



Brominated Flame Retardants in Baby Foods and Infant Formulae

Report to Food Standards Agency

September 2015





Authors and Principal Workers

Authors: Martin Rose, Alwyn Fernandes, R Steve Petch

Principal workers: Sonia Perez Duran, Stephen Jones, Frankie Smith, Sean Panton, Simon Cardwell, Joe Holland, Mel Holland, Hannah Bason, Klaas Harmannij, Zoe Turford, Elizabeth Greene.

Authorised Signatories:

.....
Alwyn Fernandes
Senior scientist, Environmental contaminants

Martin Rose
Science Lead, Environmental contaminants

Contact details:

Martin Rose
Fera Science Ltd,
Sand Hutton
YORK
YO41 1LZ

martin.rose@fera.co.uk

Opinions and interpretations are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation

This report has been prepared after exercise of all reasonable care and skill, but is provided without liability in its application and use.



Report Information Sheet

Title: Brominated Flame Retardants in Baby Foods and Infant Formulae

Authors: Martin Rose, Alwyn Fernandes, R Steve Petch

Date: September 2015

Sponsor: Food Standards Agency,
Aviation House,
125 Kingsway,
LONDON WC2B 6NH

Sponsor's project number: A56FW001; FS102114

Fera contract number: B2FQ and FR/000032

Principal Workers: Sonia Perez Duran, Stephen Jones, Frankie Smith, Sean Panton,
Simon Cardwell, Joe Holland, Mel Holland, Hannah Bason, Klaas
Harmannij, Zoe Turford, Elizabeth Greene.

Distribution: Dr David Mortimer, FSA
Fera Information Centre

Contents

Summary	3
1 Introduction	4
2. Samples and Methods	4
3. Results	5
4. Conclusions	6
References	6

Summary

Approximately 120 samples of baby foods and 30 infant formulae have been analysed for the presence of brominated flame retardants (BFRs). Analysis covered the occurrence of BFRs resulting from the past use of three main commercial PBDE mixtures, Penta-BDE, Octa-BDE and Deca-BDE and also hexa-bromocyclododecane (HBCD) which is another high volume BFR that is being phased out due to widespread environmental presence (HBCD).

Both baby foods and infant formulae were characterised by a low presence of both PBDEs and HBCD, with congeners remaining undetected above the prevailing LODs, in many samples. Where PBDEs were found, the most commonly detected congeners were BDE-47, BDE-99 and BDE 209.

1. Introduction

Brominated flame retardants (BFRs) are used (either chemically bonded, or more commonly, as additives) in industrial and domestic polymeric materials, in order to delay the onset of fire. Historically, the most commonly used BFRs were polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) mixtures, but as concern about potential and reported toxicological effects have been studied and reported over the last 15 years or so, the three main commercial PBDE mixtures, Penta-BDE, Octa-BDE and Deca-BDE have been phased out of use in some parts of the world (notably the EU and some States in the US). Similarly the use of other high volume BFRs such as hexa-bromocyclododecane (HBCD) is being phased out during this year (2015) due to widespread environmental presence, the potential to bio-accumulate in the food chain and reported toxicity. Despite bans or restricted use, PBDEs and HBCD continue to be found routinely in foods, and investigations on current levels are ongoing, with a view to evaluate any trends in occurrence. Data on BFRs in baby foods and infant formulae, is however scarce, and given some of the reported biological effects on neurotoxicity and cognitive disorders, this investigation was designed to establish the current occurrence of PBDEs, HBCD and TBBPA in these foods.

2. Samples and Methods

Approximately 120 samples of baby foods and 30 infant formulae were selected in consultation with the FSA, from an earlier study on heavy metal contamination, project FS 102048 (Brereton, 2014).

Analysis for PBDEs, HBCD and TBBPA content was carried out as in previous studies for the FSA, using internal standardization with ¹³C labelled material. HBCD stereoisomers and TBBPA were measured using LC-MS/MS, and PBDEs were measured using GC-high resolution MS.

The PBDEs measured were the 17 congeners that have been quantified in previous work for the FSA (PBDE 28, PBDE 47, PBDE 49, PBDE 99, PBDE 100, PBDE 138, PBDE 153, PBDE 154, PBDE 183 and PBDE 209). These include the 10 PBDE congeners that have been specified in Commission Recommendation 2014/118. HBCD analytes include diastereomers. α -, β -, and γ -HBCD, and TBBP-A as normally reported to the FSA (also specified in Commission Recommendation 2014/118).

The methodologies that were used for PBDE and HBCD analyses have been published in peer reviewed journals (Fernandes et al, 2004; Harmer et al, 2008). They have been used to carry out investigations or surveys for the FSA and other customers on food and animal feed. The methodologies are continuously validated by regular and successful participation in international proficiency testing where available (PBDEs and more recently, HBCD). The results of In House Reference Material analyses have been included with the results (PBDEs), as well as the results of the last PT schemes on HBCD.

3. Results

The results are presented in Annex 1 and are supplied both as an Excel spreadsheet and in pdf form.

The results are reported in conventional units - $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ on a whole weight basis. The limits of detection were calculated dynamically during analysis and incorporated the associated procedure blanks that were routinely used. These are generally similar to or better than those proposed in Commission Recommendation 2014/118.

Both baby foods and infant formulae were characterised by a low incidence of positive detection for PBDEs as well as HBCD, with no congeners found in many samples. Where PBDEs were found, the most commonly detected congeners were BDE-47, BDE-99 and BDE 209. The range of occurrence was from $<0.002 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ for most congeners up to $0.82 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ for BDE-209 in a sample of infant formula.

HBCD occurrence was rare. Only α -HBCD was detected, in two samples of baby foods, at levels of $0.03 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ (occurrence range was <0.01 to $0.03 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$). TBBPA was not detected in any sample at an LOD of $<0.01 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$. Neither BFR was detected in infant formulae samples.

4. Conclusions

Both baby foods and infant formulae were characterised by a low presence of both PBDEs and HBCD, with no congeners above the prevailing LODs in many samples. Where PBDEs were found, the most commonly detected congeners were BDE-47, BDE-99 and BDE 209.

References

Brereton, N. (2014). Survey of metals in commercial infant foods, infant formula and non-infant specific foods. Fera report 14/01.

European Commission (2014). Commission Recommendation 2014/118/EU of 3 March 2014, on the monitoring of traces of brominated flame retardants in food. *Official Journal of the European Union*, L65/39, 5.3.2014

Fernandes, A., White, S., DSilva, K., Rose, M., (2004). Simultaneous Determination of PCDDs, PCDFs, PCBs and PBDEs in Food. *Talanta*, 63, 1147-1155.

Harmer N, Driffield M, Fernandes A, Bradley E, Rose M, Mortimer D and Dicks P (2008) The determination of brominated flame retardants in food by liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometric detection: diastereoisomer specific hexabromocyclododecane and tetrabromobisphenol A *Food Additives and Contaminants*, 25(7), p895



Fera hereby excludes all liability for any claim, loss, demands or damages of any kind whatsoever (whether such claims, loss, demands or damages were foreseeable, known or otherwise) arising out of or in connection with the preparation of any technical or scientific report, including without limitation, indirect or consequential loss or damage; loss of actual or anticipated profits (including loss of profits on contracts); loss of revenue; loss of business; loss of opportunity; loss of anticipated savings; loss of goodwill; loss of reputation; loss of damage to or corruption of data; loss of use of money or otherwise, and whether or not advised of the possibility of such claim, loss demand or damages and whether arising in tort (including negligence), contract or otherwise. This statement does not affect your statutory rights.

Nothing in this disclaimer excludes or limits Fera liability for: (a) death or personal injury caused by Fera negligence (or that of its employees, agents or directors); or (b) the tort of deceit; [or (c) any breach of the obligations implied by Sale of Goods Act 1979 or Supply of Goods and Services Act 1982 (including those relating to the title, fitness for purpose and satisfactory quality of goods);] or (d) any liability which may not be limited or excluded by law (e) fraud or fraudulent misrepresentation.

The parties agree that any matters are governed by English law and irrevocably submit to the non-exclusive jurisdiction of the English courts.

Copyright © Fera Science Ltd. (Fera) 2015. All rights reserved.