assessment. In total, there are 193 industrial discharges within 20km of Lough Foyle. Site drainage account for 144 of these charges with inert and non-inert waste disposal accounting for a further 21.





4.1.5 Land use Discharges

Figure 4.19 shows the Corine land use within 20km of the Lough Foyle for 2009 and 2018. Legend for land use can be seen in Figure 4.20

Within 20km of Lough Foyle, land use proportions have changed little. The dominated land use type remains pastures (increased from 47% to 50%), followed by peat bogs (down from 13.9% to 13.2%) and moors and heathland (up from 6.2% to 7.5%) (see Figure 4.21). Forestry (coniferous, broad-leafed and mixed) has increased from 4% to 6.2% of the land use. Land associated with agricultural activities (non-irrigated arable land, pastures, complex cultivation patterns and agriculture/natural vegetation) has increased moderately from 59.1 to 59.8% of the land use in the area.

Figure 4.57: Corine land use within 20km of Lough Foyle 2009 (Bottom) and 2018 (Top) (Source: CLC, 2018).






Figure 4.58: Corine land use legend for figure 4.19.








Agricultural data used in the 2009 sanitary survey were organised by SOA (Super Output Area) for Northern Ireland; however, the 2018 agricultural data are not available in this format. The 2018 data have been organised by wards which are similar to SOA boundaries but vary somewhat. Changes in agricultural data can therefore not be directly compared. However, visual representation of these data can be used to compare the distribution of farming practices in the catchment (Figure 4.22 to Figure 4.29). The highest number of farms and area farmed remain in the south and east of the catchment. The majority of land used for crops is still located along the eastern shore of the lough and the lands bordering the River Foyle. A large proportion of the farmland in all divisions is used for grasses. The highest numbers of cattle are present in the south east of the catchment for both 2009 and 2018. The highest number of sheep are located in the south east of the catchment for both 2009 and 2018. The highest numbers of pigs are still farmed in the east. The highest density of poultry framing is in the east. In 2009, there was also a high density of poultry in the south but this has reduced.

Although individual divisions cannot be compared due to the change in division type some comparison can be made on a catchment scale. As some of the wards (2018) or SOAs (2009) only partially overlap the 20km boundary an attempt was made to account for this. The percentage of each division within the catchment was estimated in GIS. This percentage was then applied to the agri data to estimate the proportion within the catchment. Based on this area used for crops has increased by 11.1% (1349ha) and sheep numbers have decreased by 8.6% (32,914). Cattle numbers have increased by 5.2% (6,624), pigs by 61.5% (1,853) and poultry by 111.5% (200,963). There has also been a 4.1% (4736ha) increase in the area of farmland within 20km. Jones and White (1984) estimated the potential daily load for different livestock. Based on this the change in stocking densities of the different species will lead to an estimated reduction in daily *E. coli* load by 543,439x10⁹. This reduction is due to the significant decrease of sheep in the area which have a much higher daily *E. coli* load than other livestock (See Table 4.6).


Source	Faecal Production	Average Number	Daily Load	Rank
	(g/day)	(<i>E. coli</i> /g)	(<i>E. coli</i>)	
Man	150	13 x 10 ⁶	1.9 x 10 ⁹	5
Cow	23600	0.23 x 10 ⁶	5.4 x 10 ⁹	3
Sheep	1130	16 x 10 ⁶	18.1 x 10 ⁹	1
Chicken	182	1.3 x 10 ⁶	0.24 x 10 ⁹	6
Pig	2700	3.3 x 10 ⁶	8.9 x 10 ⁹	2
Gull	15.3	131.2 x 10 ⁶	2 x 10 ⁹	4


Table 4.23: Potential daily loading of *E. coli* (Jones & White, 1984).






126











Figure 4.63: Total grasses within 20km of Lough Foyle for 2009 (top) and 2018 (bottom) (Source: DAERA, 2009 & 2018; CSO, 2019a).










Figure 4.65: Sheep within 20km of Lough Foyle for 2009 (top) and 2018 (bottom) (Source: DAERA, 2009 & 2018; CSO, 2019a).



131

Figure 4.66: Pigs within 20km of Lough Foyle for 2009 (top) and 2018 (bottom) (Source: DAERA, 2009 & 2018; CSO, 2019a).






Figure 4.67: Poultry within 20km of Lough Foyle for 2009 (Left) and 2018 (right) (Source: DAERA, 2009 & 2018; CSO, 2019a).

5.5

11 km

A number of studies have reported a strong association between intensive livestock farming areas and faecal indicator concentrations of microorganisms in streams and coastal waters due to run-off from manure, especially during high flow conditions, both from point and non-point sources of contamination (for example, Crowther *et al.*, 2002).

4.1.6 Other Pollution Sources

4.1.6.1 Shipping

Operational waste from vessels, if not properly managed, can end up in the sea where the potential for contamination or pollution occurs. Wastes generated or landed in ports and harbours can be broadly divided into a) operational and domestic waste from ships and boats, b) waste from commercial cargo activities and c) wastes generated from maintenance activities and associated maritime industry activities.

Marpol Annex IV defines sewage as "drainage from medical premises, toilets, urinals, spaces containing live animals and other waste waters when mixed with sewage waste streams". Although adopted in 1973, the Annex did not come into effect until September 2003, with subsequent amendments entered into force in August 2005. Annex IV requires ships to be equipped with either a sewage treatment plant, a sewage comminuting and disinfecting system or a sewage holding tank. Within 3 miles of shore, Annex IV requires that sewage discharges be treated by a certified Marine Sanitation Device (MSD) prior to discharge into the ocean. Sewage discharges made between 3 and 12 miles of shore must be treated by no less than maceration and chlorination and sewage discharged greater than 12 miles from shore are unrestricted. Annex IV also established certain sewage reception facility standards and responsibilities for ports and contracting parties.

Ship sewage originates from water-borne human waste, wastewaters generated in preparing food, washing dishes, laundries, showers, toilets and medical facilities. However, as waste enters the lough environment from many sources, it makes the identification of specific impacts from ship/boat waste very difficult. It is widely recognised that the majority of pollution entering the marine environment comes from land-based sources and atmospheric inputs from land based industrial activities, with only an estimated 12% originating from shipping activities (GESAMP [Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine environmental Pollution], 1990).

Figure 4.30 shows all boat facilities and activities in Lough Foyle. The only commercial port in the Lough is Foyle port. The tonnage of goods has increased moderately from 1,619,000 tonnes in 2009 to 1,760,000 tonnes in 2020 (NISRA, 2019). Cargo passing through Foyle Port include Agricultural products (for example, grain, soya), Coal, Crude oil, Forestry products, metal and other typical freight. No live animals on the hoof have passed through the port in 2009 or since (NISRA, 2019).

While data on sewage discharge levels from shipping activities in Lough Foyle are not available, it is highly likely that discharging does occur within the Lough. The effect is likely to be the greatest in enclosed areas and shallow water with little or no tidal flow in the summer and autumn when temperatures are at their highest, coinciding with the peak of the boating season. However, it is also likely that these levels are very low compared with land-based discharges.




Figure 4.68: Location of all boating facilities and activities in Lough Foyle (Source: DAERA-NI, 2021).

4.1.6.2 Birds

It is important to document the bird populations in the Lough Foyle area as bird faeces are rich in faecal bacteria (Oshira & Fujioka, 1995) and have been shown to be a source of faecal contamination in the marine environment (Jones *et al.* 1978; Standridge *et al.* 1979; Levesque *et al.* 1993, Alderisio & DeLuca 1999, Levesque *et al.* 2000, Ishii *et al.* 2007).


Figure 4.31 shows the locations of the Lough Foyle Special Protection Areas (SPA) and Ramsar Sites. The Lough Foyle SPA (Site Code: IE004087) is located on the County Donegal coastline from Muff northwards to approximately 1km south of Vances Point. The Lough Foyle SPA (Site Code: UK9020031) is located all along the southern and eastern coastline of Lough Foyle from the River Faughan to Magilligan Point. This SPA also includes an isolated site at Muff, Co. Donegal. The Lough Foyle Ramsar Site (Site Code: UK12014) covers the same area as the UK SPA.

Lough Foyle is routinely surveyed by the British Trust for Ornithology (BTO) (Through the WeBS [Wetland Bird Survey] Project) and Birdwatch Ireland (Through the I-WeBS[Irish Wetland Birds Survey] project). Table 4.7 shows 5-year data used for the 2011 survey and the most recent 5-year set of data. The 5-year average has decreased slightly from 35,539 to 33,165 for the most recent 5 year period.

Table 4.24: Total number of water birds in Lough Foyle from 2002/03 to 2006/07 and
2015/16 to 2019/20 (Source: Frost et al., 2020; Birdwatch Ireland).

Site Name	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	5 year
						Mean
Lough Foyle	34,154	37,292	33,076	38,324	34,850	35,539
Site Name	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	5 year
						Mean
Lough Foyle	32036	35317	32005	36477	29991	33,165

Figure 4.69: Lough Foyle SPA and Ramsar Sites.



Bird populations in the Lough Foyle area are typically higher in early winter and late spring due to migratory events and they are typically higher in mid-winter than spring and summer as the local birds tend to move off-site in the summer months to breed. Therefore, it is probable that the contribution made by wildfowl to pollution levels in Lough Foyle is higher in the winter months. However, it is likely that these levels are low when compared with landbased discharges.

5. Shellfish and Water Sampling

5.1Historical Data

5.1.1 Shellfish Water Quality

DAERA Water Management Unit monitors a number of shellfish growing waters around the Northern Irish coastline as part of the Water Framework Directive. However, Lough Foyle is no longer monitored.

5.1.2 Shellfish Flesh Quality

In accordance with Regulation (EU) 2017/625 and its subsequent Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/627, the Food Standards Agency of Northern Ireland (FSA in NI), as competent authority, is required to establish the location and fix the boundaries of shellfish harvesting areas.

The Regulations stipulate that the competent authority must monitor the levels of *E. coli* within the harvesting area and that according to the sample results, must classify the area as being one of three categories A, B or C.

An A classification allows for the product to be placed directly on the market, whereas a B or C classification requires the product to go through a process of depuration, heat treatment or relaying before it can be placed on the market.

The FSA in NI and SFPA both monitor shellfish flesh in Lough Foyle for microbiological contamination on a monthly basis and these results are reviewed annually to determine the classification awarded. The FSA in NI currently sample shellfish flesh in Production Area 3 and 4 for classification purposes. The SFPA currently sample shellfish flesh in Production Area 1 and 2 for classification purposes. The species currently monitored in the Lough include native oysters, mussels and pacific oysters from within the classified area shown in Figure 5.1.

Lough Foyle has historically always been classified as a B harvesting areas. Table 5.1 summaries this system. Table 5.2 shows the current and historical (back to 2011) classifications within Lough Foyle.

Classification	Permitted Levels	Outcome	
А	80% of sample results	Molluscs can be harvested for	
	≤230 <i>E.coli</i> 100g, no	direct human consumption	
	results exceeding 700	provided the end product	
	<i>E.coli</i> /100g –	standard is met.	
В	90% of sample results	Molluscs can go for human	
	must be less than or	consumption after:	
	equal to 4,600 <i>E.</i>	 purification in an 	
	<i>coli</i> /100g with none	approved	
	exceeding 46,000 <i>E</i> .	establishment, or	
	<i>coli</i> /100g	 relaying in a classified 	
		Class A relaying area,	
		or an <i>E. coli</i> approved	
		heat treatment	
		process.	
С	Less than 46,000	Molluscs must be subject to	
	<i>E.coli</i> /100g flesh	relaying for a period of at least	
		2 months or cooked by an	
		approved method.	

 Table 5.25: Classification system for shellfish harvesting areas.




Figure 5.70: Locations of FSA in NI and SFPA shellfish monitoring points for classification purposes.

Bed Name	Species	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
M1	mussels	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	B**
M2	mussels	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	B**
M3	mussels	B*	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В
M4	mussels	B*	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В
O1	Native oysters	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В
O2	Native oysters	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В
O3	Native oysters	B*	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В
O4	Native oysters	B*	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В
PO1	Pacific oysters	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В

Table 5.26: Current and historical classification of shellfish beds in Lough Foyle (2011 – 2020).

* Provisional Classification - Classifications are described as provisional when an area is being classified for the first time or after a period in suspension. The term may also be used where an incomplete dataset of results was to hand.

**Dormant Fishery. Contact SFPA if Re-activating

Figure 5.2 to Figure 5.10 show the *E. coli* results for mussels, native oysters and pacific oysters for all monitoring points from 2011 to 2020. The monthly *E. coli* data for each site can be access online (<u>Classification monitoring results from previous years on the National Archives website</u>)

Table 5.2 above shows the annual classification of all site monitored in Lough Foyle from 2011 to 2020. All monitored sites have been classified as **B** from 2011 to 2020. In 2011 sites M3, M4, O3 and O4 had a provisional **B**. In 2020 M1 and M2 sites were both listed as a "Dormant Fishery".

Figure 5.71: *E. coli* results from mussels at M1 from 2011-June 2020 (Source: SFPA).




Figure 5.72: *E. coli* levels from mussels at M2 from 2011 to June 2020 (Source: SFPA).




Figure 5.73: *E. coli* levels from mussels at M3 from 2011 to 2020 (Source: FSA in NI).







Figure 5.74: *E. coli* levels from mussels at M4 from 2011 - 2020 (Source: FSA in NI).


























Table 5.8 shows the summary statistics for the *E. coli* historical data (2011 to 2020) from the 9 shellfish monitoring points over the period.

The geometric mean of *E. coli* levels was highest for mussels at M3 (285 MPN/100g), followed by mussels at M1 (220 MPN/100g). The lowest geometric mean was for native oysters at O3 (73 MPN/100g).

Site	Species	Date 1st	Date last	Min <i>E. coli</i>	Max E. coli	Median <i>E. coli</i>	Geometric
		Sample	Sample	(MPN/100g)	(MPN/100g)	(MPN/100g)	Mean <i>E. coli</i>
							(MPN/100g)
M1	Mussels	23-Jan-11	05-Oct-20	18	9200	230	220
M2	Mussels	23-Jan-11	05-Oct-20	18	18000	170	150
M3	Mussels	24-Jan-11	07-Dec-20	18	17000	330	285
M4	Mussels	24-Jan-11	07-Dec-20	18	7900	140	157
O1	Native oysters	23-Jan-11	07-Dec-20	18	16000	59	90
O2	Native oysters	23-Jan-11	07-Dec-20	18	5400	70	91
O3	Native oysters	24-Jan-11	06-Dec-16	18	3400	61	73
O4	Native oysters	24-Jan-11	06-Dec-16	18	4900	47.5	75
PO1	Pacific oysters	23-Jan-11	07-Dec-20	18	9200	78	94

Table 5.4 shows the variations of the annual geometric means of *E. coli* for the shellfish monitoring points in Lough Foyle. Figure 5.11 shows the trend in geometric mean from 2011 to 2020 for all 9 shellfish monitoring points. The geometric mean for mussels at M1 ranged from 106 - 377.5 MPN/100g and at M2 ranged from 220.7- 89.7 MPN/100g.The geometric mean for M1 and M2 could only be calculate for 2011 to 2013 as less than six results were available for the other years and would have given a skewed result. The geometric mean for mussels at M3 ranged from 127.8 - 855.6 MPN/100g. The geometric mean for mussels at O1 ranged from 71.5 - 571.4 MPN/100g. The geometric mean for native oysters at O1 ranged from 38.6 - 151.0 MPN/100g. The geometric mean for native oysters at O2 ranged from 46.5 - 225.1 MPN/100g. The geometric mean for native oysters at O3 ranged from 31.7 - 93.8 MPN/100g and at O4 ranged from 44.6 - 148.8 MPN/100g. Monitoring at O3 and O4 stopped in 2016, with M3 and M4 respectively being used to set the classification for these sites. The geometric mean for pacific oysters at PO1 ranged from 39.0 - 281.4 MPN/100g.

Site	Species	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
M1	Mussels	106.0	377.5	128.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
M2	Mussels	114.7	220.7	89.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
M3	Mussels	249.4	159.7	127.8	282.4	299.9	273.6	607.2	231.0	216.2	855.6
M4	Mussels	97.3	92.0	71.5	141.6	228.6	166.3	299.0	137.7	139.5	571.4
01	Native oysters	146.0	151.0	76.1	137.1	106.6	38.6	79.3	46.2	121.2	110.8
O2	Native oysters	206.7	98.8	71.7	146.8	66.0	46.5	69.0	70.5	88.5	225.1
O3	Native oysters	87.1	84.1	31.7	87.3	80.1	93.8	-	-	-	-
O4	Native oysters	148.8	48.3	44.6	84.1	72.2	99.2	-	-	-	-
PO1	Pacific oysters	281.4	117.7	39.0	163.3	66.0	69.1	75.6	76.4	88.3	95.3

Table 5.28: Variation of annual geometric means of *E. coli* from shellfish monitoring points in Lough Foyle.




Figure 5.80: Trend in geometric mean of *E. coli* levels from 2011 to 2020 for all 9 monitoring points.


A one-way ANOVA was carried out to look for differences between years for each monitoring point and species. For this analysis, all shellfish flesh results that returned a less than value (for example, <X) were given that value (for example, <20 becomes 20). No statistically significant difference was found between years at any of the current monitoring points.

A one-way ANOVA was also carried out on the seasonal *E. coli* counts for each monitoring point and species. This analysis found that there was no significant difference between seasons for O3 native oysters, M1 mussels and PO1 pacific oysters. A significant difference was found between seasons for M2, M3 and M4 for mussels and O1, O2 and O4 for native oysters. Refer to Section 4.1.2 'Tourism' for further details on the seasonal data.

6. Production Areas for Monitoring

The 2011 sanitary survey proposed production areas based on hydrographical and spatial features for example, areas of similar depth, tidal currents, residence times, suspended sediment levels and freshwater influence as well as the results from the shellfish and water sampling. These four production areas and nine RMPs can be seen in Figure 6.1.

Figure 6.81: Production Areas and RMPs from 2010 sanitary survey.



7. Discussion/Conclusion

The monthly and seasonal wind patterns for the Lough Foyle area have remained constant over time. Winter experienced the strongest wind speeds, while summer experienced the weakest winds. The rainfall patterns have also remained the same with October to January being the wettest. However, high rainfall events can happen at other times of the year with July and August received high rainfall in a number of years.

The total population of the SOAs/EDs within 20km of Lough Foyle is 229,055 people up 4% from the 2010 sanitary survey. Along the western coastline, the highest population occurs in Moville (2366, +8.8%) and Kilderry (2089, +19.8%). The highest population along the eastern shore occurs at Eglinton (4,374, +1.6%) and Gresteel (3,495, -10.8%).

Tourism in Northern Ireland since the 2011 sanitary survey has increased significantly by 46.5%. The Lough Foyle Catchment partially overlaps two Northern Ireland Local Government Districts (LGD) for tourism numbers 'Derry City and Strabane' and 'Causeway Coast and Glens'. The Northern Ireland LGD boundaries were changed since the 2011 sanitary survey and so tourism statistics cannot be directly compared. These two LGDs received 1,346,359 tourists in 2018. As the tourism numbers in Northern Ireland have increased by 46.5% it is likely that the tourism numbers in the Lough Foyle area have also increased. The catchment also partially overlaps County Donegal. County Donegal received 255,000 overseas tourists in 2017, an increase of 25% from 2008. There is however no way of estimating the number of tourists who visited the Lough Foyle catchment area during their stay.

Statistical analysis was carried out on *E. coli* data for the active shellfish beds to ascertain if there are any seasonal patterns. This analysis found that there was no significant difference between seasons for O3 native oysters, M1 mussels and PO1 pacific oysters. A significant difference was found between seasons for M2, M3 and M4 for mussels and O1, O2 and O4 for native oysters.

M2 mussels had significantly lower E.coli results in spring when compared to winter and autumn. M3 mussels had significantly higher results in winter and autumn than in summer and spring. M4 mussels had significantly higher results in winter and autumn than in summer and spring. O1 native oysters had significantly higher results in autumn than in winter and spring. O2 native oysters had significantly higher results in summer and autumn than in winter and spring. Autumn also had significantly higher results than summer. O4 native oysters had significantly higher results in winter and autumn than in spring.

The trend at all of these site appears to be linked to rainfall levels and run-off from land. As such tourism does not appear to have a significant effect on the shellfish quality in the bay

There are 64 Waste Water Treatment Works (WWTWs) in the Lough Foyle catchment, serving a population of approximately 187,728 p.e. The major works are those at Culmore, Limavady, Magilligan Point Road, Donnybrewer, Dungiven, Ballykelly, Claudy and Greysteel, these eight works together account for 92.9% of the total population equivalent of the catchment. Of the 64 WWTWs 56 are below/at capacity and 8 are over capacity. The 56 plants that are below/at capacity account for 97.5% of the load on the WWTW in the catchment. Importantly Culmore WWTW which accounts for 71.3% of the load on the WWTW in the catchment is operating at 16,184 p.e. below capacity.

The 2010 survey only listed industrial discharges along the shoreline. All industrial discharges within the catchment have now been identified. In total, there are 193 industrial discharges within 20km of Lough Foyle. Site drainage account for 144 of these charges with inert and non-inert waste disposal accounting for a further 21.

The dominated land use type remains pastures (increased from 47% to 50%), followed by peat bogs (down from 13.9% to 13.2%) and moors and heathland (up from 6.2% to 7.5%). Land associated with agricultural activities (non-irrigated arable land, pastures, complex cultivation patterns and agriculture/natural vegetation) has increased moderately from 59.1 to 59.8% of the land use in the area. Due to a

change in the reporting boundaries for the agri-census between 2009 and 2018 farming densities could not be compared directly. As some of the wards (2018) or SOAs (2009) only partially overlap the catchment an attempt was made to account for this. The percentage of each division within the catchment was estimated in GIS. This percentage was then applied to the agri data to estimate the proportion within the catchment. Based on this, the area used for crops has increased by 11.1% (+1,349ha) and sheep numbers have decreased by 8.6% (32,914). Cattle numbers have increased by 5.2% (6,624), pigs by 61.5% (1,853) and poultry by 111.5% (200,963). There has also been a 4.1% (4736ha) increase in the area of farmland within 20km. Jones and White (1984) estimated the potential daily load for different livestock. Based on this the change in stocking densities of the different species will lead to an estimated reduction in daily E. coli load by 543,439x10⁹. This reduction is due to the significant decrease of sheep in the area which have a much higher daily E. coli load than other livestock

The only commercial port in the Lough is Foyle port. The tonnage of goods has increased moderately from 1,619,000 tonnes in 2009 to 1,760,000 tonnes in 2020 (NISRA, 2019). Cargo passing through Foyle Port include Agricultural products (for example, grain, soya), Coal, Crude oil, Forestry products, metal and other typical freight. No live animals on the hoof have passed through the port in 2009 or since (NISRA, 2019).

The available data for wetland birds show no significant change since the 2011 survey. The 5-year mean has decreased from 35,539 in 2008 to 33,165 in 2020.

The FSA in NI currently sample shellfish flesh in Production Area 3 and 4 for classification purposes. The SFPA currently sample shellfish flesh in Production Area 1 and 2 for classification purposes. The species currently monitored in the Lough include native oysters, mussels and pacific oysters from within the Lough. Based on the geometric means for all available data the highest *E. coli* concentrations were recorded in the mussel samples. The results for mussels in production area 3 (PA3) were the highest followed by PA1, with PA2 and PA4 having similar levels. This

follows with the findings of the original survey, with the inner bay having higher *E. coli* levels and in particular PA3 which has the least water movement.

A one-way ANOVA was carried out to look for differences between years for each monitoring point and species. For this analysis, all shellfish flesh results that returned a less than value (for example, <X) were given that value (for example, <20 becomes 20). No statistically significant difference was found between years at any of the current monitoring points.

The changes since the 2011 survey that are of most importance for the faecal load of the lough are the increases to population, tourism and changes to livestock numbers. The population has increased by 4% which is a large increase for a 10 year period. Importantly 97.5% of the WWTW remain either at or below capacity. The most significant of these is Culmore WWTW which treats the waste for 71.3% of the mains sewage. The facility is operating 16,184 p.e below at capacity.

Agriculture is the dominant land use in the catchment accounting for 59.8% of the land. The numbers of cattle, pigs and poultry have all increased, however, the numbers of sheep have decreased. Based on the daily faecal load for each type of livestock the daily *E. coli* load due to livestock has decreased.

Although there has been a significant increase in tourism, statistical analysis of the seasonal *E. coli* results from shellfish appears to show a trend linked to rainfall levels and run-off from land rather than tourism.

The statistical analysis of monthly *E. coli* result from 2011 to 2020 showed no significant difference between years. This along with the annual classification at all sites remaining as B shows that the *E. coli* level in shellfish in the lough has not changed since the last sanitary survey.

It has been identified that there is an accessibility issue regarding the mussel RMP for production area 4. Due to the presence of a sand bar it is unsafe to access the current RMP location. As such it has been decided to relocate the RMP. The loughs

Agency carried out sampling to identify an area with suitable stock availability and accessibility. Sampling was carried out in areas identified in the sanitary survey as having similar current speeds and residence times. Based on this a new RMP was chosen (coordinates can be seen in Table 7.1).

For these reasons, a new shoreline survey is not required. With the exception of M4 mussels RMP locations (Table 7.1) or monthly sampling frequency does not need to be adjusted and no alterations to the production area boundary are required.


RMP	Species	Longitude	Latitude	Easting	Northing
M1	Mussels	-7.189331	55.08143	251825.0	426315.0
M2	Mussels	-7.118546	55.141342	256261.5	433039.5
M3	Mussels	-7.114984	55.077408	256578.8	425924.9
M4	Mussels	-7.045560	55.126349	260929	431407
01	Native oysters	-7.154162	55.093528	254054.7	427688.5
02	Native oysters	-7.155405	55.140993	253911.5	432971.6
O3	Native oysters	-7.091576	55.088388	258058.0	427166.4
04	Native oysters	-7.046771	55.12064	260870.0	430794.9
PO1	Pacific oysters	-7.250433	55.051817	247958.0	422974.7


Table 7.29: Lough Foyle RMPS coordinates.

8. Sampling Plan

8.1 **Production Areas and Monitoring Points**

There has been no change to the production area boundaries that were set out in the 2011 sanitary survey. The Representative Monitoring Points have also remained unchanged, with the exception of the mussel monitoring point in production area 4 (RMP M4). M4 has been relocated approximately 2km to the north of its original location. The new location can be accessed safely when sampling and has similar hydrological and spatial features to the original location. The production areas and RMP locations can be see in Figure 8.1.





8.2 Sampling Plan

8.2.1 Methodology

All sampling should follow the UK NRL (National Reference Laboratory) Microbiological Sampling Protocol¹, which outlines the following:

8.2.2 Time of sampling

Sampling shall be undertaken, where practical, on as random a basis as possible with respect to likely influencing environmental factors for example, tidal state, rainfall, wind etc so as to avoid introducing any bias to the results.

¹ The UK NRL Microbiological Sampling Protocol remains compliant with Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 at the time of publication and should continue to be used. The Competent Authority will utilise the NI NRL for microbiology for future amendments.

8.2.3 Frequency of Sampling

All sampling should be carried out on a monthly basis.

8.2.4 Sampling method

Wherever possible, species shall be sampled by the method normally used for commercial harvesting. The temperature of the surrounding seawater at the time of sampling should be recorded on the sample submission form.

8.2.5 Size of individual animals

Samples should only consist of animals that are within the normal commercial size range. In circumstances where less mature stock is being commercially harvested for human consumption then samples of these smaller bivalves may be gathered for analysis.

8.2.6 Sample composition

The following sample sizes (in terms of number of individuals by species) are recommended for submission to the laboratory:

Oysters (Crassostrea gigas and Ostrea edulis) 12-18 Mussels (Mytilus spp.) 18-35

8.2.7 Preparation of samples

Any mud and sediment adhering to the shellfish should be removed. This is best achieved by rinsing/scrubbing with clean seawater or fresh water of potable quality. If these are unavailable the seawater from the immediate area of sampling may be used instead. Do not totally re-immerse the shellfish in water. Allow to drain before placing in a food grade plastic bag.

8.2.8 Sample transport

A cool box containing freezer packs should be delivered to the laboratory as soon as practicable but the maximum time between collection and commencement of the test should not exceed 24 hours. Samples should not be frozen and freezer packs should not come into direct contact with the samples.

The cool boxes used for such transport should be validated using appropriate temperature probes, to ensure that the recommended temperature is achieved

and maintained for the appropriate period. The number and arrangement of freezer packs, and the sample packaging procedure, shown to be effective in the validation procedure should be followed during routine use. Where validation data already exists for a specific type of cool box, there is no need to take a local revalidation.

8.2.9 Sample Submission form

Sample point identification name, map co-ordinates, time and date of collection, species sampled, method of collection and seawater temperature should be recorded on the submission form. Any other information deemed relevant should also be recorded.

8.2.10 Delivery of samples

Samples should be properly labelled and accompanied by a completed sample submission form. Samples should be brought within 24 hours to the chosen accredited laboratory for analysis.

9. References

- Alderisio, K.A., & N. DeLuca. 1999. Seasonal Enumeration of Fecal Coliform Bacteria from the Feces of Ring-Billed Gulls (*Larus delawarensis*) and Canada Geese (*Branta canadensis*). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65:655628–5630.
- Crowther, J., Kay, D. & M.D. Wyer. 2002. Faecal indicator concentrations in waters draining lowland pastoral catchments in the UK: relationships with land use and farming practices. *Water Research* 36: 1725-1734.
- CSO. 2019. Census 2016 Small Area Population Statistics. <u>http://census.cso.ie/sapmap/</u> Accessed April 2021
- CSO. 2019b. Census of Agriculture 2010. <u>http://census.cso.ie/censusagriculture Accessed</u> <u>March 2021</u>.
- CSO. 2021. Statistics of port traffic 2020. <u>https://www.cso.ie/en/releasesandpublications/er/spt/statisticsofporttrafficquarter4year20</u> <u>20/</u>. Accessed May 2021
- DAERA-NI. 2021. https://appsd.daera-ni.gov.uk/marinemapviewer/. Accessed January 2021

DAERA. 2009. Farm Census 2009.

http://www.ninis.nisra.gov.uk/mapxtreme/DataCatalogue.asp?button=Agriculture. Accessed 21/03/2011.

- DAERA. 2018. Farm census 2018. <u>https://www.daera-ni.gov.uk/publications/agricultural-</u> <u>census-northern-ireland-2019</u>. Accessed September 2020.
- Elmir, S.M., Wright, M.E., Abdelzaher, A., Solo-Gabriele, H.M., Fleming, L.E., Miller, G.,
 Rybolowik, M, Shih, M.-T.P., Pillai, S.P., Cooper, J.A & E.A. Quaye. 2007. Quantitative
 evaluation of bacteria released by bathers in a marine water. *Water Research*, 41(1): 3-10.
- Ferreira, J.G., Hawkins, A.J.S., Monteiro, P., Service, M., Moore, H., Edwards, A., Gowen, R., Lourenco, P., Mellor, A., Nunes, J.P., Pascoe, P.L., Ramos, L., Sequeira, A., Simas, T. & J. Strong. 2007. SMILE Sustainable Mariculture in northern Irish Lough Ecosystems Assessment of Carrying Capacity for Environmentally Sustainable Shellfish Culture in Carlingford Lough, Carlingford Lough, Belfast Lough, Larne Lough and Lough Foyle. Ed. IMAR Institute of Marine Science. 100pp.
- Ferreira, J.G., Hawkins, A.J.S., Monteiro, P., Moore, H., Service, M., Pascoe, P.L., Ramos,
 L. & A. Sequeira. 2008. Integrated assessment of ecosystem-scale carrying capacity in shellfish growing areas. *Aquaculture* 275: 138-151.
- Frost, T.M., Calbrade, N.A., Birtles, G.A., Mellan, H.J., Hall, C., Robinson, A.E., Wotton, S.R., Balmer, D.E. and Austin, G.E. 2020. Waterbirds in the UK 2018/19: The Wetland Bird Survey. BTO/RSPB/JNCC. Thetford.
- GESAMP. 1990. *The state of the Marine Environment*. UNEP Regional Seas Report and Studies No. 15. UNEP 1990.
- Ishii, S., Hansen, D.L., Hicks, R.E., & M.J. Sadowsky. 2007. Beach sand and sediments are temporal sinks and sources of *Escherichia coli* in Lake Superior. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41:2203–2209.
- Jones, F., Smith, P., & D.C. Watson. 1978. Pollution of a water supply catchment by breeding gulls and the potential of environmental health implications. J. Institution of Water Engineers and Scientists 32:469–482.
- Levesque, B., Brousseau, P., Simard, P., Dewailly, Meisels, M., Ramsay, D. & J. Joly. 1993. Impact of the Ring-Billed Gull (*Larus delawarensis*) on the Microbiological Quality of Recreational Water. *Applied and Environmental* Microbiology 1228-1230.

- Levesque, B., Brousseau, P., Bernier, F., Dewailly, E & J. Joly. 2000. Study of the content of ring-billed gull droppings in relation to recreational water quality. *Water Res.* **34**:1089–1096.
- Loguhs Agency. 2020. Pre-fishery stock assessment Lough Foyle native oyster fishery. Autumn 2020.
- Met Office. 2011. UK mapped climate averages.

http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/climate/uk/averages/ukmapavge.html. Accessed January 2011.

Met Office. 2021. Historic station data. <u>https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/research/climate/maps-and-data/historic-station-data</u> Accessed May 2021.

- NISRA. 2020. <u>http://www.nisra.gov.uk</u> . Super Output Area 2008 2016. Accessed September 2020.
- NISRA, 2015. <u>https://www.nisra.gov.uk/publications/settlement-2015-documentation</u>. Headcount and household estimates for settlements. Accessed September 2020.
- NITB. 2018. Tourism Facts 2018 Northern Ireland. <u>https://tourismni.com/globalassets/facts-and-figures/research-reports/tourism-performance-statistics/annual-and-quarterly-performance/at-a-glance-jan-dec-2018.pdf</u>

NRFA (National River Flow Archive). 2020. Gauging stations and river flow data. <u>http://www.ceh.ac.uk/data/nrfa/</u>. Accessed August 2020.

- Oshira, R. & R. Fujioka. 1995. Sand, soil, and pigeon droppings: Sources of indicator bacteria in the waters of Hanauma Bay, Oahu, Hawaii. *Water Sci. Technol.* **31:** 251–254.
- Papadakis, J.A., Mavridou, A., Richardson, S.C., Lampiri, M. & U. Marcelou. 1997. Batherrelated microbial and yeast populations in sand and seawater. *Water Research*, **314**: 799-804.
- Standridge, J.H., Delfino, J.J., Kleppe, L.B., & R. Butler. 1979. Effect of waterfowl (Anas platyrhynchos) on indicator bacteria populations in a recreational lake in Madison, Wisconsin. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 38: 547–550.
- UKHO. 2004. Admiralty Chart 2800-0. UK Hydrographic Office

Environmental Assessment for Disposal Operations in Lough Foyle Anthony D Bates Partnership LLP, August 2009

Appendix 1: Statistical Analysis M1 mussel's v year

Anova: single factor

Summary

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
2011	12	30.3518	2.5293	0.4431
2012	12	30.9235	2.5770	0.3161
2013	13	27.4006	2.1077	0.2054

ANOVA

Source of	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Variation						
Between	1.6864	2	0.8432	2.6506	0.0852	3.2759
Groups						
Within	10.8162	34	0.31810	2.6506	0.0852	3.2759
Groups						
Total	12.50265	36	-	-	-	-

M2 mussel's v year

Anova: single factor

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
2011	12	24.7129	2.0594	0.3385
2012	12	28.1253	2.3438	0.3814
2013	12	23.4311	1.9526	0.2063

Source of	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Variation						
Between	0.9812	2	0.4906	1.5891	0.2193	3.2849
Groups						
Within	10.1879	33	0.3087	1.5891	0.2193	3.2849
Groups						
Total	11.1691	35	-	-	-	-

M3 mussel's v year

Anova: single factor

Summary

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
2011	12	28.7626	2.3969	0.4223
2012	12	26.4388	2.2032	0.5387
2013	12	25.2780	2.1065	0.5744
2014	11	26.9594	2.4509	0.9272
2015	12	29.7243	2.4770	0.7698
2016	13	31.6828	2.4371	0.6173
2017	12	33.3996	2.7833	0.6075
2018	13	30.7276	2.3637	0.5070
2019	12	30.2633	2.5219	1.0524
2020	9	26.3905	2.9323	0.3508

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	5.7745	9	0.6416	1.0015	0.4434	1.9677
Within Groups	69.1867	108	0.6406	1.0015	0.4434	1.9677
Total	74.9612	117	-	-	-	-

M4 mussel's v year

Anova: single factor

Summary

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
2011	11	21.8708	1.9883	0.4038
2012	12	23.5665	1.9639	0.5520
2013	10	18.5439	1.8544	0.4030
2014	11	23.6625	2.1511	0.6204
2015	12	28.3085	2.3590	0.8035
2016	13	28.8700	2.2208	0.2923
2017	12	29.7073	2.4756	0.5934
2018	13	27.8058	2.1389	0.5773
2019	12	25.5230	2.1269	0.8171
2020	9	24.8128	2.7570	0.3805

Source of						
Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between	6.5073	9	0.7230	1.3140	0.2384	1.9702
Groups	0.0070	5	0.7250	1.5140	0.2304	1.3702
Within	57.7754	105	0.5502	1.3140	0.2384	1.9702
Groups	57.7754			1.5140	0.2004	1.3702
Total	64.2827	114	-	-	-	-

O1 native oyster's v year

Anova: single factor

Summary

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
2011	9	19.4780	2.1642	0.5947
2012	11	23.9699	2.1791	0.3776
2013	12	22.5753	1.8813	0.2500
2014	13	27.7831	2.1372	0.4388
2015	12	24.3341	2.0278	0.7303
2016	13	20.6309	1.5870	0.2107
2017	12	22.7892	1.8991	0.4464
2018	13	21.6410	1.6647	0.2684
2019	12	25.0004	2.0834	0.6878
2020	9	18.4025	2.0447	0.2809

Source of	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Variation						
Between	4.6702	9	0.5189	1.2208	0.2901	1.9694
Groups						
Within	45.0560	106	0.4251	1.2208	0.2901	1.9694
Groups						
Total	49.7262	115	-	-	-	-

O2 native oyster's v year

Anova: single factor

Summary

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
2011	9	20.8390	2.3154	0.4993
2012	12	23.9385	1.9949	0.4464
2013	12	22.2654	1.8554	0.2322
2014	13	28.1676	2.1667	0.5465
2015	12	21.8368	1.8197	0.2976
2016	13	21.6719	1.6671	0.3371
2017	12	22.0680	1.8390	0.5305
2018	13	24.0258	1.8481	0.4376
2019	12	23.3639	1.9470	0.5573
2020	9	21.1712	2.3524	0.7946

Source of	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Variation						
Between	4.9159	9	0.5462	1.1949	0.3058	1.9685
Groups						
Within	48.9106	107	0.45714	1.1949	0.3058	1.9685
Groups						
Total	53.8265	116	-	-	-	-

O3 native oyster's v year

Anova: single factor

Summary

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
2011	8	15.5213	1.9402	0.3107
2012	12	23.0976	1.9248	0.2783
2013	11	16.5103	1.5009	0.1392
2014	11	21.3488	1.9408	0.5167
2015	12	22.8421	1.9035	0.5395
2016	13	25.6359	1.9720	0.3277

ANOVA

Source of	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Variation						
Between	1.7759	5	0.3552	1.0002	0.4254	2.3657
Groups						
Within	21.6616	61	0.3551	1.0002	0.4254	2.3657
Groups						
Total	23.4374	66	-	-	-	-

O4 native oyster's v year

Anova: single factor

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
2011	9	19.5523	2.1725	0.3381
2012	12	20.2036	1.6836	0.2986
2013	11	18.1440	1.6495	0.1770
2014	11	21.1737	1.9249	0.6579
2015	12	22.3056	1.8588	0.4621
2016	13	25.9520	1.9963	0.3912

Source of	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Variation						
Between	2.0169	5	0.4034	1.0370	0.4040	2.3631
Groups						
Within	24.1165	62	0.3890	1.0370	0.4040	2.3631
Groups						
Total	26.1334	67	-	-	-	-

PO1 pacific oyster's v year

Anova: single factor

Summary

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
2011	12	29.3919	2.4493	0.9131
2012	11	22.7787	2.0708	0.5739
2013	11	17.5056	1.5914	0.1430
2014	13	28.7694	2.2130	0.4292
2015	12	21.8352	1.8196	0.1867
2016	13	23.9139	1.8395	0.3652
2017	12	22.5437	1.8786	0.2580
2018	11	20.7159	1.8833	0.4850
2019	12	23.3539	1.9462	0.3537
2020	12	23.7495	1.9791	0.3452

Source of	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Variation						
Between	5.8965	9	0.6552	1.6165	0.1193	1.9669
Groups						
Within	44.1770	109	0.4053	1.6165	0.1193	1.9669
Groups						
Total	50.0734	118	-	-	-	-

M1 mussel's v Season

Anova: single factor

Summary

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Winter	17	44.8414	2.6377	0.4034
Spring	18	36.9835	2.0546	0.3657
Summer	13	28.4485	2.1883	0.4392
Autumn	18	44.7889	2.4883	0.6108

ANOVA

Source of	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Variation						
Between	3.6615	3	1.2205	2.6714	0.0551	2.7530
Groups						
Within	28.3269	62	0.4569	2.6714	0.0551	2.7530
Groups						
Total	31.9884	65	-	-	-	-

M2 mussel's v Season

Anova: single factor

Summary

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Winter	17	38.2514	2.2501	0.1284
Spring	18	32.0521	1.7807	0.2711
Summer	13	27.9467	2.1497	0.8766
Autumn	17	43.2205	2.5424	0.2802

Source of	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Variation						
Between	5.1974	3	1.7325	4.8781	0.0042	2.7555
Groups						

Source of	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Variation						
Within	21.6640	61	0.3551	4.8781	0.0042	2.7555
Groups						
Total	26.8614	64	-	-	-	-

M3 mussel's v Season

Anova: single factor

Summary

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Winter	29	82.9935	2.8618	0.3125
Spring	28	61.4911	2.1961	0.6667
Summer	31	61.2850	1.9769	0.4917
Autumn	29	81.3388	2.8048	0.5766

ANOVA

Source of	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Variation						
Between	17.3097	3	5.7699	11.3101	0.0000	2.6849
Groups						
Within	57.6474	113	0.5102	11.3101	0.0000	2.6849
Groups						
Total	74.9571	116	-	-	-	-

M4 mussel's v Season

Anova: single factor

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Winter	29	74.0596	2.5538	0.3650
Spring	27	52.9659	1.9617	0.6406
Summer	30	54.9020	1.8301	0.4139
Autumn	29	70.7435	2.4394	0.5169

Source of	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Variation						
Between	10.9300	3	3.6433	7.5799	0.0001	2.6864
Groups						
Within	53.3527	111	0.4807	7.5799	0.0001	2.6864
Groups						
Total	64.2827	114	-	-	-	-

O1 native oyster's v Season

Anova: single factor

Summary

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Winter	31	52.9332	1.7075	0.1857
Spring	26	46.8858	1.8033	0.4047
Summer	27	54.1902	2.0070	0.5055
Autumn	32	72.5953	2.2686	0.4897

ANOVA

Source of						
Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between	5.7170	3	1.9057	4.8497	0.0033	2.6856
Groups	0.7170	0	1.5007	4.0407	0.0000	2.0000
Within	44.0092	112	0.3929	4.8497	0.0033	2.6856
Groups				4.0497	0.0000	2.0000
Total	49.7262	115	-	-	-	-

O2 native oyster's v Season

Anova: single factor

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Winter	31	48.6423	1.5691	0.1079
Spring	26	40.9032	1.5732	0.1789

Summer	28	59.8255	2.1366	0.3964
Autumn	32	79.9770	2.4993	0.5358

Source of	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Variation						
Between	18.8065	3	6.2688	20.2279	0.0000	2.6849
Groups						
Within	35.0200	113	0.3099	20.2279	0.0000	2.6849
Groups						
Total	53.8265	116	-	-	-	-

O3 native oyster's v Season

Anova: single factor

Summary

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Winter	17	33.7008	1.9824	0.2258
Spring	16	25.5779	1.5986	0.2666
Summer	17	30.3193	1.7835	0.4562
Autumn	17	35.3579	2.0799	0.3912

ANOVA

Source of	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Variation						
Between	2.2676	3	0.7559	2.2494	0.0912	2.7505
Groups						
Within	21.1699	63	0.3360	2.2494	0.0912	2.7505
Groups						
Total	23.4374	66	-	-	-	-

O4 native oyster's v Season

Anova: single factor

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Winter	17	32.8415	1.9319	0.2690
Spring	16	24.2470	1.5154	0.0997
Summer	17	31.3978	1.8469	0.5594
Autumn	18	38.8449	2.1580	0.4592

Source of	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Variation						
Between	3.5786	3	1.1929	3.3847	0.0234	2.7482
Groups						
Within Groups	22.5549	64	0.3524	3.3847	0.0234	2.7482
Total	26.1334	67	-	-	-	-

PO1 pacific oyster's v Season

Anova: single factor

Summary

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Winter	31	63.5719	2.0507	0.3393
Spring	30	53.4915	1.7831	0.4804
Summer	29	53.5972	1.8482	0.3384
Autumn	29	63.8970	2.2033	0.4724

Source of	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Variation						
Between	3.2597	3	1.0866	2.6692	0.0509	2.6835
Groups						
Within	46.8137	115	0.4071	2.6692	0.0509	2.6835
Groups						
Total	50.0734	118	-	-	-	-