Animal Welfare non-compliances for Q4 2018/19

These slides are set out by species and show numbers of welfare non compliances in each area of the slaughterhouse (Unloading, Lairage, Movement & Restraint, Stunning, Bleeding) and include a separate section for Management Responsibilities. Management Responsibilities cover areas the business operator is responsible for such as keeping up-to-date Standard Operating Procedures. The slaughterhouse layout is for illustrative purposes only and is not intended to reflect all methods/legal requirements of slaughter (for example gas stunning of pigs is not shown).

Some establishments carry out both stun and non stun slaughter processes. Where the method of slaughter is predominantly one or the other, these establishments have been assigned to that predominant category. Where the method is split equally, these establishments are classified as combined.

Some poultry establishments carry out stunning via the water bath method using electrical currents which do not fall within legislative parameters laid down in EC 1099/2009 Annex I. These methods are shown by research not to be effective stunning and may result in electro immobilisation of the birds. As it is not possible for an Official Veterinarian to determine if the bird is effectively stunned or simply immobilised this method is classed as non-stun slaughter.

The data also shows numbers of non-compliant premises, numbers of affected animals and types of enforcement actions taken. Approximate throughput is provided.


Animal Welfare Non Compliances – Cattle – Q4 2018/19


	All	Stun	Combined	Non Stun
Total Plants	156	151	3	2
Throughput*	439,600	430,600	2,900	6,100
No of NC Plants	23	22	1	0
Total NC	34	33	1	0
No of Affected Animals	86	85	1	0

* Throughput rounded a	nd allocated to the slau	ighterhouse's preda	ominant slaughter metho	Ы

Enforcement Action			
	Stun	Combined	Non Stun
Verbal	17	0	0
Written	12	1	0
WEN	3	0	0
Referred For Investigation	2	0	0

A single non-compliance may require multiple enforcement actions






Animal Welfare Non Compliances – Pigs – Q4 2018/19


Total Plants	113
Throughput*	2,156,500
No of NC Plants	10
Total NC	10
No of Affected Animals	57

^{*} Throughput rounded

Enforcement Action		
Verbal	2	
Written	7	
WEN	0	
Referred For Investigation	1	

A single non-compliance may require multiple enforcement actions





Animal Welfare Non Compliances – Poultry – Q4 2018/19


	All	Stun	Combined	Non Stun
Total Plants	63	48	0	15
Throughput*	253,011,400	239,144,600	0	13,866,800
No of NC Plants	14	10	0	4
Total NC	36	31	0	5
No of Affected Animals	2,380	199	0	2,181


Enforcement Action			
	Stun	Combined	Non Stun
Verbal	9	0	1
Written	23	0	2
WEN	1	0	1
Referred For Investigation	0	0	1

* Throughput rounded and allocated to the slaughterhouse's predominant slaughter method

Non-stun category includes poultry slaughtered under exemption from electrical parameters in EC 1099/2009 Annex 1

A single non-compliance may require multiple enforcement actions





Animal Welfare Non Compliances – Sheep and Goats – Q4 2018/19

	All	Stun	Combined	Non Stun
Total Plants	153	142	2	9
Throughput*	2,948,700	2,127,500	149,500	671,700
No of NC Plants	13	10	1	2
Total NC	19	13	4	2
No of Affected Animals	480	109	241	130

* Throughout rounded and all	acated to the	claughterhouse's	c nradominant c	laughter method

Enforcement Action			
	Stun	Combined	Non Stun
Verbal	6	1	1
Written	5	1	0
WEN	3	2	1
Referred For Investigation	0	0	0

A single non-compliance may require multiple enforcement actions

