TABLE OF	CONTENTS	Page
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xlii
	Introduction	xliv
	Aims	xliv
	Objectives	xlv
	Approaches	xlv
	Key findings	xlvi
	Table i. The full panel of 14 <i>C. jejuni</i> and C. coli isolates used in this project, their	xlvii
1		111
T	WITHIN R FRAMEWORK	1
1.1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.2	METHODOLOGY	2
1.2.1	Time temperature Simulations	2
1.2.2	Extended Simulations	2
1.2.3	pH and Time-Temperature Simulations	2
1.2.4	Food Matrices Time temperature Simulations	3
1.2.5	R Modelling Framework	3
1.2.6	Model Assessment	5
1.2.7	Model Building	6
1.3	Time-Temperature Simulations: 56°C	7
	Figure 1. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 11253 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	7
	Figure 2. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 11368 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 56°C.	8
	Figure 3. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 11762 (ST-829, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	9
	Figure 4. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12610 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	10
	Figure 5. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	11
	Figure 6. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12645 (ST-51, CC-43) following heating at 56°C.	12
	Figure 7. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 56°C.	13
	Figure 8. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12720 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 56°C.	14
	Figure 9. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12745 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 56°C.	15
	Figure 10. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12783 (ST-574, CC- 574) following heating at 56°C.	16
	Figure 11. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 56°C.	17
	Figure 12. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 56°C	18
	Figure 13. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 56°C.	19

	Figure 14. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 13163 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 56°C.	20
1.4	Extended Analysis: Time-Temperature Profile 56°C	21
	Figure 15. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of two strains following heating at 56°C. Simulations were repeated using different media; strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) a) 6 Log CFU/ml-1, b) 8 Log CFU/ml-1 and strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) c) 6 Log CFU/ml-1, d) 8 Log CFU/ml-1.	21
	Figure 16. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of two strains following heating at 56°C. Simulations were repeated using different media; strain 11168C (ST-43, CC-21) a) mCCDA, b) CAB-FBP and strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) c) mCCDA, d) CAB-FBP.	22
1.5	Time-Temperature Simulations: 60°C	23
	Figure 17. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 11253 (ST-825, CC- 828) following heating at 60°C.	23
	Figure 18. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 11368 (ST-574, CC- 574) following heating at 60°C.	24
	Figure 19. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 11762 (ST-829, CC- 828) following heating at 60°C.	25
	Figure 20. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12610 (ST-825, CC- 828) following heating at 60°C.	26
	Figure 21. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC- 828) following heating at 60°C.	27
	Figure 22. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12645 (ST-51, CC- 443) following heating at 60°C.	28
	Figure 23. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC- 257) following heating at 60°C.	29
	Figure 24. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12720 (ST-51, CC- 443) following heating at 60°C.	30
	Figure 25. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12745 (ST-257, CC- 257) following heating at 60°C.	31
	Figure 26. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12783 (ST-574, CC- 574) following heating at 60°C.	32
	Figure 27. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 60°C.	33
	Figure 28. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 60°C.	34
	Figure 29. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 60°C.	35
	Figure 30. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 13163 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 60°C.	36
1.6	Time-Temperature Simulations: 64°C	37
	Figure 31. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 11253 (ST-825, CC- 828) following heating at 64°C.	37
	Figure 32. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 11368 (ST-574, CC- 574) following heating at 64°C.	38
	Figure 33. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 11762 (ST-829, CC- 828) following heating at 64°C.	39

	Figure 34. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12610 (ST-825, CC- 828) following heating at 64°C.	40
	Figure 35. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating at 64°C.	41
	Figure 36. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12645 (ST-51, CC- 443) following heating at 64°C.	42
	Figure 37. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC- 257) following heating at 64°C.	43
	Figure 38. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12720 (ST-51, CC- 443) following heating at 64°C.	44
	Figure 39. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12745 (ST-257, CC- 257) following heating at 64°C.	45
	Figure 40. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 12783 (ST-574, CC- 574) following heating at 64°C.	46
	Figure 41. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 64°C.	47
	Figure 42. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 64°C.	48
	Figure 43. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 64°C.	49
	Figure 44. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of strain 13133 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 64°C.	50
1.7	pH and Time-Temperature Simulations:	51
	Figure 45. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of four strains following combined pH and Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at a 56°C.	51
	Figure 46. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of four strains following combined pH and Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at a 60°C.	52
	Figure 47. Plot of observed data illustrating survival of four strains following combined pH and Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at a 64°C.	53
1.8	RESULTS	54
1.8.1	Time-Temperature Simulations:	54
1.8.1.1	Non-linear Models	54
1.8.1.2	Extended Simulations	55
1.8.1.3	Mixed Weibull Distribution Model	55
1.8.2	pH and Time-Temperature Simulations:	56
1.8.2.1	Non-linear Models	56
1.8.2.2	Mixed Weibull Distribution Model	57
1.8.3	Predictive Models: Time-Temperature Simulations: 56°C	59
	Table 1. An assessment of the goodness of fit of models analysing the survival of each strain following heating at 56°C.	59
	Table 2. Fourparameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 11253 (ST825, CC828) following heating at 56°C.	59
	Table 3. Fourparameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 11368 (ST574, CC574) following heating at 56°C.	59
	Table 4. Fourparameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 11762 (ST829, CC828) following heating at 56°C.	60
	Table 5. Fourparameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 12610 (ST825, CC828) following heating at 56°C.	60

	Table 6. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	60
	Table 7. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 12645 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 56°C.	60
	Table 8. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 56°C.	61
	Table 9. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 12720 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 56°C.	61
	Table 10. Logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 12745 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 56°C.	61
	Table 11. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 12783 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 56°C.	61
	Table 12. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 56°C.	62
	Table 13. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 56°C.	62
	Table 14. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 56°C.	62
	Table 15. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 13163 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 56°C.	62
	Table 16. An Information Theoretic assessment of the relative performance of non-linear functions used to describe underlying response of Campylobacter following beating at 56°C	63
1.8.4	Extended Time-Temperature Simulation:s 56°C	63
	Table 17. An assessment of the goodness of fit of four-parameter logistic regression models comparing the survival of two strains of Campylobacter using different initial inocula following heating at 56°C	63
	Table 18. Four-parameter logistic regression model comparing the survival of strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) using different inocula following heating at 56°C.	63
	Table 19. Four-parameter logistic regression model comparing the survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) using different inocula following heating at 56°C.	64
	Table 20. Properties of each strain heated at 56°C, the underlying non-linear functional form of each model and assessment of model fit used to compare media	64
	Table 21. Four-parameter logistic regression model comparing survival by means of different media of strain 11168C (ST-45, CC-21) following heating at 56°C.	65
	Table 22. Asymptotic regression model comparing survival by means of different media of strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 56°C.	65
1.8.5	Time-Temperature Simulations: 56°C	66
	Mixed Weibull Distribution Model:	66
	Table 23. An assessment of the goodness of fit for Mixed Weibull distribution models analysing the survival of each strain following heating at 56°C.	66
	Table 24. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 11253 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	66
	Table 25. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 11762 (ST-829, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	66
	Table 26. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12610 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	67

Table 27. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	survival of strain 12628 6	7
Table 28. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 56°C.	survival of strain 12720 6	7
Table 29. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 56°C.	survival of strain 13126 6	7
Table 30. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 56°C.	survival of strain 13136 6	8
Table 31. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 56°C.	survival of strain 13163 6	8
Predicted Response Curves Non-linear Model: Time-Tem	oerature Profile 56°C 6	9
Figure 48. Plot illustrating predicted response using a regression model curve for strain 11253 (ST-825, CC-8256°C.	four-parameter logistic 6 28) following heating at	9
Figure 49. Plot illustrating predicted response curve logistic regression model for strain 11368 (ST-574, CC-5 56°C.	using a four-parameter 7 74) following heating at	0
Figure 50. Plot illustrating predicted response curve logistic regression model for strain 11762 (ST-829, CC-8 56°C.	using a four-parameter 7 28) following heating at	1
Figure 51. Plot illustrating predicted response curve logistic regression model for strain 12610 (ST-825, CC-8 56°C.	using a four-parameter 7 28) following heating at	2
Figure 52. Plot illustrating predicted response curve logistic regression model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-8 56°C.	using a four-parameter 7 28) following heating at	3
Figure 53. Plot illustrating predicted response curve logistic regression model for strain 12645 (ST-51, CC-44 56°C.	using a four-parameter 7 I3) following heating at	4
Figure 54. Plot illustrating predicted response curve logistic regression model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-2 56°C.	using a four-parameter 7 57) following heating at	5
Figure 55. Plot illustrating the predicted response curve logistic regression model for strain 12720 (ST-51, CC-4-56°C.	using a four-parameter 7 I3) following heating at	6
Figure 56. Plot illustrating predicted response curve us model for strain 12745 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating	ing a logistic regression 7 at 56°C.	7
Figure 57. Plot illustrating predicted response curve logistic regression model for strain 12783 (ST-574, CC-5 56°C.	using a four-parameter 7 74) following heating at	8
Figure 58. Plot illustrating predicted response curve regression model for strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) following	e using an asymptotic 7 heating at 56°C.	9
Figure 59. Plot illustrating predicted response curve logistic regression model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) for	using a four-parameter 8 Illowing heating at 56°C.	0
Figure 60. Plot illustrating predicted response curve logistic regression model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) f using a four-parameter logistic regression model.	using a four-parameter 8 ollowing heating at 56°C	1
Figure 61. Plot illustrating predicted response curve logistic regression model for strain 13163 (ST-21, CC-21) for	using a four-parameter 8 Illowing heating at 56°C.	2
Extended Analysis Predicted Response Curves: Time-Tem	perature Profile 56°C 8	3

1.8.7

v

Figure 62. Plot illustrating predicted response curves using asymptotic regression 83 models for two strains following heating at 56°C. Simulations were repeated using different media ; strain 11168C (ST-43, CC-21); strain a) mCCDA, b) CAB-FBP and strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) c) mCCDA, d) CAB-FBP.

Figure 63. Plot illustrating predicted response curves using a four-parameter 84 logistic regression model for two strains following heating at 56°C. Simulations were repeated using different media; strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) a) 6 Log CFU/ml-1, b) 8 Log CFU/ml-1 and strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) c) 6 Log CFU/ml-1, d) 8 Log CFU/ml-1.

1.8.8 Time-Temperature Simulations: 56°C

1.8.9

85

Mixed Weibull Distribution Model Predicted Response Curves:	85
Figure 64. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 11253 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	85
Figure 65. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 11762 (ST-829, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	86
Figure 66. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 12610 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	87
Figure 67. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	88
Figure 68. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 12720 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 56°C.	89
Figure 69. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 56°C.	90
Figure 70. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 56°C.	91
Figure 71. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 13163 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 56°C.	92
Time-Temperature Simulations: 60°C	93
Table 32. An assessment of the goodness of fit of models analysing the survival of each strain following heating at 60°C.	93
Table 33. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 11253 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 60°C.	93
Table 34. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 11368 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 60°C.	93
Table 35. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 11762 (ST-829, CC-828) following heating at 60°C.	94
Table 36. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 12610 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 60°C.	94
Table 37. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 12628 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 60°C.	94
Table 38. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 12645 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 60°C.	94
Table 39. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 60°C.	95
Table 40. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 12720 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 60°C.	95
Table 1. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 12745 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 60°C.	95

	Table 42. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 12783 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 60°C.	95
	Table 43. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 60°C.	96
	Table 44. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 60°C.	96
	Table 45. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 60°C.	96
	Table 46. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 13163 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 60°C.	96
1.8.10	Time-Temperature Simulations: 60°C	97
	Mixed Weibull Distribution Model:	97
	Table 47. An assessment of the goodness of fit for Mixed Weibull distribution models analysing the survival of each strain following heating at 60°C.	97
	Table 48. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 11368 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 60°C.	97
	Table 49. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12645 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 60°C.	97
	Table 50. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 60°C.	98
	Table 51. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12720 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 60°C.	98
	Table 52. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12745 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 60°C.	98
	Table 53. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12783 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 60°C.	98
	Table 54. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 60°C.	99
	Table 55. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 60°C.	99
1.8.11	Time-Temperature Profile: 60°C	100
	Predicted Response Curves:	100
	Figure 72. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 11253 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 60°C.	100
	Figure 73. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 11368 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 60°C.	101
	Figure 74. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 11762 (ST-829, CC-828) following heating at 60°C.	102
	Figure 75. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 12610 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 60°C.	103
	Figure 76. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating at 60°C.	104
	Figure 77. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 12645 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 60°C.	105

Figure 78. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a four-parameter 106 logistic regression model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 60°C. Figure 79. Plot illustrating the predicted response curve using a four-parameter 107 logistic regression model for strain 12720 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 60 Figure 80. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a four-parameter 108 logistic regression model for strain 12745 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 60°C. Figure 81. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a four-parameter 109 logistic regression model for strain 12783 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 60°C. Figure 82. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using an asymptotic 110 regression model for strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 60°C. Figure 83. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a four-parameter 111 logistic regression model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 60°C. Figure 84. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a four-parameter 112 logistic regression model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 60°C. Figure 85. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a four-parameter 113 logistic regression model for strain 13163 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 60°C. Time-Temperature Simulations: 60°C 1.8.12 114 Mixed Weibull Distribution Model Predicted Response Curves: 114 Figure 86. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 114 distribution model for strain 11368 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 60°C. Figure 87. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 115 distribution model for strain 12645 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 60°C. Figure 88. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 116 distribution model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 60°C. Figure 89. Plot illustrating the predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 117 distribution model for strain 12720 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 60°C. Figure 90. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 118 distribution model for strain 12745 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 60°C. Figure 91. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 119 distribution model for strain 12783 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 60°C. Figure 92. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 120 distribution model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 60°C. Figure 93. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 121 distribution model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 60°C. Figure 94. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 122 distribution model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 60°C. 1.8.13 **Time-Temperature Simulations: 64°C** 123 Table 56. An assessment of the goodness of fit of models analysing the survival of 123 each strain following heating at 64°C. Table 57. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 11253 (ST-825, 123 CC-828) following heating at 64°C. Table 58. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 11368 (ST-574, 123 CC-574) following heating at 64°C. Table 59. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 11762 (ST-829, 124 CC-828) following heating at 64°C.

Table 60. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 12610 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 64°C.	124
Table 61. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating at 64°C.	124
Table 62. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 12645 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 64°C.	124
Table 63. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 64°C.	124
Table 64. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 12720 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 64°C.	125
Table 65. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 12745 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 64°C.	125
Table 67. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 64°C.	125
Table 68. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 64°C.	125
Table 69. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 64°C.	126
Table 70. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 13163 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 64°C.	126
Time-Temperature Profile 64°C	127
Mixed Weibull Distribution Model	127
Table 71 An assessment of the goodness of fit of mixed Meihull distribution	127
models analysing the survival of each strain following heating at 64°C.	127
Table 72. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 11253 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 64°C.	127
Table 73. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 11762 (ST-829, CC-828) following heating at 64°C.	127
Table 74. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 64°C.	128
Table 75. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12783 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 64°C.	128
Table 76. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 64°C.	128
Table 77. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13136 $(ST-45, CC-45)$ following heating at 64°C	128
Time-Temperature Simulations: 64°C	170
Predicted Basponsa Curvas Models	120
Fredicted Response Curves moders	120
regression model for strain 11253 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 64°C.	129
Figure 96. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 11368 (ST-574, CC5-74) following heating at 64°C.	130
Figure 97. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 11762 (ST-829, CC-828) following heating at 64°C.	131
Figure 98. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 12610 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 64°C.	132
Figure 99 Plot illustrating predicted response curve using an asymptotic	122
regression model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating at 64°C.	100

1.8.15

ix

Figure 100. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using an asymptotic 134 regression model for strain 12645 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 64°C. Figure 101. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using an asymptotic 135 regression model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 64°C. Figure 102. Plot illustrating the predicted response curve using an asymptotic 136 regression model for strain 12720 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 64°C. Figure 103. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using an asymptotic 137 regression model for strain 12745 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 64°C. Figure 104. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using an asymptotic 138 regression model for strain 12783 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 64°C. Figure 105. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using an asymptotic 139 regression model for strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 64°C. Figure 106. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using an asymptotic 140 regression model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 64°C. Figure 107. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using an asymptotic 141 regression model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 64°C. Figure 108. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using an asymptotic 142 regression model for strain 13163 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 64°C. Time-Temperature Simulations: 64°C 1.8.16 143 Mixed Weibull Distribution Model Predicted Response Curves: 143 Figure 109. Plot illustrating the predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 143 distribution model for strain 11253 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 64°C. Figure 110. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 144 distribution model for strain 11762 (ST-829, CC-828) following heating at 64°C. Figure 111. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 145 distribution model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 64°C. Figure 112. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 146 distribution model for strain 12783 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 64°C. Figure 113. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 147 distribution model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 64°C. Figure 114. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 148 distribution model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 64°C. 1.8.17 pH and Time-Temperature Simulations: 56°C 149 Table 78. An assessment of the goodness of fit for models analysing the survival of 149 each strain for individual levels of pH at 56°C. Table 79. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, 149 CC-828) at pH 4.5 following heating at 56°C. Table 80. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for 149 strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 5.5 following heating at 56°C. Table 81. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for 150 strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 6.5 following heating at 56°C. Table 82. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for 150 strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 7.5 following heating at 56°C. Table 83. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, 150 CC-828) at pH 8.5 following heating at 56°C. Table 84. Biexponential model analysing survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) 150 at pH 4.5 following heating at 56°C.

Table 85. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 5.5 following heating at 56°C.	151
Table 86. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 12628 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 6.5 following heating at 56°C.	151
Table 87. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 7.5 following heating at 56°C.	151
Table 88. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 8.5 following heating at 56°C.	151
Table 89. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 4.5 following heating at 56°C.	152
Table 90. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 5.5 following heating at 56°C.	152
Table 91. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 6.5 following heating at 56°C.	152
Table 92. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 7.5 following heating at 56°C.	152
Table 93. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 8.5 following heating at 56°C.	153
Table 94. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 4.5 following heating at 56°C.	153
Table 95. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 5.5 following heating at 56°C.	153
Table 96. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 6.5 following heating at 56°C.	153
Table 97. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 7.5 following heating at 56°C.	154
Table 98. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 8.5 following heating at 56°C.	154
pH and Time-Temperature Simulations: 56°C	155
Mixed Weibull Distribution Model:	155
Table 99. An assessment of the goodness of fit for Mixed Weibull Distribution analysing the survival of each strain for individual levels of pH at 56°C.	155
Table 100. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 4.5 following heating at 56°C.	155
Table 101. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 5.5 following heating at 56°C.	156
Table 102. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 6.5 following heating at 56°C.	156
Table 103. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 7.5 following heating at 56°C.	156
Table 104. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 8.5 following heating at 56°C.	156
Table 105. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12662 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 4.5 following heating at 56°C.	157
Table 106. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12662 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 8.5 following heating at 56°C.	157
Table 107. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 4.5 following heating at 56°C.	157

	Table 108. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 5.5 following heating at 56°C.	157
	Table 109. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 6.5 following heating at 56°C.	158
	Table 110. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 7.5 following beating at 56°C	158
	Table 111. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13126	158
	(ST-21, CC-21) at pH 8.5 following heating at 56°C.	150
	(ST-45, CC-45) at pH 4.5 following heating at 56°C.	129
	Table 113. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 5.5 following heating at 56°C.	159
	Table 114. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 6.5 following beating at 56° C	159
	Table 115. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13136	159
	(ST-45, CC-45) at pH 7.5 following heating at 56°C.	
	Table 116. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13136(ST-45, CC-45) at pH 8.5 following heating at 56°C.	159
1.8.19	pH and Time-Temperature Simulations: 56°C	160
	Predicted Response Curves	160
	Figure 115. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 12628 (ST1773-CC828) at pH 4.5 following heating at 56°C.	160
	Figure 116. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 12628 (ST1773-CC828) at pH 5.5 following heating at 56°C.	161
	Figure 117. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 12628 (ST1773-CC828) at pH 6.5 and following heating at 56°C.	162
	Figure 118. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 12628 (ST1773-CC828) at pH 7.5 following heating at 56°C.	163
	Figure 119. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 12628 (ST1773-CC828) at pH 8.5 and following heating at 56°C.	164
	Figure 120. Predicted response curve using a biexponential model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 4.5 and following heating at 56°C.	165
	Figure 121. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-828) at pH 5.5 following heating at 56°C.	166
	Figure 122. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-828) at pH 6.5 following heating at 56°C.	167
	Figure 123. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-828) at pH 7.5 following heating at 56°C.	168
	Figure 124. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-828) at pH 8.5 following heating at 56°C.	169
	Figure 125. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 4.5 following heating at 56°C.	170
	Figure 126. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 5.5 following heating at 56°C.	171
	Figure 127. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 6.5 following heating at 56°C	172
	Figure 128. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 7.5 following heating at 56°C.	173

	Figure 129. Predicted response curve for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) using a four- parameter logistic regression model following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 56°C	174
	Figure 130. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 4.5 following heating at 56°C.	175
	Figure 131. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 5.5 following heating at 56°C.	176
	Figure 132. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 6.5 following heating at 56°C.	177
	Figure 133. Predicted response using a four-parameter logistic regression model curve for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 7.5 following heating at 56°C.	178
	Figure 134. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 8.5 following heating at 56°C.	179
1.8.20	pH and Time-Temperature Simulations: 56°C	180
	Mixed Weibull Distribution Model Predicted Response Curves	180
	Figure 135. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull regression model for strain 12628 (ST1773-CC828) at pH 4.5 following heating at 56°C.	180
	Figure 136. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12628 (ST1773-CC828) at pH 5.5 following heating at 56°C.	181
	Figure 137. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12628 (ST1773-CC828) at pH 6.5 following heating at 56°C.	182
	Figure 138. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12628 (ST1773-CC828) at pH 7.5 following heating at 56°C.	183
	Figure 139. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12628 (ST1773-CC828) at pH 8.5 following heating at 56°C.	184
	Figure 140. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 4.5 following heating at 56°C	185
	Figure 141. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 8.5 following beating at 56°C	186
	Figure 142. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 4.5 following heating at 56°C	187
	Figure 143. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 5.5 following heating at 56°C	188
	Figure 144. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 6.5 following heating at 56°C	189
	Figure 145. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 7.5 following heating at 56°C	190
	Figure 146. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 8.5 following heating at 56°C	191
	Figure 147. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 4.5 following heating at 56°C	192
	Figure 148. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13136 (ST 45, CC 45) at pH 5.5 following heating at 56°C	193
	Figure 149. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of	194
	Figure 150. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 7.5 following heating at 56°C.	195

	Figure 151. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 8.5 following heating at 56°C.	196
1.8.21	pH and Time-Temperature Simulations: 60°C	197
	Table 117. An assessment of the goodness of fit for models analysing the survival of each strain for individual levels of pH at 60°C.	197
	Table 118. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 5.5 following heating at 60°C.	197
	Table 119. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 6.5 following heating at 60°C.	197
	Table 120. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 7.5 following heating at 60°C.	198
	Table 121. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 8.5 following heating at 60°C.	198
	Table 122. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 4.5 following heating at 60°C.	198
	Table 123. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing the survival rate for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 5.5 following heating at 60°C.	198
	Table 124. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 6.5 following heating at 60°C.	198
	Table 125. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 7.5 following heating at 60°C.	199
	Table 126. Biexponential regression model analysing survival rate for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 8.5 following heating at 60°C.	199
	Table 127. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 4.5 following heating at 60°C.	199
	Table 128. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 5.5 following heating at 60°C.	199
	Table 129. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 6.5 following heating at 60°C.	200
	Table 130. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 7.5 following heating at 60°C.	200
	Table 131. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 8.5 following heating at 60°C.	200
	Table 132. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 4.5 following heating at 60°C.	200
	Table 133. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 5.5 following heating at 60°C.	201
	Table 134. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 6.5 following heating at 60°C.	201
	Table 135. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 7.5 following heating at 60°C.	201
	Table 136. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival rate for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 8.5 following heating at 60°C.	201
1.8.22	pH and Time-Temperature Simulations: 60°C	202
	Mixed Weibull Distribution Model:	202
	Table 137. An assessment of the goodness of fit for Mixed Weibull Distribution models analysing the survival of four strains for combined levels of pH at 60°C.	202

Table 138. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 5.5 following heating at 60°C.	202
Table 139. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 6.5 following heating at 60°C.	203
Table 140. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 7.5 following heating at 60°C.	203
Table 141. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 8.5 following heating at 60°C.	203
Table 142. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 4.5 following heating at 60°C.	203
Table 143. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 5.5 following heating at 60°C.	204
Table 144. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 6.5 following heating at 60°C.	204
Table 145. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 7.5 following heating at 60°C.	204
Table 146. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 8.5 following heating at 60°C.	204
Table 147. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 4.5 following heating at 60°C.	205
Table 148. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 5.5 following heating at 60°C.	205
Table 149. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 6.5 following heating at 60°C.	205
Table 150. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 7.5 following heating at 60°C.	205
Table 151. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 8.5 following heating at 60°C.	206
Table 152. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 5.5 following heating at 60°C.	206
Table 153. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 6.5 following heating at 60°C.	206
Table 154. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 7.5 following heating at 60°C.	206
pH and Time-Temperature Simulations: 60°C	207
Predicted Response Curves	207
Figure 152. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 5.5 following heating at 60°C.	207
Figure 153. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 6.5 following heating at 60°C.	208
Figure 154. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 7.5 following heating at 60°C.	209
Figure 155. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 8.5 following heating at 60°C.	210
Figure 156. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 4.5 following heating at 60°C.	211
Figure 157. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 5.5 following heating at 60°C.	212

Figure 158. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 6.5 following heating at 60°C.	213
Figure 159. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 12628 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 7.5 following heating at 60°C.	214
Figure 160. Predicted response curve using a biexponential regression model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 8.5 following heating at 60°C.	215
Figure 161. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 4.5 following heating at 60°C.	216
Figure 162. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21 at pH 5.5 following heating at 60°C.	217
Figure 163. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 6.5 following heating at 60°C.	218
Figure 164. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 7.5 following heating at 60°C.	219
Figure 165. Predicted response curve using a biexponential regression model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 8.5 following heating at 60°C.	220
Figure 166. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 4.5 following heating at 60°C.	221
Figure 167. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 5.5 following heating at 60°C.	222
Figure 168. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 6.5 following heating at 60°C.	223
Figure 169. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 7.5 following heating at 60°C.	224
Figure 1/0. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 8.5 following heating at 60°C.	225
pH and Time-Temperature Simulations: 60°C	226
Mixed Weibull Distribution Model Predicted Response Curves	226
Figure 171. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 5.5 following heating at 60°C.	226
Figure 172. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 6.5 following heating at 60°C.	227
Figure 173. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 7.5 following heating at 60°C.	228
Figure 174. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 8.5 following heating at 60°C.	229
Figure 175. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 4.5 following heating at 60°C.	230
Figure 176. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 5.5 following heating at 60°C.	231
Figure 177. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 6.5 following heating at 60°C.	232
Figure 178. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 7.5 following heating at 60°C.	233
Figure 179. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 8.5 following heating at 60°C.	234

	Figure 181. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 5.5 following heating at 60°C.	236
	Figure 182. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 6.5 following heating at 60°C.	237
	Figure 183. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 7.5 following heating at 60°C.	238
	Figure 184. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 8.5 following heating at 60°C.	239
	Figure 185. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 5.5 following heating at 60°C.	240
	Figure 186. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 6.5 following heating at 60°C.	241
	Figure 187. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 7.5 following heating at 60°C.	242
1.8.25	pH and Time-Temperature Simulations: 64°C	243
	Table 155. An assessment of the goodness of fit for models analysing the survival of each strain for individual levels of pH at 64°C.	243
	Table 156. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 4.5 following heating at 64°C.	243
	Table 157. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 5.5 following heating at 64°C.	243
	Table 158. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 6.5 following heating at 64°C.	244
	Table 159. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 7.5 following heating at 64°C.	244
	Table 160. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 8.5 following heating at 64°C.	244
	Table 161. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 4.5 following heating at 64°C.	244
	Table 162. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 5.5 following heating at 64°C.	244
	Table 163. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 6.5 following heating at 64°C.	245
	Table 164. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 7.5 following heating at 64°C.	245
	Table 165. Biexponential regression model analysing survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 8.5 following heating at 64°C.	245
	Table 166. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 45 following heating at 64°C.	245
	Table 167. Four-parameter regression model analysing survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 5.5 following heating at 64°C.	245
	Table 168. Four-parameter regression model analysing survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 6.5 following heating at 64°C.	246
	Table 169. Biexponential regression model analysing survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 7.5 following heating at 64°C.	246
	Table 170. Biexponential regression model analysing survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 8.5 following heating at 64°C.	246

	Table 171. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 4.5 following heating at 64°C.	246
	Table 172. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 5.5 following heating at 64°C.	247
	Table 173. Four-parameter logistic regression model analysing survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 6.5 following heating at 64°C.	247
	Table 174. Asymptotic regression model analysing survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 7.5 following heating at 64°C.	247
	Table 175. Biexponential regression model analysing survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 8.5 following heating at 64°C.	247
1.8.26	pH and Time-Temperature Simulations: 64°C	248
	Mixed Weibull Distribution Model	248
	Table 176. An assessment of the goodness of fit for Mixed Weibull distribution models analysing the survival of each strain following heating at 64°C.	248
	Table 177. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at 64°C and pH 4.5.	248
	Table 178. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at 64°C and pH 5.5.	249
	Table 179. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at 64°C and pH 6.5.	249
	Table 180. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at 64°C and pH 7.5.	249
	Table 181. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at 64°C and pH 8.5.	249
	Table 182. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at 64°C and pH 4.5.	250
	Table 183. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at 64°C and pH 5.5.	250
	Table 184. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at 64°C and pH 6.5.	250
	Table 185. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12662 (ST-2573, CC-257) at 64°C and pH 7.5.	250
	Table 186. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at 64°C and pH 8.5.	251
	Table 187. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at 64°C and pH 4.5.	251
	Table 188. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at 64°C and pH 5.5.	251
	Table 189. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at 64°C and pH 6.5.	251
	Table 190. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at 64°C and pH 7.5.	252
	Table 191. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at 64°C and pH 8.5.	252
	Table 192. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at 64°C and pH 4.5.	252
	Table 193. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at 64°C and pH 5.5.	252

	Table 194. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13136	253
	(SI-45, CC-45) at 64°C and pH 6.5.	
	Table 195. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at 64°C and pH 7.5.	253
	Table 196. Mixed Weibull distribution model analysing the survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at 64°C and pH 8.5.	253
1.8.27	pH and Time-Temperature Simulations: 64°C	254
	Predicted Response Curves	254
	Figure 188. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 4.5 following heating at 64°C.	254
	Figure 189. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 5.5 following heating at 64°C.	255
	Figure 190. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 6.5 following heating at 64°C.	256
	Figure 191. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 7.5 following heating at 64°C.	257
	Figure 192. Predicted response curve using a biexponential regression model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 8.5 following heating at 64°C.	258
	Figure 193. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 4.5 following heating at 64°C.	259
	Figure 194. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 5.5 following heating at 64°C.	260
	Figure 195. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 6.5 following heating at 64°C.	261
	Figure 196. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 7.5 following heating at 64°C.	262
	Figure 197. Predicted response curve using a biexponential regression model following for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 8.5 following heating at 64°C.	263
	Figure 198. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 4.5 following heating at 64°C.	264
	Figure 199. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 5.5 following heating at 64°C.	265
	Figure 200. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 6.5 following heating at 64°C.	266
	Figure 201. Predicted response curve using a biexponential regression model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 7.5 following heating at 64°C.	267
	Figure 202. Predicted response curve using a biexponential regression model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 8.5 following heating at 64°C.	268
	Figure 203. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 4.5 following heating at 64°C.	269
	Figure 204. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 5.5 following heating at 64°C.	270
	Figure 205. Predicted response curve using a four-parameter logistic regression model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 6.5 following heating at 64°C.	271
	Figure 206. Predicted response curve using an asymptotic regression model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 7.5 following heating at 64°C.	272
	Figure 207. Predicted response curve using a biexponential regression model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 8.5 following heating at 64°C.	273

1.8.28	pH and Time-Temperature Simulations: 64°C	274
	Mixed Weibull Distribution Model Predicted Response Curves	274
	Figure 208. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 4.5 following heating at 64°C.	274
	Figure 209. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 5.5 following heating at 64°C.	275
	Figure 210. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 6.5 following heating at 64°C.	276
	Figure 211. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 7.5 following heating at 64°C.	277
	Figure 212. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) at pH 8.5 following heating at 64°C.	278
	Figure 213. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 4.5 following heating at 64°C.	279
	Figure 214. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 5.5 following heating at 64°C.	280
	Figure 215. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 6.5 following heating at 64°C	281
	Figure 216. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 7.5 following heating at 64°C.	282
	Figure 217. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) at pH 8.5 following heating at 64°C.	283
	Figure 218. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 4.5 following heating at 64°C.	284
	Figure 219. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 5.5 following heating at 64°C.	285
	Figure 220. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 6.5 following heating at 64°C.	286
	Figure 221. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 7.5 following heating at 64°C.	287
	Figure 222. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) at pH 8.5 following heating at 64°C.	288
	Figure 223. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 4.5 following heating at 64°C.	289
	Figure 224. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 5.5 following heating at 64°C.	290
	Figure 225. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 6.5 following heating at 64°C.	291
	Figure 226. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) at pH 7.5 following heating at 64°C.	292
1.8.29	Assessment of Model Parameters	294
	Table 197. Assessment of model parameters generated by mixed Weibull distribution function for temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C.	294
	Table 198. Assessment of model parameters generated by mixed Weibull distribution function for temperature simulations undertaken at 60°C.	294
	Table 199 Assessment of model parameters generated by mixed Weibull	205

Table 199. Assessment of model parameters generated by mixed Weibull295distribution function for temperature simulations undertaken at 64°C.

	Table 200. Assessment of model parameters generated by mixed Weibull distribution function for combined pH and Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C	296
	Table 201. Assessment of model parameters generated by mixed Weibull distribution function for combined pH and temperature simulations undertaken at 60°C	297
	Table 202. Assessment of model parameters generated by mixed Weibull distribution function for combined pH and temperature simulations undertaken at 64° C	298
19	Discussion	299
1.9.1	Time-Temperature Simulations	299
1.9.1.1	Predictive Model	299
1.9.1.2	Extended Simulations	300
1.9.1.3	Mixed Weibull Distribution Model	301
1.9.2	pH and Time-Temperature Simulations	303
1.9.2.1	Predictive Models	303
1.9.2.2	Mixed Weibull Distribution Model	304
1.10	Conclusions	306
1.10.1	Predictive Models	306
1.10.2	Mixed Weibull Distribution Model	307
2	CHAPTER 2. DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF NON-LINEAR MODELS WITHIN GINAFIT FRAMEWORK	310
2.1	GInaFiT Modelling Framework	310
~ ~	Madel Duilding	211
2.2	woder building	211
2.2	Results	311
2.2 2.3 2.3.1	Results Time-Temperature Simulations	311 311 311
 2.2 2.3 2.3.1 2.3.1.1 	Results Time-Temperature Simulations Assessment of Model Fit	311 311 311 312
 2.2 2.3 2.3.1 2.3.1.1 2.3.1.2 	Results Time-Temperature Simulations Assessment of Model Fit Predicted Response Curves	311 311 311 312 312
 2.2 2.3 2.3.1.1 2.3.1.2 2.3.1.3 	Results Time-Temperature Simulations Assessment of Model Fit Predicted Response Curves Assessment of Decimal Reduction	311 311 311 312 312 312
 2.2 2.3 2.3.1.1 2.3.1.2 2.3.1.3 	Nodel BuildingResultsTime-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model FitPredicted Response CurvesAssessment of Decimal ReductionTable 203. Results illustrating the comparison in time to first decimal reduction (D-value) following Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C(R2 = 0.913).	311 311 312 312 312 312 314
2.2 2.3 2.3.1 2.3.1.1 2.3.1.2 2.3.1.3	Wodel BuildingResultsTime-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model FitPredicted Response CurvesAssessment of Decimal ReductionTable 203. Results illustrating the comparison in time to first decimal reduction (D-value) following Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C(R2 = 0.913).Figure 228. Summary box-plot illustrating the time to first decimal reductionfollowing Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C.	311 311 312 312 312 314
 2.2 2.3 2.3.1 2.3.1.2 2.3.1.3 	Model BuildingResultsTime-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model FitPredicted Response CurvesAssessment of Decimal ReductionTable 203. Results illustrating the comparison in time to first decimal reduction (D-value) following Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C(R2 = 0.913).Figure 228. Summary box-plot illustrating the time to first decimal reductionfollowing Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C.pH and Time-Temperature Simulations	311 311 312 312 312 314 314 314
 2.2 2.3 2.3.1 2.3.1.2 2.3.1.3 2.3.2.1	Wodel BuildingResultsTime-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model FitPredicted Response CurvesAssessment of Decimal ReductionTable 203. Results illustrating the comparison in time to first decimal reduction (D-value) following Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C(R2 = 0.913).Figure 228. Summary box-plot illustrating the time to first decimal reductionfollowing Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C.pH and Time-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model Fit	311 311 312 312 312 314 314 315 315
 2.2 2.3 2.3.1 2.3.1.2 2.3.1.3 2.3.2 2.3.2.1 2.3.2.2	Wodel BuildingResultsTime-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model FitPredicted Response CurvesAssessment of Decimal ReductionTable 203. Results illustrating the comparison in time to first decimal reduction (D-value) following Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C(R2 = 0.913).Figure 228. Summary box-plot illustrating the time to first decimal reductionfollowing Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C.pH and Time-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model FitPredicted Response Curves	311 311 312 312 312 314 314 314 315 315 316
 2.2 2.3 2.3.1.1 2.3.1.2 2.3.1.3 2.3.2 2.3.2.1 2.3.2.2 2.3.2.3	Woder BuildingResultsTime-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model FitPredicted Response CurvesAssessment of Decimal ReductionTable 203. Results illustrating the comparison in time to first decimal reduction (D- value) following Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C (R2 = 0.913).Figure 228. Summary box-plot illustrating the time to first decimal reduction following Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C.pH and Time-Temperature Simulations Assessment of Model Fit Predicted Response Curves Assessment of Decimal Reduction	311 311 312 312 312 312 314 314 314 315 315 316 318
 2.2 2.3 2.3.1 2.3.1.2 2.3.1.3 2.3.2.1 2.3.2.1 2.3.2.2 2.3.2.3 	Model buildingResultsTime-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model FitPredicted Response CurvesAssessment of Decimal ReductionTable 203. Results illustrating the comparison in time to first decimal reduction (D-value) following Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C(R2 = 0.913).Figure 228. Summary box-plot illustrating the time to first decimal reductionfollowing Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C.pH and Time-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model FitPredicted Response CurvesAssessment of Decimal ReductionTable 204. Results illustrating the comparison in time to first decimal reduction (D-value) following interaction between pH and Time-Temperature simulationsundertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C (R2 = 0.963).	 311 311 312 312 312 312 314 314 315 316 318 320
 2.2 2.3 2.3.1 2.3.1.2 2.3.1.3 2.3.2 2.3.2.1 2.3.2.2 2.3.2.3 	Model BuildingResultsTime-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model FitPredicted Response CurvesAssessment of Decimal ReductionTable 203. Results illustrating the comparison in time to first decimal reduction (D-value) following Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C(R2 = 0.913).Figure 228. Summary box-plot illustrating the time to first decimal reduction following Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C.pH and Time-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model Fit Predicted Response CurvesAssessment of Decimal ReductionTable 204. Results illustrating the comparison in time to first decimal reduction (D- value) following interaction between pH and Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C (R2 = 0.963).Figure 229. Summary box-plot illustrating the time to first decimal reduction following combined pH and Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C	 311 311 312 312 312 312 314 314 315 316 318 320 321
 2.2 2.3 2.3.1 2.3.1.2 2.3.1.3 2.3.2 2.3.2.1 2.3.2.2 2.3.2.3 2.3.3	Model buildingResultsTime-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model FitPredicted Response CurvesAssessment of Decimal ReductionTable 203. Results illustrating the comparison in time to first decimal reduction (D-value) following Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C(R2 = 0.913).Figure 228. Summary box-plot illustrating the time to first decimal reductionfollowing Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C.pH and Time-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model FitPredicted Response CurvesAssessment of Decimal ReductionTable 204. Results illustrating the comparison in time to first decimal reduction (D-value) following interaction between pH and Time-Temperature simulationsundertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C (R2 = 0.963).Figure 229. Summary box-plot illustrating the time to first decimal reductionfollowing combined pH and Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C,Exterior Food-matrices Time-Temperature Simulations	311 311 312 312 312 312 314 314 314 315 316 318 320 321 321
 2.2 2.3 2.3.1 2.3.1.2 2.3.1.3 2.3.2.1 2.3.2.2 2.3.2.3 2.3.2.3 2.3.3.1 	Model buildingResultsTime-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model FitPredicted Response CurvesAssessment of Decimal ReductionTable 203. Results illustrating the comparison in time to first decimal reduction (D-value) following Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C(R2 = 0.913).Figure 228. Summary box-plot illustrating the time to first decimal reductionfollowing Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C.pH and Time-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model FitPredicted Response CurvesAssessment of Decimal ReductionTable 204. Results illustrating the comparison in time to first decimal reduction (D-value) following interaction between pH and Time-Temperature simulationsundertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C (R2 = 0.963).Figure 229. Summary box-plot illustrating the time to first decimal reductionfollowing combined pH and Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C.Exterior Food-matrices Time-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model Fit	 311 311 312 312 312 314 315 316 318 320 321 321 321 321
 2.2 2.3 2.3.1 2.3.1.2 2.3.1.3 2.3.2 2.3.2.1 2.3.2.2 2.3.2.3 2.3.3.1 2.3.3.1 2.3.3.1 2.3.3.2 	Moder buildingResultsTime-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model FitPredicted Response CurvesAssessment of Decimal ReductionTable 203. Results illustrating the comparison in time to first decimal reduction (D-value) following Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C(R2 = 0.913).Figure 228. Summary box-plot illustrating the time to first decimal reductionfollowing Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C.pH and Time-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model FitPredicted Response CurvesAssessment of Decimal ReductionTable 204. Results illustrating the comparison in time to first decimal reduction (D-value) following interaction between pH and Time-Temperature simulationsundertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C (R2 = 0.963).Figure 229. Summary box-plot illustrating the time to first decimal reductionfollowing combined pH and Time-Temperature simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60°C and 64°C.Exterior Food-matrices Time-Temperature SimulationsAssessment of Model FitPredicted Response Curves	311 311 312 312 312 312 314 314 314 315 316 318 320 321 321 322 323

	Table 205. Results illustrating the comparison in time to first decimal reduction (D-value) following direct heating of food matrix simulations undertaken at 56°C, 60° C. 64° C. 68° C and 70° C (R2 = 0.937).	325
	Figure 230. Summary box-plot illustrating the time to first decimal reduction following direct heating of food matrices at a range of temperatures.	326
	Figure 231. Summary box-plot illustrating the time to first decimal reduction following gradual heating of food matrices at 56°C and 70°C.	326
 2.3.4 2.3.4.1 2.3.4.2 2.3.4.3 2.3.5 	Food-matrices Interior Time-temperature Simulations Assessment of Model Fit Predicted Response Curves Assessment of Decimal Reduction Time-Temperature Simulations: 56°C Table 206. Assessment of model fit for individual strains following heating at 56°C. Table 207. Mixed Weibull distribution model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 11253 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	327 327 328 329 329 329
	Table 208. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 11368 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 56°C.	329
	Table 209. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 11762 (ST-829, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	330
	Table 210. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12610 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	330
	Table 211. Log-linear model incorporation a shoulder effect and asymptotic function for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	330
	Table 212. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 12645 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 56°C.	330
	Table 213. Weibull model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 56°C.	331
	Table 214. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 12720 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 56°C.	331
	Table 215. Weibull model for survival of strain 12745 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 56°C	331
	Table 216. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 12783 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 56°C	331
	Table 217. Biphasic model for survival of strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 56°C.	331
	Table 218. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 56°C.	332
	Table 219. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 56°C.	332
	Table 220. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13163 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 56°C.	332
2.3.6	Time-Temperature Profile 56°C	333
	Predicted Response Curves	222
	Figure 232. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 11253 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 56°C	333
	Figure 233. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 11368 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 56°C.	334

Figure 234. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 335 distribution model for strain 11762 (ST-829, CC-828) following heating at 56°C. Figure 235. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull distribution 336 model for strain 12610 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 56°C. Figure 236. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a log-linear model 337 incorporating a shoulder effect and asymptotic function for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating at 56°C. Figure 237. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model 338 incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 12645 (ST-51, CC-443) following at 56°C. Figure 238. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model for 339 survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following gradual heating at 56°C. Figure 239. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model 340 incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12720 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 56°C. Figure 240. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model for 341 strain 12745 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 56°C. Figure 241. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model 342 incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12783 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 56°C Figure 242. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for 343 strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 56°C. Figure 243. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 344 distribution model incorporating for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 56°C. Figure 244. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 345 distribution model incorporating for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 56°C. Figure 245. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model 346 incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13163 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 56°C. **Time-Temperature Simulations: 60°C** 347 Table 221. Assessment of model fit for individual strains following heating at 60°C. 347 Table 222. Biphasic model incorporating a shoulder effect for survival of strain 347 11253 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 60°C. Table 223. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function and shoulder-347 effect for survival of strain 11368 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 60°C. Table 224. Biphasic model for survival of strain 11762 (ST-829, CC-828) following 348 heating at 60°C. Table 225. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of 348 strain 12610 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 60°C. Table 226. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of 348 strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating at 60°C. Table 227. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12645 (ST-51, 348 CC-443) following heating at 60°C. Table 228. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, 349 CC-257) following heating at 60°C. Table 229. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12720 (ST-51, 349 CC-443) following heating at 60°C.

2.3.7

Ta Ci	able 230. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12745 (ST-257, C-257) following heating at 60°C.	349
Ta Cu	able 231. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12783 (ST-574, C-574) following heating at 60°C.	349
Ta he	able 232. Biphasic model for survival of strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) following eating at 60°C.	350
Ta Ci	able 233. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, C-21) following heating at 60°C.	350
Ta C(able 234. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, C-45) following heating at 60°C.	350
Ta st	able 235. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of train 13163 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 60°C.	350
2.3.8 Ti	ime-Temperature Simulations: 60°C	351
Pi	redicted Response Curves	351
Fi	igure 246. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for train 11253 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 60°C.	351
Fi in Ci	gure 247. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a log-linear model acorporating an asymptotic function and shoulder effect for strain 11368 (ST-574, C-574) following heating at 60°C.	352
Fi st	igure 248. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a biphasic model for train 11762 (ST-829, CC-828) following heating at 60°C.	353
Fi in he	igure 249. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model acorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12610 (ST-825, CC-828) following eating at 60°C.	354
Fi in he	igure 250. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model acorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following eating at 60°C.	355
Fi di fo	igure 251. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull istribution model incorporating for survival of strain 12645 (ST-51, CC-443) ollowing at 60°C.	356
Fi di he	igure 252. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull istribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following gradual eating at 60°C.	357
Fi di	igure 253. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull istribution model for strain 12720 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 60°C.	358
Fi di	igure 254. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull istribution model for strain 12745 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 60°C.	359
Fi di	igure 255. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull istribution model for strain 12783 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 60°C.	360
Fi st	igure 256. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for train 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 60°C.	361
Fi di at	igure 257. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull istribution model incorporating for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating t 60°C.	362
Fi di at	igure 258. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull istribution model incorporating for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating t 60°C.	363
Fi in he	igure 259. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model acorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13163 (ST-21, CC-21) following eating at 60°C.	364
		xxiv

2.3.9	Time-Temperature Simulations: 64°C	365
	Table 236. Assessment of model fit for individual strains following heating at 64°C.	365
	Table 237. Biphasic model incorporating for survival of strain 11253 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 64°C.	365
	Table 238. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 11368 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 64°C	365
	Table 239. Biphasic model for survival of strain 11762 (ST-829, CC-828) following heating at 64°C.	365
	Table 240. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 12610 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 64°C.	366
	Table 241. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating at 64°C.	366
	Table 242. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12645 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 64°C.	366
	Table 243. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 64°C.	366
	Table 244. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12720 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 64°C.	366
	Table 245. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12745 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 64°C.	367
	Table 246. Biphasic for survival of strain 12783 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 64°C.	367
	Table 247. Log-linear model with asymptotic function for survival of strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 64°C	367
	Table 248. Biphasic model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 64°C.	367
	Table 249. Biphasic model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 64°C.	367
	Table 250. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13163 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 64°C.	368
2.3.10	Time-Temperature Simulations: 64°C	369
	Predicted Response Curves	369
	Figure 260. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for strain 11253 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 64°C.	369
	Figure 261. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 11368 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 64°C.	370
	Figure 262. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for strain 11762 (ST-829, CC-828) following heating at 64°C.	371
	Figure 263. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12610 (ST-825, CC-828) following heating at 64°C.	372
	Figure 264. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating at 64°C.	373
	Figure 265. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for strain 12645 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 64°C.	374
	Figure 266. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 64°C.	375

	Figure 267. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for strain 12720 (ST-51, CC-443) following heating at 64°C	376
	Figure 268. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for strain 12745 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 64°C.	377
	Figure 269. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for strain 12783 (ST-574, CC-574) following heating at 64°C.	378
	Figure 270. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13121 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 64°C.	379
	Figure 271. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 64°C.	380
	Figure 272. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-458) following heating at 64°C.	381
	Figure 273. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for strain 13163 (ST-21, CC-21) following heating at 64°C.	382
2.3.11	pH and Time-Temperature Simulation: 56°C	383
	Table 251. Assessment of model fit for individual strains following exposure to pH and heating at 56°C.	383
	Table 252. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 56°C.	383
	Table 253. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 56°C.	384
	Table 254. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 56°C.	384
	Table 255. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 56°C.	384
	Table 256. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 56°C.	384
	Table 257. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 56°C.	385
	Table 258. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 56°C.	385
	Table 259. Weibull model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 56°C	385
	Table 260. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 56°C.	385
	Table 261. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 56°C.	386
	Table 262. Biphasic model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 56°C.	386
	Table 263. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 56°C.	386
	Table 264. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 56°C.	386
	Table 265. Mixed Weibull distribution model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 56°C.	387

	Table 266. Biphasic model incorporating a shoulder-effect for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 56°C.	387
	Table 267. Biphasic model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 56°C.	387
	Table 268. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 56°C.	387
	Table 269. Mixed Weibull distribution model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 56°C.	388
	Table 270. Mixed Weibull distribution model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 56°C.	388
	Table 271. Mixed Weibull distribution model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 8.5 and beating at 56°C	388
22 2 12	nH Time-Temperature Simulations: 56°C	380
20.0.12	Predicted Response Curves	389
	Figure 274. Plot illustrating predicted response using a biphasic model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 56°C.	389
	Figure 275. Plot illustrating predicted response using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 56°C.	390
	Figure 276. Plot illustrating predicted response using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 56°C.	391
	Figure 277. Plot illustrating predicted response using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 56°C.	392
	Figure 278. Plot illustrating predicted response using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 56°C.	393
	Figure 279. Plot illustrating predicted response using a biphasic model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 56°C.	394
	Figure 280. Plot illustrating predicted response using a Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 56°C.	395
	Figure 281. Plot illustrating predicted response using a Weibull model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 56°C.	396
	Figure 282. Plot illustrating predicted response using a Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 56°C.	397
	Figure 283. Plot illustrating predicted response using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 56°C.	398
	Figure 284. Plot illustrating predicted response using a Biphasic model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 56°C	399
	Figure 285. Plot illustrating predicted response using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 56°C.	400

Figure 286. Plot illustrating predicted response using a mixed Weibull distribution 401 model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 56°C.

Figure 287. Plot illustrating predicted response using a mixed Weibull distribution 402 model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 56°C.

Figure 288. Plot illustrating predicted response using a mixed Weibull distribution 403 model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 56°C.

Figure 289. Plot illustrating predicted response using a biphasic model for strain 404 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 56°C.

Figure 290. Plot illustrating predicted response using a Weibull model 405 incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 56°C.

Figure 291. Plot illustrating predicted response using a mixed Weibull distribution 406 model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 56°C.

Figure 292. Plot illustrating predicted response using a mixed Weibull distribution 407 model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 56°C.

Figure 293. Plot illustrating predicted response using a mixed Weibull distribution 408 model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 56°C.

2.3.13 pH and Time-Temperature Simulations: 60°C

Table 272. Assessment of model fit for individual strains following exposure to pH409and heating at 60°C.

Table 273. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773,409CC-828) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 60°C.

Table 274. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773,410CC-828) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 60°C.

Table 275. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773,410CC-828) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 60°C

Table 276. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following410exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 60°C.

Table 277. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following410exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 60°C.

Table 278. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257,411CC-257) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 60°C.

Table 279. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257,411CC-257) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 60°C.

Table 280. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of411strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 60°C.

Table 281. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following411exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 60°C.

Table 282. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21,412CC-21) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 60°C.

Table 283. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21,412CC-21) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 60°C.

409

	Table 284. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 60°C.	412
	Table 285. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 60°C.	412
	Table 286. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 60°C.	413
	Table 287. Weibull model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 60°C.	413
	Table 288. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 60°C.	413
	Table 289. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 60°C.	413
	Table 290. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 60°C.	414
	Table 291. Weibull model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 60°C.	414
2.3.14	pH and Time-Temperature Simulations: 60°C	415
	Predicted Response Curves	415
	Figure 294. Plot illustrating predicted response using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 60°C.	415
	Figure 295. Plot illustrating predicted response using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 60°C.	416
	Figure 296. Plot illustrating predicted response using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 60°C.	417
	Figure 297. Plot illustrating predicted response using a biphasic model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 60°C.	418
	Figure 298. Plot illustrating predicted response using a biphasic model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 60°C.	419
	Figure 299. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 60°C.	420
	Figure 300. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 60°C.	421
	Figure 301. Plot illustrating predicted response using a log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for the survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 60°C	422
	Figure 302. Plot illustrating predicted response using a biphasic model incorporating an asymptotic function for the survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 60°C	423
	Figure 303. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 4.5 and beating at 60°C	424
	Figure 304. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 60°C.	425

	Figure 305. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 60°C.	426
	Figure 306. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 60°C.	427
	Figure 307. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 60°C.	428
	Figure 308. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 60°C.	429
	Figure 309. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 60°C.	430
	Figure 310. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 60°C.	431
	Figure 311. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 60°C.	432
	Figure 312. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using Weibull model for the survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 60°C.	433
2.3.15	pH and Time-Temperature Simulations: 64°C	434
	Table 292. Assessment of model fit for individual strains following exposure to pH and heating at 60°C.	434
	Table 293. Weibull model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 64°C.	434
	Table 294. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 64°C.	435
	Table 295. Biphasic model incorporating a shoulder-effect for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 64°C.	435
	Table 296. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following	/ / / -
	exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 64°C.	455
	exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 297. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 64°C.	435
	exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 297. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 298. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 299. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257	435 435 436
	 exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 297. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 298. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 299. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 300. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 64°C. 	435 435 436 436
	 exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 297. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 298. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 299. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 300. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 301. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 64°C. 	435 435 436 436 436
	 exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 297. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 298. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 299. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 300. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 301. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 301. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 64°C. 	435 435 436 436 436 436
	exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 297. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 298. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 299. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 300. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 301. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 301. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 302. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 64°C. Table 302. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 64°C.	435 435 436 436 436 436 436 437

	Table 304. Biphasic model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 64°C.	437
	Table 305. Log-linear model incorporating shoulder-effect for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 64°C.	437
	Table 306. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 64°C.	438
	Table 307. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 64°C.	438
	Table 308. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 64°C.	438
	Table 309. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 64°C.	438
	Table 310. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 64°C.	439
	Table 311. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 64°C.	439
	Table 312. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 64°C.	439
2.3.16	pH Time-Temperature Simulations: 64°C	440
	Predicted Response Curves	440
	Figure 313. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 64°C.	440
	Figure 314. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using biphasic model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 5.5 and beating at 64°C	441
	Figure 315. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model with shoulder-effect for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 6.5 and beating at 64°C	442
	Figure 316. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 7.5 and beating at 64°C	443
	Figure 317. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to pH 8.5 and beating at 64°C	444
	Figure 318. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 64°C	445
	Figure 319. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure	446
	Figure 320. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure	447
	to pH 6.5 and neating at 64°C. Figure 321. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 64°C.	448
	Figure 322. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 64°C.	449

Figure 323. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for 450 survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 64°C. Figure 324. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model with 451 shoulder effect for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 64°C. Figure 325. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Log-linear model 452 incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 64°C. Figure 326. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 453 distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 64°C. Figure 327. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 454 distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 64°C. Figure 328. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model 455 incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 4.5 and heating at 64°C. Figure 329. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model 456 incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 5.5 and heating at 64°C. Figure 330. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model 457 incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 6.5 and heating at 64°C. Figure 331. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 458 distribution model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 7.5 and heating at 64°C. Figure 332. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 459 distribution model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to pH 8.5 and heating at 64°C. 2.3.17 Food-matrices Exterior Time-Temperature Simulations: Gradual Heating 56°C 460 Table 313. Assessment of individual model fit for strains following gradual heating 460 at 56°C. Table 314. Mixed Weibull distribution model incorporating an asymptotic function 460 for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following gradual heating at 56°C. Table 315. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of 460 strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following prior chilling and gradual heating at 56°C. Table 316. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, 460 CC-21) following exposure to gradual direct heating at 56°C. Table 317. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of 461 strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to gradual heating at 56°C. Table 318. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, 461 CC-45) following exposure to gradual heating at 56°C. Table 319. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of 461 strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following prior chilling and exposure to gradual heating at 56°C. Food-matrices Time-Temperature Simulations: Gradual Heating 56°C 2.3.18 462 Predicted Response Curves 462

Figure 333. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 462 distribution model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following gradual heating at 56°C. Figure 335. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 464 distribution model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following gradual heating at 56°C. Figure 336. Plot illustrating predicted response curve for Log-linear model 465 incorporating shoulder effect and an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following prior chilling and gradual heating at 56°C. Figure 337. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 466 distribution model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following gradual heating at 56°C. Figure 338. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model 467 incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following prior chilling and gradual heating at 56°C. 2.3.19 Food-matrices Exterior Time-Temperature Simulations: Gradual Heating 70°C 468 Table 320. Assessment of individual model fit for strains following gradual heating 468 at 70°C. Table 321. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, 468 CC-828) following exposure to gradual heating at 70°C. Table 322. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of 468 strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following prior chilling and exposure to gradual heating at 70°C. Table 323. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of 468 strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following gradual heating at 70°C. Table 324. Weibull model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following 469 prior chilling and gradual heating at 70°C. Table 325. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, 469 CC-21) following gradual heating at 70°C. Table 326. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, 469 CC-45) following gradual heating at 70°C. 470 2.3.20 Food-matrices Time-Temperature Simulations: Gradual Heating 70°C Predicted Response Curves 470 Figure 339. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 470 distribution model for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following gradual heating at 70°C. Figure 340. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model with 471 an asymptotic function for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following prior chilling and gradual heating at 70°C. Figure 341. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model 472 incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following gradual heating at 70°C. Figure 342. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model for 473 strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following gradual heating at 70°C. Figure 343. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 474 distribution model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following gradual heating at 70°C. Figure 344. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull 475 distribution model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following gradual heating at 70°C.

2.3.21	Food-matrices Exterior Time-Temperature Simulations: Direct Heating 56°C Table 327. Assessment of individual model fit for strains following direct heating	476 476
	Table 328. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following exposure to direct heating at 56°C.	476
	Table 329. Biphasic model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following prior chilling and exposure to direct beating at 56°C.	476
	Table 330. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following exposure to direct heating at 56°C.	476
	Table 331. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following prior chilling and exposure to direct	477
	Table 332. Weibull model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to direct heating at 56°C.	477
	Table 333. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following prior chilling and exposure to direct heating at 56°C.	477
	Table 334. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to direct heating at 56°C.	477
	Table 335. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following prior chilling and exposure to direct heating at 56°C.	478
2.3.22	Food-matrices Exterior Time-Temperature Simulations: Direct Heating 56°C	479
	Predicted Response Curves	479
	Figure 345. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following direct heating at 56°C.	479
	Figure 346. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following direct heating at 56°C.	480
	Figure 347. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following direct beating at 56°C	481
	Figure 348. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following prior chilling and direct beating at 56°C	482
	Figure 349. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following direct heating at 56°C.	483
	Figure 350. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following prior chilling and direct heating at 56°C.	484
	Figure 351. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following direct heating at 56°C.	485
	Figure 352. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following prior chilling and direct heating at 56°C.	486
2.3.23	Food-matrices Exterior Time-Temperature Simulations: Direct Heating 60°C	487
	Table 336. Assessment of model fit for individual strains following direct heating at 60°C.	487

Table 337. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of487strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following prior chilling and exposure to directheating at 60°C.

Table 338. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of 487 strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following prior chilling and exposure to direct heating at 60°C.

Table 339. Biphasic model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following487exposure to direct heat at 60°C.

Table 340. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of488strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following prior chilling and exposure to directheating at 60°C.

Table 341. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of488strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following exposure to direct heating at 60°C.488

Table 342. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of488strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following prior chilling and exposure to direct heatingat 60°C.

Table 343. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of488strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following exposure to direct heating at 60°C.488

Table 344. Biphasic model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of488strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following prior chilling and exposure to direct heatingat 60°C.

2.3.24 Predicted Response Curves: Food Matrix Exterior Time-Temperature Profile 489 Direct Heating 60°C

Figure 353. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model 489 incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following direct heating at 60°C.

Figure 354. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Log-linear model 490 incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following prior chilling and direct heating at 60°C.

Figure 355. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model for 491 strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following direct heating at 60°C.

Figure 356. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Log-linear model 492 incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12662 (ST-45, CC-45) following prior chilling and direct heating at 60°C.

Figure 357. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a log-linear model 493 incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following direct heating at 60°C.

Figure 358. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model 494 incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following prior chilling and direct heating at 60°C.

Figure 359. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model for 495 strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following direct heating at 60°C.

Figure 360. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model 496 incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following prior chilling and direct heating at 60°C.

2.3.25Food-matrices Exterior Time-Temperature Simulations: Direct Heating 64°C497Table 345. Assessment of model fit for individual strains following direct heating497at 64°C.497

Table 346. Biphasic model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of497strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following direct heating at 64°C.

	Table 347. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following prior chilling and direct heating at 64°C.	497
	Table 348. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following direct heating at 64°C.	497
	Table 349. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following prior chilling and direct heating at 64°C.	498
	Table 350. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following direct heating at 64°C.	498
	Table 351. Weibull model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following prior chilling and direct heating at 64°C.	498
2.3.26	Food-matrices Exterior Time-Temperature Simulations: Direct Heating 64°C	499
	Predicted Response Curves	499
	Figure 361. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a biphasic model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following direct heating at 64°C.	499
	Figure 362. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following direct heating at 64°C.	500
	Figure 363. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following direct heating at 64°C.	501
	Figure 364. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following prior chilling and direct heating at 64°C.	502
	Figure 365. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following direct heating at 64°C.	503
	Figure 366. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following prior chilling and direct heating at 64°C.	504
2.3.27	Food-matrices Time-Temperature Simulations: Direct Heating 68°C	505
	Table 352. Assessment of individual model fit for strains following direct heating at 68°C.	505
	Table 353. Weibull model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following direct heating at 68°C.	505
	Table 354. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following prior chilling and direct heating at 68°C.	505
	Table 355. Weibull model for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following direct heating at 68°C.	505
	Table 356. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following prior chilling and exposure to direct heating at 68°C.	506
	Table 357. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following direct heating at 68°C.	506
	Table 358. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following prior chilling and direct heating at 68°C.	506
2.3.28	Food-matrices Time-Temperature Simulations: Direct Heating 68°C	507
	Predicted Response Curves	507
	Figure 367. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following direct heating at 68°C.	507

	Figure 368. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following prior chilling and direct heating at 68°C.	508
	Figure 369. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following direct heating at 68°C.	509
	Figure 370. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following prior chilling and direct heating at 68°C.	510
	Figure 371. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following direct heating at 68°C.	511
	Figure 372. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following prior chilling and direct heating at 68°C.	512
2.3.29	Food-matrices Time-Temperature Simulations: Direct Heating 70°C	513
	Table 359. Assessment of model fit for individual strains following direct heating at 70°C.	513
	Table 360. Biphasic model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 12628 (CC-828) following exposure to direct heat at 70°C.	513
	Table 361. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 12628 (CC-828) following prior chilling and direct heating at 70°C.	513
	Table 362. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following direct heating at 70°C.	513
	strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following prior chilling and direct heating at 70°C.	514
	strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following direct heating at 70°C.	514
	strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following prior chilling and direct heating at 70°C.	514
	strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following direct heating at 70°C.	514
2 3 30	strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following prior chilling and direct heating at 70°C.	515
2.3.30	Prodicted Pachance Curves	515
	Figure 272 Dist illustrating prodicted response surve using a Pinhasis model	515
	incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following direct heating at 70°C.	212
	Figure 374. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following prior chilling and direct heating at 70°C.	516
	Figure 375. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following direct heating at 70°C	517
	Figure 376. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following prior chilling and direct heating at 70°C.	518
	Figure 377. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Log-linear model incorporating as asymptotic function for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following direct heating at 70°C.	519

	Figure 378. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13126 (ST-21, CC-21) following prior chilling and direct beating at 70°C	520
	Figure 379. Plot illustrating predicted response curve using a Biphasic model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following direct heating at 70°C.	521
3	CHAPTER 3. SOUS-VIDE TIME-TEMPERATURE PROFILES	545
3.1	INTRODUCTION	545
	Table 383. Strains, sequence types and source of C. jejuni and C. coli species used during experimental simulations. Strains in bold are those which were used during sous vide simulations.	545
3.2	METHODOLOGY	546
3.3	RESULTS	547
3.3.1	Growth-Limit Time-Temperature Simulations	547
	Table 384. Linear mixed-effects model for upper-growth limits of Campylobacter at different temperatures (R2 = 0.818).	548
	Table 385. Random-effects estimates for each strain corresponding to the Best Linear Unbiased Predictions (BLUP). Strains are ranked according to the combined coefficient estimate.	548
	Figure 394. Box-plot illustrating the growth-limits for multiple strains of Campylobacter at a range of temperatures.	549
	Figure 395. Caterpillar-plot illustrating the rank-order of Campylobacter strains as determined by their resistance to higher incubation temperatures.	550
3.3.2	Experimental Media Simulations and Sous Vide Cooking	551
3.3.3	Time-Temperature Simulations: 52°C and 56°C	552
	Campylobacter Survival in CAB-FBP Media	552
	Table 386. Assessment of individual model fit for strains following gradual heating at 52°C and 56°C.	552
	Table 387. Log-linear model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating at 52°C.	552
	Table 388. Weibull model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 52°C.	552
	Table 389. Log-linear model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 52°C.	552
	Table 390. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating at 56°C.	552
	Table 391. Weibull model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 56°C.	553
	Table 392. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 56°C.	553
3.3.4	Time-Temperature Simulations 52°C and 56°C:	554
	Campylobacter Survival in CAB-FBP Media	554
	Figure 396. Predicted Response Curve using a Log-linear model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) from CEBFBP media following heating at 52°C in commercial sous vide machine.	554
	Figure 397. Predicted Response Curve using a Weibull model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) from CEBFBP media following heating at 52°C in commercial sous vide machine.	555

	Figure 398. Predicted Response Curve using a Log-linear model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) from CEBFBP media following heating at 52°C in commercial sous vide machine	556
	Figure 399. Predicted Response Curve using a mixed Weibull Distribution model for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) from CEBFBP media following heating at 52°C in commercial sous vide machine.	557
	Figure 400. Predicted Response Curve using a mixed Weibull Distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) from CEBFBP media following heating at 52°C in commercial sous vide machine.	558
	Figure 401. Predicted Response Curve using a mixed Weibull Distribution model for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) from CEBFBP media following heating at 52°C in commercial sous vide machine.	559
3.3.5	Time-Temperature Simulations: 50°C, 52°C, 54°C and 56°C	560
0.010	Food-matrices and Sous Vide Cooking	560
	Table 393. Assessment of individual model fit for strains following direct heating at 50°C.	561
	Table 394. Weibull incorporating a shoulder effect for survival of strain 12662 (ST- 257, CC-257) following heating at 50°C.	561
	Table 395. Log-linear model incorporating a shoulder effect for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating at 52°C.	561
	Table 396. Weibull model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 52°C.	561
	Table 397. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating at 52°C.	561
	Table 398. Log-linear model incorporating a shoulder effect for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating at 54°C.	562
3.3.6	Sous-Vide Food Matrix Time-Temperature Simulations at 50°C, 52°C and 54°C	563
	Predicted Response Curves	563
	Figure 402. Predicted response curve using Weibull model for strain 12662 (ST-	563
	257, CC-257) following heating of food matrices using commercial sous vide method at 50°C.	
	Figure 403. Predicted response curve using a Log-linear model incorporating a shoulder-effect for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating of food matrices using commercial sous vide method at 52°C.	564
	Figure 404. Predicted response curve using a Weibull for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating of food matrices using commercial sous vide method at 52°C.	565
	Figure 405. Predicted response curve using a Weibull for survival of strain 13136 (ST-45, CC-45) following heating of food matrices using commercial sous vide method at 52°C.	566
	Figure 406. Predicted response curve using a Log-linear model incorporating a shoulder-effect for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating of food matrices using commercial sous vide method at 54°C	567
3,37	Time-Temperature Simulations: 52°C	568
5.5.7	Food-matrices Interior Survival	568
	Table 399 Assessment of individual model fit for strains following heating using	560
	sous vide method and interior survival in food-matrices at 52°C	509
	Table 400. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for survival of strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating using sous vide method and	569
	interior survival in food-matrices at 52°C.	

	Table 401. Mixed Weibull distribution model for survival of strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating using sous vide method and interior survival in food-matrices at 52°C.	569
3.3.8	Time-Temperature Simulations: 52°C	570
	Food Matrices Interior Survival Predicted Response Curves	570
	Figure 407. Predicted response curve using a Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function for strain 12628 (ST-1773, CC-828) following heating using commercial sous vide method and interior sampling of food matrices at 52°C. Figure 408. Predicted response curve using a mixed Weibull distribution model for	570
	strain 12662 (ST-257, CC-257) following heating using commercial sous vide method and interior sampling of food matrices at 52°C.	571
3.4	DISCUSSION	572
3.5	REFERENCES	573
A1	APPENDICES	575
	Table A1.1. Description of the parameter set estimated by the asymptotic regression model.	575
	Table A1.2. Description of the parameter set estimated by the logistic regression model.	575
	Table A1.3. Description of parameter set estimated by the four-parameter logistic regression model.	575
	Table A1.4. Description of parameter set estimated by the bi-exponential regression model.	576
	Table A1.5. Description of parameter set estimated by the four-parameter Weibull model	576
	Table A1.6. Description of parameter set estimated by the log-linear model.	576
	Table A1.7. Description of parameter set estimated by the log-linear model incorporating a shoulder effect.	576
	Table A1.8. Description of parameter set estimated by the log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function.	577
	Table A1.9. Description of parameters estimated by the log-linear model incorporating a shoulder effect and asymptotic function.	577
	Table A1.10. Description of parameter set estimated by the Weibull model.	577
	Table A1.11. Description of parameter set estimated by the Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function.	577
	Table A1.12. Description of parameter set estimated by the mixed Weibull distribution model.	578
	Table A1.13. Description of parameter set estimated by Biphasic model incorporating an asymptotic function.	578
	Table A1.14. Description of parameter set estimated by the Biphasic model incorporating a shoulder effect and asymptotic function.	578
A2	R Predicted Response Curve Types	579
	Figure A2. Illustrating the different types of predictive models generated within R that may be used to produce response curves for survival of Campylobacter: a) asymptotic regression model, b) logistic regression model, c) four-parameter logistic regression model, d) bi-exponential regression model, e) Four-parameter Weibull regression model and f) Mixed Weibull regression model.	579
A3	GinaFIT Predicted Response Curve Types Figure A3.1 Log-linear model (Bigelow and Esty, 1920) predicted response curve generated using GlnaFiT (1.6) (Geerared et al., 2005).	580 580

	Figure A3.2. Log-linear model incorporating an asymptotic function (Geeraerd et al., 2000) predicted response curve generated using GlnaFiT (1.6) (Geerared et al., 2005).	581
	Figure A3.3. Log-linear model incorporating a shoulder-effect (Geeraerd et al. 2000) predicted response curve generated using GlnaFiT (1.6) (Geerared et al., 2005).	582
	Figure A3.4. Log-linear model incorporating a shoulder-effect and asymptotic function (Geeraerd et al. 2000) predicted response curve generated using GlnaFiT (1.6) (Geerared et al., 2005).	583
	Figure A3.5. Weibull model (Mafart et al., 2002) predicted response curve generated using GInaFiT (1.6) (Geerared et al., 2005).	584
	Figure A3.6. Weibull model incorporating an asymptotic function (Albert and Mafart, 2005) predicted response curve generated using GlnaFiT (1.6) (Geerared et al., 2005).	585
	Figure A3.7. Mixed Weibull distribution model (Coroller et al., 2006) predicted response curve generated using GlnaFiT (1.6) (Geerared et al., 2005).	586
	Figure A3.8. Biphasic model (Cerf 1977) predicted response curve generated using GInaFiT (1.6) (Geerared et al., 2005).	587
	Figure A3.9. Biphasic model incorporating a shoulder-effect (Geeraerd et al., 2006) predicted response curve generated using GlnaFiT (1.6) (Geerared et al., 2005).	588
A4	Experimental Protocols	589
A4.1	Isolates used in the challenge experiments	589
	Table A4.1. The full panel of 14 C. jejuni and C. coli isolates used in this project, their sequence type and source.	589
A4.2	Survival of Campylobacter in pre-heated Campylobacter enrichment broth (CEB) with and without altered pH.	590
A4.2.1	Preparation of the InoCulum	590
A4.2.2	Heat and pH Challenge in Broth	590
A4.3	Extended Simulations	591
A4.4	The effect of direct (instant) heat and gradual heat on the survival and recovery of Campylobacter attached to meat piece surfaces.	592
A4.5	The survival and recovery of Campylobacter from poultry meat interiors after gradual and direct (instant) heat exposure.	593
A4.6	The Survival and Recovery of Campylobacter from Poultry Meat Interiors following Direct (Instant) Heating by Sous Vide Method.	593
A4.7	The Survival and recovery of Campylobacter from within whole chicken fillets after heating by sous vide method.	593
A4.8	Experiments to determine the upper temperature growth limits of Campylobacter in CEB.	594
ΔΔ 9	References	594