

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

PAGE	TABLE	TITLE	TOTAL
6	1	1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them? The experts contradict each other over what foods are good or bad for you Base: All	2078
9	2	1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them? What you eat makes a big difference to how healthy you are Base: All	2078
15	3	1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them? When preparing food for myself I could be more careful about hygiene Base: All	2078
18	4	1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them? For me, most of the time food should be as quick as possible to prepare Base: All	2078
24	5	1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them? I am unlikely to get food poisoning from food prepared in my own home Base: All	2078
27	6	1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them? It's just bad luck if you get food poisoning Base: All	2078
33	7	1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them? People worry too much about getting food poisoning Base: All	2078
39	8	1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them? You only get food poisoning if you don't cook food properly Base: All	2078
45	9	1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them? People only get food poisoning at home if they buy food that's already bad Base: All	2078
46	10	1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?	0
49	11	1.2 Are you the person who usually does most of the cooking in this household, or do you just do some of the cooking, or do you not usually do any cooking at all? Base: All	2078
52	12	1.3 And do you usually do most of the food shopping, or some of it, or do you not usually do any of the food shopping? Base: All	2078

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

PAGE	TABLE	TITLE	TOTAL
55	13	1.4 How often does anyone in your household cook any kind of raw chicken, including chicken fillets or things like chicken kiev? Would it be Base: All	2078
58	14	1.6 How often does anyone in your household cook any kind of raw beef, including beefburgers or mince? Would it be Base: All	2078
61	15	2.1 Have you personally ever had food poisoning? Base: All	2078
70	16	2.2 If you were buying raw food to cook at home, are some types of food more likely to give you food poisoning than others? Base: All	2078
76	17	3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning. The meat is sprayed or misted with a weak solution of lactic acid Base: All	2078
82	18	3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning. The meat passes through a hot water bath or is exposed to steam in a chamber or tunnel Base: All	2078
88	19	3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning. The meat is exposed to ozone gas Base: All	2078
94	20	3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning. The surface of the meat is exposed to a rapid reduction in temperature for a short period Base: All	2078
100	21	3.2 The treatment involves spraying the raw meat with lactic acid in the slaughterhouse. Lactic acid is a naturally occurring substance present in human and animal muscles. It is also present naturally in foods such as cheese, yogurt and soy sauce. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning? Base: All	2078
106	22	3.3 The treatment involves spraying the raw meat surface with a fine mist of a solution of lactic acid. Only very small amounts are left on the surface of the meat after treatment, less than the amount that is present naturally in the meat before any treatment. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning? Base: All	2078

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

PAGE	TABLE	TITLE	TOTAL
112	23	3.4 Meat that has been treated with lactic acid in this way does not look or taste different from untreated meat. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning? Base: All	2078
115	24	3.5 Did the respondent seem to you to be considering the extra information at 3.2 - 3.4 or do you think he/she already made his/her mind up and wasn't going to think about changing his/her opinion? Base: All	2078
118	25	3.6 Is there any other information about lactic acid treatment that would be useful to you in deciding whether it is acceptable or not? IF YES What information is that? Base: All	2078
124	26	3.7 How strongly would you support or oppose the use of lactic acid treatment on raw chicken? Base: All	2078
130	27	3.7 How strongly would you support or oppose the use of lactic acid treatment on raw beef? Base: All	2078
133	28	3.8 Suppose you were buying chicken in a shop and were offered a choice between raw chicken that had been treated with lactic acid, and had a lower risk of food poisoning, and raw chicken that had just been washed in water. Which do you think you would buy? Base: All	2078
136	29	4.1 As well as labels saying what the product is, and the price, and any special offer labels, packs of meat in shops often have labels with other information. When buying raw meat in the supermarket how often do you look at these other labels? Base: All	2078
139	30	4.2 What sorts of things are you usually looking for on the labels? Any others? Base: All who ever look at labels	1503
142	31	4.3 If the lactic acid treatment we have just been talking about is used by some meat suppliers to reduce the risk of food poisoning from their meat, how important or unimportant do you think it is that this should be labelled on the packaging? Base: All	2078
145	32	4.4 There are a number of reasons why some people think it isn't necessary to label raw meat to show it has been treated with lactic acid. After hearing each one can you say from this card how convincing or unconvincing you think it is a) there is no need for labelling because the treatment is of no safety concern Base: All	2078
148	33	4.4 There are a number of reasons why some people think it isn't necessary to label raw meat to show it has been treated with lactic acid. After hearing each one can you say from this card how convincing or unconvincing you think it is b) there is no need for labelling because there is already lactic acid in meat and you can't differentiate between lactic acid added in the treatment and the lactic acid that is already naturally present in the meat Base: All	2078

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

PAGE	TABLE	TITLE	TOTAL
151	34	4.4 There are a number of reasons why some people think it isn't necessary to label raw meat to show it has been treated with lactic acid. After hearing each one can you say from this card how convincing or unconvincing you think it is c) there is no need for labelling because there is no legal requirement to have labels for lactic acid treatment, for example because it doesn't cause allergic reactions in people Base: All	2078
154	35	4.5 On this card are four possible ways that packs of raw meat could be labelled to show that it had been treated with lactic acid. Can you say which of them you think is best, in terms of containing about the right amount of information. Base: All	2078
163	36	4.6 If labelling was introduced to show that meat had been treated with lactic acid, which of these types of product do you think should be labelled? The labels might be on the food itself, or displayed in the cafe or restaurant Base: All	2078
166	37	4.6 If labelling was introduced to show that meat had been treated with lactic acid, which of these types of product do you think should be labelled? The labels might be on the food itself, or displayed in the cafe or restaurant Base: All	2014
172	38	4.7 I'm now going to ask you some questions about one of the other possible treatments - rapid chilling. This treatment involves exposing the surface of the meat to a rapid reduction in temperature during the chilling process for a very short period. This treatment is most likely to be used on chicken. The surface of the skin may freeze momentarily but the flesh is not frozen. Now you know this how acceptable do you find the treatment? Base: All	2078
178	39	4.8 The rapid chilling process kills some of the bacteria that cause the majority of food poisoning in the UK, these bacteria would not come alive again when the temperature was raised. Meat treated in this way can safely be frozen and defrosted without the bacteria coming alive again. Now you know this how acceptable do you find the treatment? Base: All	2078
181	40	4.9 I mentioned earlier some other possible treatments to reduce the risk of food poisoning from meat. As I read each one out again, can you say if you think meat treated in this way should be labelled or not. The meat passes through a hot water bath or is exposed to steam in a chamber or tunnel Base: All	2078
184	41	4.9 I mentioned earlier some other possible treatments to reduce the risk of food poisoning from meat. As I read each one out again, can you say if you think meat treated in this way should be labelled or not. The meat is exposed to ozone gas Base: All	2078
187	42	4.9 I mentioned earlier some other possible treatments to reduce the risk of food poisoning from meat. As I read each one out again, can you say if you think meat treated in this way should be labelled or not. The surface of the meat is exposed to a rapid reduction in temperature for a short period Base: All	2078

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

PAGE	TABLE	TITLE	TOTAL
190	43	4.10 My final questions about meat are about where you shop. Do you buy most of your raw meat from a supermarket, a butchers, a market, or some other kind of shop? Base: All	2078
193	44	4.11 Which supermarket do you buy most of your meat from? Base: All who shop at supermarket	1615
196	45	5.1 Gender Base: All	2078
199	46	5.2/5.3 Age Base: All	2078
202	47	5.4/5.5 People aged 65+ in household Base: All	2078
205	48	5.6 How many children or young people aged under 17 live in this household? This could include other people's children who usually live in this household, as well as your own children. Base: All	2078
211	49	5.7 Age of children in household Base: All with children in household	627
214	50	5.8 And are you the parent or main or joint carer for any of the children or young people you have told me about? Base: All with children in household	627
220	51	5.9 Thinking of the income of the household as a whole, which of the groups on this card represents the total income of the whole household, before deductions for income tax, National Insurance etc. Base: All	2078
223	52	NS-SEC Base: All (except Never Worked)	2038
232	53	5.18 What is your ethnic group? Base: All	2078
235	54	Change from 3.1 to 3.2 Base: All except Don't know/It depends	1767
238	55	Change from 3.2 to 3.3 Base: All except Don't know/It depends	1948
241	56	Change from 3.3 to 3.4 Base: All except Don't know/It depends	1965



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

PAGE	TABLE	TITLE	TOTAL
244	57	Chemical and Physical treatments Base: All	2078
245	58	Chemical and Physical treatments Base: All	2078
248	59	Country Base: All respondents	2078



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 1

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
The experts contradict each other over what foods are good or bad for you

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely agree (2)	888 43%	382 41%	506 44%	43 29%	106 33%	133 35%	160 47% CDE	180 53% CDE	264 48% CDE	234 41%	94 44%	69 47%	60 46%	207 44%	843 44% Q	14 38% Q	12 15%
Tend to agree (1)	736 35%	322 35%	413 36%	52 35%	120 37%	143 38%	109 32%	114 34%	198 36%	207 36%	68 32%	50 34%	41 31%	151 32%	666 35%	17 45%	34 43%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	221 11%	114 12%	107 9%	28 19% FGH	52 16% FGH	48 13% GH	27 8%	22 7%	44 8%	57 10%	26 12%	15 11% I	11 9%	57 12%	189 10%	2 5%	23 29% OP
Tend to disagree (-1)	166 8%	66 7%	99 9%	14 9%	35 11% GH	42 11% GH	31 9% H	16 5%	27 5%	54 9%	19 9%	6 4%	15 12%	36 8%	146 8%	4 10%	6 7%
Definitely disagree (-2)	36 2%	20 2%	16 1%	3 2%	5 1%	9 2%	7 2%	6 2%	7 1%	15 3%	3 1%	* *	1 1%	9 2%	34 2%	- -	1 1%
Don't know	32 2%	17 2%	15 1%	8 5% DEFG	1 *	5 1%	3 1%	1 *	14 3% G	3 1%	3 1%	5 3% I	2 1%	15 3% I	25 1%	1 2%	3 4%
All Agree	1624 78%	704 76%	920 80%	95 64%	226 71%	277 73%	268 80% CD	294 87% CDEF	462 83% CDE	441 77%	162 76%	119 82%	100 77%	358 75%	1509 79% Q	31 82% Q	46 59%
All Disagree	202 10%	87 9%	115 10%	17 11%	40 13% GH	51 13% GH	38 11% H	22 7%	34 6%	69 12% K	21 10%	7 5%	16 13%	45 10%	180 9%	4 10%	7 8%
Net Agree	1422 68%	617 67%	805 70%	78 53%	186 58%	226 59%	231 69% CDE	272 80% CDEF	428 77% CDEF	372 65%	140 66%	112 77% IM	84 65%	313 66%	1329 70% Q	28 72%	40 50%
Mean	1.11	1.08	1.13	0.85	0.90	0.93	1.15 CDE	1.32 CDE	1.27 CDE	1.04	1.11	1.28 I	1.11	1.11	1.14 Q	1.13	0.67



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 1

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
The experts contradict each other over what foods are good or bad for you

Base: All

	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Standard Deviation	1.01	1.02	1.00	1.03	1.04	1.07	1.05	0.92	0.90	1.06	1.02	0.85	1.05	1.03	1.00	0.92	0.88



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 1

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
The experts contradict each other over what foods are good or bad for you

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely agree (2)	888 43%	675 47% STU	213 33%	91 32%	155 33%	593 41%	295 46%	496 43%	288 41%	221 40%	744 43%	118 45%	26 35%	110 35%	567 47% d	453 42%	277 45%
Tend to agree (1)	736 35%	487 34%	249 38%	110 39%	178 38%	501 35%	235 36%	418 37%	241 34%	198 36%	610 35%	99 37%	27 36%	129 41% e	384 32%	375 35%	215 35%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	221 11%	129 9%	92 14% R	43 15% R	66 14% R	167 12%	54 8%	117 10%	81 11%	70 13%	188 11%	20 8%	13 17% b	30 10%	125 10%	110 10%	62 10%
Tend to disagree (-1)	166 8%	103 7%	63 10%	27 10%	50 11% R	130 9% W	35 5%	80 7%	69 10%	49 9%	140 8%	20 8%	6 8%	26 8%	102 8%	95 9%	44 7%
Definitely disagree (-2)	36 2%	18 1%	18 3% R	6 2%	13 3%	27 2%	9 1%	20 2%	13 2%	11 2%	30 2%	4 1%	2 3%	13 4% e	17 1%	25 2%	8 1%
Don't know	32 2%	19 1%	13 2% U	6 2%	4 1%	15 1%	17 3% V	14 1%	16 2%	8 1%	26 2%	4 1%	2 2%	6 2%	9 1%	8 1%	8 1%
All Agree	1624 78%	1162 81% STU	462 71%	200 71%	333 71%	1093 76%	531 82% V	915 80% Y	529 75%	419 75%	1354 78%	216 82% c	53 71%	239 76%	950 79%	828 78%	492 80%
All Disagree	202 10%	121 8%	81 12% R	34 12%	63 13% R	158 11% W	44 7%	99 9%	83 12%	60 11%	170 10%	24 9%	8 11%	39 12%	119 10%	120 11%	51 8%
Net Agree	1422 68%	1041 73% STU	381 59%	167 59%	270 58%	935 65%	487 75% V	815 71% YZ	446 63%	359 64%	1184 68%	193 73% c	45 60%	200 64%	832 69%	707 66%	441 72% f
Mean	1.11	1.20 STU	0.91	0.91	0.89	1.06	1.23 V	1.14	1.04	1.04	1.11	1.18	0.94	0.96	1.16 d	1.07	1.17



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 1

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
The experts contradict each other over what foods are good or bad for you

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Weighted Base 2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Standard Deviation 1.01	0.97	1.06	1.04	1.07	1.04	0.92	0.98	1.05	1.03	1.01	0.97	1.06	1.08	1.01	1.05	0.97



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 1

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
The experts contradict each other over what foods are good or bad for you

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely agree (2)	888 43%	852 43%	36 39%	632 43% m	83 47% m	81 42% m	67 29%	280 44%	450 43%	158 39%
Tend to agree (1)	736 35%	715 36% i	21 22%	517 35%	57 32%	75 38%	118 51% jkl	191 30%	397 38%	148 37%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	221 11%	208 11%	13 14%	167 11% k	10 5%	18 9%	20 9%	71 11%	105 10%	45 11%
Tend to disagree (-1)	166 8%	152 8%	14 15% h	117 8%	13 7%	18 10%	19 8%	70 11%	67 6%	28 7%
Definitely disagree (-2)	36 2%	33 2%	3 3%	23 2%	7 4% j	2 1%	2 1%	21 3%	9 1%	6 2%
Don't know	32 2%	25 1%	7 7% h	21 1%	6 3%	1 *	4 2%	3 *	11 1%	17 4%
All Agree	1624 78%	1566 79% i	57 62%	1149 78%	140 80%	156 80%	185 80%	471 74%	847 82%	305 76%
All Disagree	202 10%	185 9%	17 18% h	140 10%	20 11%	20 10%	22 9%	91 14%	76 7%	35 9%
Net Agree	1422 68%	1381 70% i	41 44%	1008 68%	120 68%	136 70%	164 71%	380 60%	771 74%	271 67%
Mean	1.11	1.12	0.86	1.11	1.16	1.11	1.01	1.01	1.18	1.10

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 1

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
The experts contradict each other over what foods are good or bad for you

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base 2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Standard Deviation 1.01	0.99	1.22	1.00	1.10	0.98	0.91	1.14	0.92	0.98



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 2

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
What you eat makes a big difference to how healthy you are

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely agree (2)	1500 72%	644 70%	856 74%	100 68%	242 76% H	289 76% H	243 72%	248 73%	377 68%	452 79% M	158 75% M	103 71%	101 78% M	305 64%	1371 72%	29 77%	55 70%
Tend to agree (1)	471 23%	223 24%	248 21%	32 22%	69 22%	68 18%	83 25%	76 22%	143 26% E	99 17%	47 22%	37 25%	23 17%	133 28% I	432 23%	6 15%	23 29%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	47 2%	25 3%	23 2%	7 5% DF	1	8 2%	3 1%	9 3% D	20 4% DF	8 1%	1 1%	3 2%	1 1%	17 4%	43 2%	2 5%	1 1%
Tend to disagree (-1)	36 2%	18 2%	18 2%	6 4%	4 1%	7 2%	5 2%	5 1%	8 2%	6 1%	3 2%	2 1%	3 2%	9 2%	35 2%	1 2%	-
Definitely disagree (-2)	16 1%	8 1%	8 1%	-	1	9 2% DGH	2 1%	*	4 1%	4 1%	2 1%	-	2 1%	6 1%	14 1%	-	-
Don't know	8 *	5 *	3 *	1 1%	2 1%	-	1 *	2 1%	2 *	*	-	*	*	5 1%	8 *	-	-
All Agree	1971 95%	867 94%	1105 96%	133 90%	312 98% CEH	356 93%	325 97% C	324 95%	520 94%	551 97% M	205 97%	140 96%	124 96%	438 92%	1803 95%	35 93%	78 99%
All Disagree	51 2%	26 3%	26 2%	6 4%	4 1%	16 4%	7 2%	5 1%	12 2%	10 2%	6 3%	2 1%	5 4%	16 3%	48 3%	1 2%	-
Net Agree	1920 92%	841 91%	1079 93%	126 86%	307 96% CEH	340 89%	318 95% CE	319 94% C	508 92%	541 95% M	200 94%	138 95%	119 92%	422 89%	1755 92%	34 90%	78 99%
Mean	1.64	1.61	1.67	1.56	1.73 CH	1.62	1.67	1.68	1.60	1.74 M	1.68	1.65	1.69	1.53	1.64	1.67	1.69
Standard Deviation	0.69	0.71	0.66	0.78	0.55	0.83	0.63	0.61	0.70	0.61	0.67	0.60	0.73	0.77	0.69	0.69	0.49

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 2

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
What you eat makes a big difference to how healthy you are

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely agree (2)	1500 72%	1043 73%	457 71%	187 66%	338 72%	1050 73%	450 70%	839 73%	506 71%	389 70%	1282 74% b	169 64%	49 64%	233 74%	879 73%	797 75%	433 71%
Tend to agree (1)	471 23%	314 22%	158 24% U	84 30% RSU	103 22%	314 22%	158 24%	251 22%	165 23%	130 23%	378 22%	74 28% a	19 25%	71 23%	268 22%	240 22%	139 23%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	47 2%	36 2%	12 2%	2 1%	11 2%	26 2%	21 3%	27 2%	16 2%	16 3%	33 2%	13 5% a	2 3%	6 2%	25 2%	13 1%	23 4% f
Tend to disagree (-1)	36 2%	24 2%	11 2%	5 2%	10 2%	25 2%	11 2%	17 2%	9 1%	14 2%	28 2%	7 3%	1 1%	3 1%	15 1%	12 1%	9 2%
Definitely disagree (-2)	16 1%	7 *	9 1%	4 2%	5 1%	12 1%	4 1%	7 1%	9 1%	6 1%	12 1%	1 *	3 4% ab	*	11 1%	4 *	5 1%
Don't know	8 *	7 *	1 *	1 *	- -	6 *	2 *	4 *	3 *	3 *	5 *	1 *	2 3% a	1 *	5 *	1 *	4 1%
All Agree	1971 95%	1357 95%	614 95%	270 96%	440 94%	1364 95%	607 94%	1090 95%	671 95%	519 93%	1660 96% bc	243 92%	68 90%	304 97%	1147 95%	1036 97% g	572 93%
All Disagree	51 2%	31 2%	20 3%	9 3%	15 3%	37 3%	15 2%	24 2%	18 3%	20 4%	40 2%	8 3%	4 5%	3 1%	26 2%	16 2%	14 2%
Net Agree	1920 92%	1326 93%	594 92%	261 93%	425 91%	1327 93%	593 92%	1066 93% Z	653 92%	499 90%	1621 93% bc	235 89%	64 85%	301 96%	1121 93%	1020 96% g	558 91%
Mean	1.64	1.66	1.61	1.58	1.62	1.66	1.61	1.66	1.63	1.59	1.67 bc	1.53	1.49	1.70	1.66	1.70 g	1.62
Standard Deviation	0.69	0.66	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.68	0.69	0.66	0.71	0.76	0.66	0.74	0.92	0.56	0.67	0.59	0.71



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 2

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
What you eat makes a big difference to how healthy you are

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely agree (2)	1500 72%	1442 73%	58 62%	1079 73% lm	123 70%	127 65%	151 65%	502 79%	742 71%	256 64%
Tend to agree (1)	471 23%	445 22%	26 28%	323 22%	43 25%	60 31% j	63 27%	117 18%	240 23%	114 28%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	47 2%	44 2%	3 3%	35 2%	3 2%	2 1%	6 3%	5 1%	26 3%	16 4%
Tend to disagree (-1)	36 2%	33 2%	3 3%	24 2%	4 2%	4 2%	4 2%	5 1%	22 2%	8 2%
Definitely disagree (-2)	16 1%	14 1%	2 2%	12 1%	1 *	-	3 1%	5 1%	5 1%	6 1%
Don't know	8 *	7 *	1 1%	4 *	2 1%	2 1%	3 1% j	3 *	3 *	2 1%
All Agree	1971 95%	1887 95%	84 91%	1401 95%	166 95%	187 96%	214 93%	619 97%	982 95%	370 92%
All Disagree	51 2%	47 2%	5 5%	36 2%	5 3%	4 2%	7 3%	10 2%	28 3%	14 3%
Net Agree	1920 92%	1840 93% i	80 85%	1365 92%	161 92%	183 94%	207 90%	609 96%	954 92%	357 89%
Mean	1.64	1.65 i	1.47	1.65	1.63	1.61	1.56	1.74	1.63	1.52
Standard Deviation	0.69	0.67	0.87	0.69	0.68	0.62	0.76	0.59	0.69	0.79



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 3

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
When preparing food for myself I could be more careful about hygiene

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely agree (2)	289 14%	163 18% B	126 11%	40 27% EFGH	58 18% FH	61 16% H	34 10%	40 12%	57 10%	67 12%	21 10%	25 17% L	10 7%	80 17% JL	216 11%	14 38% O	35 44% O
Tend to agree (1)	616 30%	315 34% B	301 26%	55 38% GH	108 34% GH	119 31%	109 33% H	83 25%	139 25%	160 28%	67 32%	38 26%	56 43% IKM	148 31%	566 30%	12 32%	24 30%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	172 8%	78 8%	94 8%	11 7%	27 9%	24 6%	40 12% E	24 7%	46 8%	55 10%	13 6%	14 9%	8 6%	31 6%	164 9%	2 5%	2 2%
Tend to disagree (-1)	503 24%	215 23%	288 25%	26 18%	78 24%	79 21%	79 24%	96 28%	145 26%	148 26%	57 27%	32 22%	28 21%	103 22%	483 25% PQ	3 7%	9 11%
Definitely disagree (-2)	496 24%	150 16%	346 30% A	15 11%	48 15%	98 26% CD	74 22% C	94 28% CD	167 30% CDF	140 25%	54 26%	36 25%	29 22%	113 24%	473 25% Q	7 17%	8 11%
Don't know	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	2 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	1	-	1 1% O
All Agree	905 44%	477 52% B	428 37%	95 65% EFGH	166 52% FGH	180 47% GH	143 43%	123 36%	196 35%	227 40%	88 41%	63 43%	66 51%	229 48% I	782 41%	27 70% O	59 75% O
All Disagree	999 48%	365 40%	634 55% A	41 28%	126 40%	177 46% C	153 46% C	190 56% CDEF	312 56% CDEF	288 51%	111 53%	68 47%	56 43%	216 45%	956 50% PQ	9 25%	17 22%
Net Agree	-94 -5%	112 12%	-206 -18%	54 37% DEF	40 12% EF	3 1%	-10 -3%	-66 -20%	-115 -21%	-61 -11%	-24 -11%	-5 -3%	9 7% K	13 3% K	-174 -9%	17 45%	42 53%
Mean	-0.15	0.14 B	-0.37	0.53 DEF GH	0.16 FG H	-0.09 GH	-0.15 H	-0.36	-0.41	-0.24	-0.27	-0.11	-0.08	-0.04	-0.23	0.65 O	0.88 O



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 3

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
When preparing food for myself I could be more careful about hygiene

Base: All

	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Standard Deviation	1.43	1.39	1.42	1.34	1.38	1.48	1.35	1.41	1.40	1.39	1.39	1.48	1.36	1.47	1.40	1.50	1.38



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 3

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
When preparing food for myself I could be more careful about hygiene

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely agree (2)	289 14%	186 13%	103 16%	53 19% R	69 15%	219 15% W	70 11%	143 13%	117 16% X	86 15%	248 14% b	21 8%	20 26% ab	61 20% e	153 13%	164 15% g	66 11%
Tend to agree (1)	616 30%	418 29%	198 31%	90 32%	133 28%	452 32% W	164 25%	308 27%	227 32% X	212 38% XY	516 30%	79 30%	21 28%	103 33%	347 29%	313 29%	188 31%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	172 8%	128 9%	44 7%	26 9%	34 7%	120 8%	52 8%	77 7%	67 9%	54 10%	135 8%	28 11%	8 11%	23 7%	91 8%	84 8%	52 9%
Tend to disagree (-1)	503 24%	344 24%	159 25% T	55 20%	121 26% T	335 23%	168 26%	304 27% YZ	151 21%	113 20%	417 24%	74 28%	12 16%	57 18%	309 26% d	271 25%	147 24%
Definitely disagree (-2)	496 24%	352 25%	144 22%	57 20%	111 24%	305 21%	192 30% V	313 27% YZ	145 21% Z	92 17%	421 24%	62 23%	14 18%	70 22%	303 25%	234 22%	160 26%
Don't know	2	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
All Agree	905 44%	604 42%	301 46% U	144 51% RU	201 43%	671 47% W	234 36%	451 39%	344 49% X	297 53% XY	764 44%	100 38%	41 54% b	164 52% e	500 42%	477 45%	254 41%
All Disagree	999 48%	696 49% T	303 47% T	112 40%	232 50% ST	640 45%	359 56% V	617 54% YZ	297 42% Z	205 37%	837 48% c	135 51% c	26 35%	127 40%	612 51% d	505 47%	307 50%
Net Agree	-94 -5%	-91 -6%	-3 *	31 11% SU	-30 -7%	31 2%	-125 -19%	-166 -14%	47 7%	93 17% Y	-74 -4%	-35 -13%	15 19%	38 12%	-112 -9%	-29 -3%	-53 -9%
Mean	-0.15	-0.18	-0.07 U	0.10 RS U	-0.16	-0.04 W	-0.38	-0.29	0.03 X	0.16 X	-0.14	-0.29	0.27 ab	0.09 e	-0.22	-0.09	-0.24



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 3

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
When preparing food for myself I could be more careful about hygiene

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Weighted Base 2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Standard Deviation 1.43	1.42	1.44	1.44	1.44	1.42	1.41	1.43	1.42	1.36	1.43	1.33	1.47	1.48	1.42	1.43	1.40



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 3

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
When preparing food for myself I could be more careful about hygiene

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely agree (2)	289 14%	274 14%	15 16%	212 14% m	24 14%	19 10%	19 8%	71 11%	128 12%	91 22%
Tend to agree (1)	616 30%	597 30%	19 20%	433 29%	56 32%	54 28%	81 35%	160 25%	335 32%	120 30%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	172 8%	158 8%	14 15% h	120 8%	19 11%	13 7%	20 9%	49 8%	103 10%	20 5%
Tend to disagree (-1)	503 24%	483 24%	20 21%	362 25% k	29 16%	55 28% k	71 31% jk	164 26%	266 26%	72 18%
Definitely disagree (-2)	496 24%	471 24%	25 27%	348 24% m	47 27% m	54 28% m	39 17%	192 30%	206 20%	98 24%
Don't know	2	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	1
All Agree	905 44%	871 44%	34 37%	645 44%	80 46%	73 38%	100 43%	231 36%	463 45%	211 52%
All Disagree	999 48%	954 48%	45 48%	710 48%	76 43%	108 56% k	111 48%	356 56%	472 45%	171 42%
Net Agree	-94 -5%	-84 -4%	-10 -11%	-65 -4%	4 2%	-35 -18%	-10 -5%	-125 -20%	-9 -1%	40 10%
Mean	-0.15	-0.14	-0.21	-0.14	-0.11	-0.36	-0.13	-0.39	-0.08	0.08

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 3

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
When preparing food for myself I could be more careful about hygiene

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base 2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Standard Deviation 1.43	1.42	1.45	1.43	1.45	1.39	1.29	1.42	1.36	1.54



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 4

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
For me, most of the time food should be as quick as possible to prepare

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely agree (2)	259 12%	109 12%	150 13%	20 13%	31 10%	38 10%	28 8%	37 11%	106 19% DEFG	62 11%	30 14%	21 14%	11 8%	61 13%	237 12%	2 5%	8 11%
Tend to agree (1)	476 23%	184 20%	293 25% A	33 22%	70 22%	87 23%	71 21%	69 20%	144 26%	123 22%	53 25%	29 20%	27 21%	122 26%	436 23%	7 17%	20 26%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	310 15%	153 17%	157 14%	31 21%	40 12%	52 14%	58 17%	46 13%	84 15%	92 16%	33 16%	25 17%	21 17%	54 11%	281 15%	9 22%	15 19%
Tend to disagree (-1)	582 28%	270 29%	312 27%	29 20%	91 28%	117 31% H	102 30%	111 33% CH	133 24%	176 31%	51 24%	35 24%	43 33%	124 26%	544 29%	8 20%	21 27%
Definitely disagree (-2)	447 21%	202 22%	244 21%	34 23%	88 28% H	86 22% H	77 23% H	78 23% H	83 15%	117 21%	45 21%	36 25%	26 20%	114 24%	400 21%	13 35%	13 17%
Don't know	4 *	4 *	1 *	-	-	1 *	-	-	3 1%	-	1 *	-	2 1% IM	-	4 *	-	-
All Agree	735 35%	292 32%	443 38% A	53 36%	101 32%	125 33%	99 30%	105 31%	250 45% DEFG	184 32%	83 39%	49 34%	38 29%	183 39%	673 35%	9 22%	29 37%
All Disagree	1029 50%	472 51%	556 48%	63 43%	179 56% H	203 53% H	179 53% H	189 56% H	217 39%	293 51%	96 45%	71 49%	68 53%	238 50%	944 50%	21 55%	35 44%
Net Agree	-293 -14%	-180 -20%	-113 -10%	-10 -7%	-78 -24%	-77 -20%	-80 -24%	-83 -25%	33 6% C	-109 -19%	-13 -6%	-22 -15%	-30 -23%	-54 -11%	-271 -14%	-12 -32%	-6 -7%
Mean	-0.23	-0.30	-0.18	-0.17	-0.42	-0.33	-0.39	-0.37	0.10 DE FG	-0.29	-0.13	-0.26	-0.36	-0.22	-0.23	-0.63	-0.13
Standard Deviation	1.35	1.33	1.36	1.37	1.35	1.32	1.28	1.32	1.37	1.30	1.38	1.40	1.25	1.39	1.34	1.28	1.28



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 4

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
For me, most of the time food should be as quick as possible to prepare

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely agree (2)	259 12%	195 14% SU	64 10% U	28 10%	36 8%	148 10%	111 17% V	147 13%	80 11%	69 12%	186 11%	48 18% a	25 32% ab	53 17%	146 12%	141 13%	71 12%
Tend to agree (1)	476 23%	346 24%	131 20%	63 22%	93 20%	312 22%	164 25%	279 24%	143 20%	118 21%	397 23%	58 22%	21 28%	90 29% e	249 21%	255 24%	117 19%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	310 15%	212 15%	98 15%	42 15%	74 16%	214 15%	97 15%	165 14%	106 15%	87 16%	252 15%	50 19%	8 10%	42 13%	176 15%	169 16%	74 12%
Tend to disagree (-1)	582 28%	389 27%	193 30% T	70 25%	151 32% ST	426 30% W	156 24%	313 27%	213 30%	156 28%	500 29%	66 25%	16 22%	72 23%	358 30% d	287 27%	196 32%
Definitely disagree (-2)	447 21%	285 20%	162 25% R	79 28% R	112 24%	332 23% W	115 18%	239 21%	165 23%	124 22%	399 23% bc	42 16%	6 8%	57 18%	274 23%	213 20%	155 25% f
Don't know	4 *	4 *	- -	- -	- -	1 *	3 *	2 *	1 *	2 *	4 *	- -	- -	1 *	1 *	2 *	1 *
All Agree	735 35%	541 38% SU	194 30%	91 32%	129 28%	460 32%	275 43% V	426 37% Y	222 31%	188 34%	583 34%	106 40% a	46 60% ab	143 45% e	394 33%	396 37% g	188 31%
All Disagree	1029 50%	674 47%	355 55% R	149 53%	264 57% R	758 53% W	271 42%	552 48%	378 53%	280 50%	899 52% bc	108 41%	22 30%	128 41%	632 52% d	500 47%	351 57% f
Net Agree	-293 -14%	-133 -9%	-161 -25%	-58 -21%	-135 -29%	-298 -21%	4 1%	-126 -11%	-156 -22%	-92 -17%	-315 -18%	-1 -1%	23 31% b	14 5%	-237 -20%	-104 -10%	-162 -26%
Mean	-0.23	-0.16 ST U	-0.40	-0.39	-0.45	-0.34	* V	-0.19	-0.34	-0.27	-0.30	0.02 a	0.55 ab	0.03 e	-0.30	-0.17 g	-0.40
Standard Deviation	1.35	1.36	1.32	1.36	1.26	1.32	1.38	1.35	1.33	1.35	1.33	1.36	1.35	1.39	1.34	1.35	1.35

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 4

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
For me, most of the time food should be as quick as possible to prepare

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely agree (2)	259 12%	244 12%	15 16%	181 12%	30 17%	20 10%	22 10%	43 7%	119 11%	97 24%
Tend to agree (1)	476 23%	463 23%	13 14%	341 23%	36 21%	41 21%	65 28%	122 19%	235 23%	119 30%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	310 15%	298 15%	12 13%	228 15%	21 12%	20 10%	39 17%	104 16%	166 16%	40 10%
Tend to disagree (-1)	582 28%	561 28%	21 22%	406 27%	48 27%	69 36% j	74 32%	183 29%	317 31%	82 20%
Definitely disagree (-2)	447 21%	416 21%	30 33% h	318 22% m	41 23% m	44 23% m	30 13%	184 29%	198 19%	64 16%
Don't know	4	3	1 1%	3	-	-	1	-	3	1
All Agree	735 35%	707 36%	29 31%	522 35%	66 37%	61 31%	87 38%	165 26%	354 34%	216 54%
All Disagree	1029 50%	977 49%	51 55%	724 49%	89 51%	113 58% jm	104 45%	367 58%	516 50%	146 36%
Net Agree	-293 -14%	-271 -14%	-23 -24%	-202 -14%	-23 -13%	-52 -27%	-17 -7%	-202 -32%	-161 -16%	70 17%
Mean	-0.23	-0.22	-0.41	-0.23	-0.20	-0.39	-0.11 l	-0.54	-0.23	0.26
Standard Deviation	1.35	1.34	1.48	1.34	1.44	1.32	1.23	1.27	1.31	1.43

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 5

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
I am unlikely to get food poisoning from food prepared in my own home

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely agree (2)	688 33%	300 33%	388 34%	23 16%	85 26%	102 27% C	109 33% C	135 40% CDE	232 42% CDEF	186 33%	77 36%	37 25%	61 47% IKM	154 32%	618 33%	12 32%	34 43%
Tend to agree (1)	625 30%	299 32%	326 28%	45 30%	86 27%	123 32%	95 28%	88 26%	187 34% G	182 32% L	60 28% L	57 39% L	20 15%	160 34% L	580 31%	12 32%	16 21%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	139 7%	51 5%	89 8%	19 13% H	24 8% H	25 7%	24 7%	26 8% H	22 4%	36 6%	18 9%	13 9%	4 3%	29 6%	128 7%	2 5%	6 7%
Tend to disagree (-1)	384 19%	170 18%	214 19%	35 24% H	75 23% H	76 20% H	60 18%	59 17%	78 14%	89 16%	38 18%	26 18%	32 25% I	77 16%	357 19%	6 15%	13 17%
Definitely disagree (-2)	231 11%	95 10%	136 12%	23 16% H	50 16% GH	52 14% H	47 14% H	30 9%	29 5%	76 13%	19 9%	12 8%	12 9%	46 10%	210 11%	6 15%	7 9%
Don't know	11 1%	7 1%	4 *	2 1%	*	3 1%	-	1 *	5 1%	-	-	1 1%	*	8 2% I	8 *	-	3 4% O
All Agree	1313 63%	599 65%	714 62%	67 46%	171 53%	225 59% C	205 61% C	223 66% CD	420 76% CDEF G	369 65%	137 65%	94 64%	81 63%	314 66%	1199 63%	25 65%	50 63%
All Disagree	615 30%	265 29%	350 30%	59 40% GH	125 39% GH	128 34% H	107 32% H	89 26% H	108 19%	165 29%	57 27%	38 26%	44 34%	124 26%	567 30%	11 30%	20 26%
Net Agree	698 34%	334 36%	364 31%	9 6%	46 14%	97 25% CD	97 29% CD	135 40% CDEF	312 56% CDEF G	204 36%	80 38%	56 38%	37 28%	191 40%	631 33%	13 35%	29 38%
Mean	0.56	0.59	0.53	0.06	0.25	0.39	0.47 C	0.71 CDE	0.94 CDE FG	0.55	0.66	0.56	0.67	0.64	0.55	0.53	0.74



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 5

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
I am unlikely to get food poisoning from food prepared in my own home

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Weighted Base 2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Standard Deviation	1.38	1.42	1.35	1.46	1.42	1.45	1.37	1.23	1.42	1.36	1.27	1.49	1.35	1.39	1.47	1.42



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 5

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
I am unlikely to get food poisoning from food prepared in my own home

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely agree (2)	688 33%	509 36% STU	179 28%	72 25%	134 29%	420 29%	268 42% V	393 34% Y	203 29%	171 31%	568 33%	95 36%	25 33%	97 31%	404 34%	347 32%	207 34%
Tend to agree (1)	625 30%	448 31%	177 27%	79 28%	124 27%	414 29%	210 33%	344 30%	220 31%	169 30%	515 30%	87 33%	23 30%	100 32%	337 28%	334 31%	182 30%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	139 7%	91 6%	49 8%	24 9%	37 8%	113 8% W	26 4%	89 8%	46 6%	30 5%	122 7%	14 5%	3 4%	14 4%	95 8%	66 6%	52 8%
Tend to disagree (-1)	384 19%	241 17%	144 22% R	60 21%	105 23% R	290 20% W	94 15%	196 17%	148 21%	112 20%	327 19%	39 15%	18 24%	59 19%	232 19%	194 18%	103 17%
Definitely disagree (-2)	231 11%	136 10%	94 15% R	43 15% R	65 14% R	192 13% W	39 6%	120 10%	85 12%	69 12%	200 11%	24 9%	7 9%	44 14%	130 11%	124 12%	65 11%
Don't know	11 1%	6 *	5 1%	5 2% RU	- -	3 *	8 1% V	2 *	6 1%	6 1% X	7 *	4 2% a	- -	· ·	6 *	1 *	4 1%
All Agree	1313 63%	957 67% STU	355 55%	150 53%	259 55%	834 58%	478 74% V	737 64%	423 60%	339 61%	1082 62%	182 69% a	48 63%	198 63%	741 62%	681 64%	389 63%
All Disagree	615 30%	377 26%	238 37% R	103 36% R	170 37% R	482 34% W	133 21%	317 28%	233 33% X	182 33%	527 30%	63 24%	25 33%	103 33%	362 30%	319 30%	169 28%
Net Agree	698 34%	581 41% STU	117 18%	47 17%	88 19%	352 25%	345 54% V	421 37% YZ	190 27%	158 28%	556 32%	119 45% ac	23 30%	95 30%	379 32%	362 34%	220 36%
Mean	0.56	0.67 STU	0.31	0.27	0.34	0.41	0.90 V	0.61 Y	0.44	0.47	0.53	0.73 a	0.54	0.47	0.55	0.55	0.59

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 5

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
I am unlikely to get food poisoning from food prepared in my own home

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Weighted Base 2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Standard Deviation 1.40	1.36	1.45	1.44	1.45	1.43	1.26	1.38	1.41	1.43	1.41	1.34	1.40	1.44	1.40	1.40	1.38



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 5

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
I am unlikely to get food poisoning from food prepared in my own home

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely agree (2)	688 33%	664 33%	24 26%	510 35% lm	47 27%	49 25%	53 23%	162 25%	347 33%	178 44%
Tend to agree (1)	625 30%	583 29%	42 45% h	438 30%	50 28%	65 33%	95 41% jk	184 29%	323 31%	118 29%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	139 7%	136 7%	4 4%	103 7%	10 5%	11 6%	10 5%	27 4%	92 9%	20 5%
Tend to disagree (-1)	384 19%	370 19%	15 16%	268 18%	36 21%	41 21%	43 18%	149 23%	184 18%	52 13%
Definitely disagree (-2)	231 11%	222 11%	9 9%	149 10%	33 19% j	28 14%	28 12%	113 18%	87 8%	30 7%
Don't know	11 1%	11 1%	-	9 1%	-	-	2 1%	2	5 1%	4 1%
All Agree	1313 63%	1246 63%	66 71%	948 64% k	97 55%	114 59%	148 64%	346 54%	670 65%	296 74%
All Disagree	615 30%	592 30%	23 25%	417 28%	69 39% j	69 36% j	71 31%	262 41%	271 26%	82 20%
Net Agree	698 34%	654 33%	43 46% h	531 36% kl	28 16%	45 23%	77 33% kl	84 13%	399 38%	214 53%
Mean	0.56	0.56	0.63	0.61 kl	0.24	0.34	0.45	0.21	0.64	0.91

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 5

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
I am unlikely to get food poisoning from food prepared in my own home

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base 2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Standard Deviation 1.40	1.40	1.28	1.38	1.51	1.42	1.35	1.49	1.33	1.30

Weighted Base
Standard Deviation



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 6

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
It's just bad luck if you get food poisoning

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely agree (2)	154 7%	67 7%	87 7%	18 12% DF	16 5%	27 7%	16 5%	23 7%	55 10% DF	33 6%	15 7%	6 4%	9 7%	46 10%	117 6%	6 15% O	16 20% O
Tend to agree (1)	396 19%	179 19%	216 19%	20 14%	47 15%	51 13%	48 14%	70 21% E	159 29% CDEF G	88 15%	35 17%	35 24% I	33 25% I	100 21%	362 19%	7 17%	18 23%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	214 10%	87 9%	126 11%	15 10%	37 12%	32 8%	30 9%	33 10%	66 12%	52 9%	23 11%	18 12%	13 10%	55 12%	201 11%	1 2%	5 6%
Tend to disagree (-1)	646 31%	306 33%	340 29%	54 37%	115 36% H	114 30%	115 34% H	102 30%	146 26%	195 34%	55 26%	49 34%	41 32%	140 29%	600 32%	14 38%	15 19%
Definitely disagree (-2)	662 32%	280 30%	382 33%	40 28%	104 33% H	155 41% CH	126 38% H	111 33% H	124 22%	201 35% M	83 39% KLM	37 25%	34 26%	131 28%	615 32%	10 27%	25 32%
Don't know	7	1	6 1%	-	*	2 1%	*	*	4 1%	-	-	1 1%	*	3 1%	7	-	-
All Agree	549 26%	246 27%	303 26%	38 26%	63 20%	77 20%	64 19%	93 27% F	213 39% CDEF G	121 21%	50 24%	41 28%	41 32% I	146 31% I	479 25%	12 32%	34 43% O
All Disagree	1308 63%	587 64%	722 62%	94 64% H	219 69% H	269 71% H	241 72% GH	213 63% H	270 49%	397 70% KLM	139 65%	86 59%	75 58%	271 57%	1215 64%	25 65%	40 51%
Net Agree	-759 -37%	-340 -37%	-419 -36%	-56 -38%	-156 -49%	-191 -50%	-177 -53%	-121 -36%	-57 -10%	-276 -48%	-89 -42%	-45 -31%	-33 -26%	-125 -26%	-736 -39%	-12 -32%	-6 -8%
Mean	-0.61	-0.60	-0.62	-0.54	-0.76	-0.84	-0.85	-0.62 EF	-0.23 DE FG	-0.78	-0.74	-0.53	-0.45 I	-0.45 IJ	-0.65	-0.45	-0.19 O
Standard Deviation	1.31	1.30	1.31	1.35	1.20	1.28	1.21	1.31	1.34	1.24	1.32	1.22	1.30	1.35	1.28	1.45	1.58



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 6

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
It's just bad luck if you get food poisoning

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely agree (2)	154 7%	114 8%	40 6%	25 9%	25 5%	87 6%	67 10% V	83 7%	48 7%	44 8%	128 7%	18 7%	7 10%	22 7%	97 8%	72 7%	54 9%
Tend to agree (1)	396 19%	304 21% SU	92 14%	48 17%	63 14%	220 15%	175 27% V	226 20%	119 17%	96 17%	313 18%	59 23%	23 30% a	74 24% e	206 17%	195 18%	120 20%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	214 10%	151 11%	63 10%	28 10%	46 10%	133 9%	80 12%	123 11%	60 9%	62 11%	171 10%	28 11%	14 19% a	27 8%	123 10%	100 9%	60 10%
Tend to disagree (-1)	646 31%	441 31%	205 32%	79 28%	147 32%	478 33% W	168 26%	344 30%	233 33%	198 36%	549 32% c	83 31%	14 19%	85 27%	394 33%	332 31%	188 31%
Definitely disagree (-2)	662 32%	417 29%	246 38% R	101 36%	184 40% R	511 36% W	151 23%	365 32%	246 35% Z	156 28%	572 33%	74 28%	17 23%	105 34%	384 32%	364 34%	188 31%
Don't know	7	4	3	1	1	3	4 1%	5	1	1	5	2 1%	-	.	.	2	3
All Agree	549 26%	418 29% SU	131 20%	73 26% SU	88 19%	308 21%	242 37% V	308 27%	167 24%	141 25%	441 25%	78 29%	30 40% a	97 31%	303 25%	268 25%	174 28%
All Disagree	1308 63%	858 60%	451 70% RT	180 64%	332 71% RT	989 69% W	319 49%	709 62%	480 68% X	353 63%	1121 64% c	156 59% c	31 42%	191 61%	778 65%	696 65%	376 61%
Net Agree	-759 -37%	-440 -31%	-319 -49%	-107 -38%	-244 -52%	-682 -48%	-78 -12%	-401 -35%	-312 -44%	-213 -38%	-679 -39%	-78 -30%	-1 -2%	-94 -30%	-476 -40%	-429 -40%	-201 -33%
Mean	-0.61	-0.52 SU	-0.81	-0.65 SU	-0.87	-0.77	-0.25 V	-0.60	-0.72	-0.58 Y	-0.65	-0.51	-0.15 ab	-0.56	-0.63	-0.68	-0.55
Standard Deviation	1.31	1.32	1.25	1.35	1.23	1.25	1.35	1.31	1.28	1.28	1.30	1.30	1.33	1.35	1.30	1.30	1.34



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 6

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
It's just bad luck if you get food poisoning

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely agree (2)	154 7%	141 7%	13 14% h	112 8%	13 7%	11 6%	10 4%	-	51 5%	102 25%
Tend to agree (1)	396 19%	379 19%	17 18%	279 19%	38 21%	40 21%	33 14%	-	206 20%	189 47%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	214 10%	207 10%	7 7%	151 10%	22 13%	16 8%	19 8%	-	214 21%	-
Tend to disagree (-1)	646 31%	620 31%	26 28%	458 31%	41 23%	74 38% k	102 44% jk	232 36%	347 33%	67 17%
Definitely disagree (-2)	662 32%	632 32%	30 33%	473 32%	61 35%	52 27%	64 28%	405 64%	214 21%	44 11%
Don't know	7	7	-	4	1	1	3 1% j	-	7 1%	-
All Agree	549 26%	519 26%	30 32%	391 26% m	51 29% m	51 26% m	43 18%	-	257 25%	292 72%
All Disagree	1308 63%	1252 63%	56 61%	931 63%	102 58%	126 65%	166 72% jk	637 100%	561 54%	111 28%
Net Agree	-759 -37%	-733 -37%	-27 -28%	-540 -37%	-51 -29%	-75 -38%	-124 -53%	-637 -100%	-303 -29%	181 45%
Mean	-0.61	-0.62	-0.47	-0.61	-0.57	-0.60	-0.78	-1.64	-0.45	0.60
Standard Deviation	1.31	1.30	1.46	1.31	1.35	1.25	1.13	0.48	1.17	1.32

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 7

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
 People worry too much about getting food poisoning

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely agree (2)	269 13%	142 15% B	127 11%	22 15%	50 16%	58 15%	33 10%	34 10%	72 13%	54 10%	15 7%	18 13%	25 19% IJ	69 15% IJ	228 12%	9 25% O	18 23% O
Tend to agree (1)	565 27%	262 28%	303 26%	28 19%	70 22%	98 26%	121 36% CDEH	101 30%	147 26%	162 28%	75 35% KL	34 23%	28 22%	138 29%	528 28%	6 15%	17 22%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	413 20%	160 17%	253 22% A	44 30% EGH	80 25% GH	69 18%	72 21%	53 16%	95 17%	133 23% M	56 26% LM	25 17%	20 15%	79 17%	384 20%	10 27%	11 14%
Tend to disagree (-1)	566 27%	246 27%	319 28%	29 20%	87 27%	109 29%	77 23%	111 33% CF	154 28%	159 28%	45 21%	46 31%	44 34% J	119 25%	505 27%	10 27%	27 34%
Definitely disagree (-2)	232 11%	96 10%	136 12%	21 14%	31 10%	42 11%	33 10%	40 12%	65 12%	59 10%	20 9%	17 12%	10 8%	58 12%	225 12%	2 5%	5 6%
Don't know	33 2%	15 2%	19 2%	2 1%	2 1%	5 1%	1 .	1 .	21 4% DEFG	3 1%	1 1%	5 3% I	3 2%	11 2% I	32 2%	- -	1 1%
All Agree	834 40%	404 44% B	430 37%	51 34%	120 38%	156 41%	154 46%	135 40%	219 40%	216 38%	90 42%	52 36%	53 41%	207 44%	756 40%	15 40%	35 44%
All Disagree	798 38%	342 37%	455 39%	50 34%	118 37%	151 40%	109 33%	151 44% F	219 39%	218 38%	65 31%	63 43% J	54 42%	177 37%	729 38%	12 32%	32 40%
Net Agree	36 2%	61 7% B	-25 -2%	1 1%	2 1%	4 1%	45 13% CDEG H	-16 -5%	.*	-2 .*	25 12% JKLM	-11 -7%	-1 -1%	30 6% IKL	27 1%	3 7% O	3 4%
Mean	0.04	0.12 B	-0.03	0.02	0.06	0.05	0.14	-0.07	0.02	-0.01	0.09	-0.07	0.11	0.09	0.02	0.28	0.21

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
 * small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 7

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
People worry too much about getting food poisoning

Base: All

	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Standard Deviation	1.24	1.26	1.21	1.26	1.23	1.27	1.17	1.23	1.26	1.17	1.11	1.26	1.29	1.28	1.23	1.26	1.31



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 7

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
People worry too much about getting food poisoning

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely agree (2)	269 13%	179 13%	89 14%	39 14%	60 13%	179 12%	90 14%	149 13%	97 14%	64 11%	235 14%	24 9%	10 13%	54 17%	151 13%	159 15%	66 11%
Tend to agree (1)	565 27%	408 29%	157 24%	65 23%	111 24%	399 28%	167 26%	296 26%	199 28%	166 30%	484 28%	64 24%	17 22%	79 25%	343 28%	283 27%	181 30%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	413 20%	270 19%	143 22%	59 21%	104 22%	299 21%	114 18%	234 20%	140 20%	116 21%	325 19%	73 28%	15 19%	60 19%	240 20%	208 19%	110 18%
Tend to disagree (-1)	566 27%	393 28%	172 27%	71 25%	132 28%	385 27%	181 28%	319 28%	177 25%	152 27%	473 27%	72 27%	21 28%	73 23%	331 28%	295 28%	169 27%
Definitely disagree (-2)	232 11%	153 11%	80 12%	44 16% R	57 12%	161 11%	71 11%	125 11%	87 12%	56 10%	195 11%	25 10%	13 17%	41 13%	121 10%	109 10%	76 12%
Don't know	33 2%	27 2%	6 1%	3 1%	3 1%	11 1%	23 4% V	22 2% Z	9 1%	3 *	27 2%	6 2%	1 1%	6 2%	18 1%	13 1%	12 2%
All Agree	834 40%	588 41%	246 38%	105 37%	171 37%	577 40%	257 40%	445 39%	295 42%	230 41%	719 41% b	88 33%	27 35%	134 42%	493 41%	441 41%	247 40%
All Disagree	798 38%	546 38%	252 39%	115 41%	189 40%	546 38%	252 39%	444 39%	264 37%	209 37%	667 38%	97 37%	33 44%	114 36%	452 38%	404 38%	245 40%
Net Agree	36 2%	41 3% STU	-5 -1%	-10 -4%	-18 -4%	31 2% W	5 1%	1 *	31 4% X	21 4% X	52 3% bc	-9 -3%	-6 -9%	19 6%	41 3%	37 3% g	2 *
Mean	0.04	0.05	0.01	-0.05	-0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.05	0.05	-0.04	-0.12	0.11	0.06	0.08	-0.01



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 7

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
People worry too much about getting food poisoning

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Weighted Base 2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Standard Deviation 1.24	1.23	1.25	1.30	1.24	1.23	1.26	1.23	1.26	1.20	1.25	1.14	1.31	1.31	1.22	1.25	1.24



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 7

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
People worry too much about getting food poisoning

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely agree (2)	269 13%	257 13%	12 13%	193 13% m	26 15% m	20 10%	18 8%	55 9%	121 12%	93 23%
Tend to agree (1)	565 27%	541 27%	24 25%	396 27%	59 34% l	45 23%	58 25%	140 22%	311 30%	115 29%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	413 20%	387 20%	26 28%	303 21%	24 14%	39 20%	40 17%	148 23%	196 19%	68 17%
Tend to disagree (-1)	566 27%	554 28% i	12 13%	401 27%	36 21%	69 36% jk	78 34% jk	181 28%	302 29%	83 21%
Definitely disagree (-2)	232 11%	215 11%	18 19% h	161 11%	26 15%	20 10%	24 10%	109 17%	89 9%	33 8%
Don't know	33 2%	31 2%	2 2%	22 2%	3 2%	1 *	13 6% jl	4 1%	19 2%	11 3%
All Agree	834 40%	798 40%	36 38%	589 40% m	86 49% lm	65 33%	76 33%	195 31%	432 42%	208 52%
All Disagree	798 38%	768 39%	30 32%	562 38%	63 36%	89 46% j	102 44%	290 46%	392 38%	116 29%
Net Agree	36 2%	30 2%	6 6% h	27 2% lm	23 13% j	-24 -12%	-26 -11%	-95 -15%	40 4%	91 23%
Mean	0.04	0.04	*	0.04 m	0.13 m	-0.12	-0.14	-0.24	0.07	0.38

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 7

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
People worry too much about getting food poisoning

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base 2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Standard Deviation 1.24	1.24	1.31	1.23	1.33	1.19	1.18	1.22	1.19	1.28

Weighted Base
Standard Deviation



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 8

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?

You only get food poisoning if you don't cook food properly

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely agree (2)	340 16%	159 17%	180 16%	30 20% DF	33 10%	52 14%	36 11%	48 14%	140 25% DEFG	46 8%	29 1%	25 17% I	26 20% I	105 22% IJ	285 15%	9 22%	29 36% O
Tend to agree (1)	450 22%	217 23%	233 20%	28 19%	79 25% E	57 15%	62 18%	78 23% E	144 26% EF	103 18%	36 17%	28 19%	28 21%	131 28% IJ	394 21%	9 25%	22 28%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	121 6%	43 5%	78 7%	11 8%	20 6%	30 8% F	10 3%	14 4%	35 6%	38 7%	16 8%	11 7%	4 3%	26 6%	114 6%	6 15% OQ	1 1%
Tend to disagree (-1)	597 29%	241 26%	356 31%	43 29%	96 30% H	111 29% H	115 34% H	106 31% H	126 23%	188 33% M	68 32% M	44 30% M	43 33% M	93 19%	572 30% Q	6 15%	11 14%
Definitely disagree (-2)	561 27%	258 28%	303 26%	34 23%	90 28% H	130 34% H	114 34% H	92 27% H	101 18%	194 34% LM	61 29%	37 25%	26 20%	116 24%	527 28%	9 22%	15 20%
Don't know	10 *	3 *	6 1%	1 1%	1 *	* -	- -	* -	7 1%	- -	1 1%	1 1%	2 2% I	4 1%	10 1%	- -	- -
All Agree	789 38%	376 41% B	413 36%	57 39%	113 35%	109 29%	97 29%	127 37% E	284 51% DEFG	149 26%	65 31%	53 36% I	54 42% I	236 50% IJK	680 36%	18 47%	51 65% O
All Disagree	1158 56%	499 54%	659 57%	77 53%	186 58% H	242 63% H	228 68% CDGH	198 58% H	227 41%	383 67% KLM	129 61% M	81 56% M	69 53%	209 44%	1099 58% PQ	14 38%	27 34%
Net Agree	-369 -18%	-124 -13%	-245 -21%	-20 -13%	-73 -23%	-133 -35%	-131 -39%	-72 -21%	58 10% C	-234 -41%	-64 -30%	-28 -19%	-15 -11%	28 6% L	-419 -22%	4 10%	24 31% P
Mean	-0.29	-0.24	-0.32	-0.16 EF	-0.41	-0.56	-0.62	-0.34 F	0.18 DE FG	-0.67	-0.46	-0.28 I	-0.11 I	0.04 IJ	-0.35	0.10	0.48 O



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 8

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
 You only get food poisoning if you don't cook food properly

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Weighted Base 2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Standard Deviation	1.50	1.45	1.49	1.39	1.43	1.39	1.45	1.49	1.32	1.42	1.47	1.48	1.53	1.45	1.50	1.57



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
 * small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 8

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
You only get food poisoning if you don't cook food properly

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely agree (2)	340 16%	241 17%	98 15%	47 17%	72 15%	186 13%	154 24% V	195 17% Z	111 16% Z	69 12%	277 16%	43 16%	20 26% a	60 19%	189 16%	170 16%	115 19%
Tend to agree (1)	450 22%	334 23% SU	116 18%	55 19%	81 17%	284 20%	166 26% V	232 20%	151 21%	137 25%	357 21%	70 26% a	22 30%	80 26%	257 21%	226 21%	132 21%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	121 6%	75 5%	46 7%	26 9% R	28 6%	83 6%	39 6%	70 6%	48 7%	26 5%	102 6%	11 4%	9 12% b	13 4%	63 5%	61 6%	36 6%
Tend to disagree (-1)	597 29%	401 28%	196 30%	81 29%	147 31%	447 31% W	149 23%	332 29%	207 29%	160 29%	516 30% c	72 27% c	9 12%	79 25%	346 29%	309 29%	170 28%
Definitely disagree (-2)	561 27%	371 26%	190 29%	72 25%	139 30%	431 30% W	130 20%	310 27%	188 27%	166 30%	478 27%	68 26%	15 20%	80 25%	344 29%	296 28%	158 26%
Don't know	10 *	8 1%	1 *	1 *	1 *	2 *	8 1% V	6 1%	3 *	1 *	9 *	- *	1 1%	2 1%	4 *	5 *	2 *
All Agree	789 38%	575 40% SU	214 33%	102 36%	152 33%	470 33%	320 50% V	427 37%	262 37%	205 37%	634 36%	113 43%	42 56% a	140 45% e	446 37%	396 37%	247 40%
All Disagree	1158 56%	772 54%	386 60% RT	153 54%	286 61% R	878 61% W	280 43%	642 56%	395 56%	326 58%	994 57% c	141 53% c	24 32%	159 51%	691 57%	605 57%	328 53%
Net Agree	-369 -18%	-197 -14%	-171 -26%	-51 -18%	-133 -29%	-409 -29%	40 6%	-215 -19%	-133 -19%	-120 -22%	-359 -21%	-28 -10%	18 24%	-19 -6%	-245 -20%	-209 -20%	-81 -13%
Mean	-0.29	-0.23 SU	-0.41	-0.27	-0.43	-0.46	0.10 V	-0.29	-0.30	-0.39	-0.32	-0.20	0.30 ab	-0.13	-0.33	-0.32	-0.20



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 8

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
You only get food poisoning if you don't cook food properly

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Weighted Base 2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Standard Deviation 1.47	1.48	1.45	1.45	1.45	1.42	1.51	1.48	1.46	1.44	1.47	1.48	1.49	1.51	1.47	1.47	1.50



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 8

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
You only get food poisoning if you don't cook food properly

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely agree (2)	340 16%	326 16%	14 15%	246 17%	27 16%	27 14%	29 13%	-	139 13%	201 50%
Tend to agree (1)	450 22%	432 22%	18 19%	319 22%	37 21%	41 21%	57 25%	-	282 27%	167 42%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	121 6%	114 6%	8 8%	88 6%	8 5%	14 7%	7 3%	-	121 12%	-
Tend to disagree (-1)	597 29%	570 29%	27 29%	421 29%	39 22%	75 39% jk	85 37% jk	238 37%	332 32%	27 7%
Definitely disagree (-2)	561 27%	534 27%	27 29%	396 27% im	63 36% jim	37 19%	47 20%	399 63%	155 15%	7 2%
Don't know	10 .	9 .	1 .	6 .	2 1%	-	6 2% jl	-	10 1%	-
All Agree	789 38%	757 38%	32 34%	566 38%	64 37%	68 35%	86 37%	-	421 41%	368 91%
All Disagree	1158 56%	1105 56%	53 57%	817 55%	102 58%	112 58%	132 57%	637 100%	487 47%	34 9%
Net Agree	-369 -18%	-347 -17%	-22 -23%	-251 -17%	-38 -21%	-44 -23%	-47 -20%	-637 -100%	-66 -6%	334 83%
Mean	-0.29	-0.28	-0.37	-0.27	-0.42	-0.28	-0.29	-1.63	-0.08	1.31

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 8

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
You only get food poisoning if you don't cook food properly

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base 2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Standard Deviation 1.47	1.47	1.45	1.48	1.53	1.36	1.38	0.48	1.32	0.92



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 9

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
 People only get food poisoning at home if they buy food that's already bad

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely agree (2)	185 9%	82 9%	103 9%	20 14% DE	17 5%	24 6%	23 7%	28 8%	72 13% DEF	23 4%	11 5%	8 5%	14 11% I	57 12% J	144 8%	8 20% O	21 26% Q
Tend to agree (1)	291 14%	140 15%	150 13%	25 17% EF	48 15% EF	25 7%	28 8%	42 12% E	123 22% DEFG	53 9%	29 14%	29 20% I	20 15%	80 17% I	244 13%	9 22%	25 32% Q
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	128 6%	50 5%	78 7%	8 5%	23 7% F	22 6%	9 3%	14 4%	53 10% FG	30 5%	21 10% I	9 6%	10 8%	29 6%	115 6%	4 10% Q	1 1%
Tend to disagree (-1)	605 29%	275 30%	330 29%	39 27%	95 30%	115 30%	97 29%	113 33% H	145 26%	173 30%	58 27%	44 30%	38 29%	144 30%	578 30% P	5 13%	14 18%
Definitely disagree (-2)	841 40%	364 40%	477 41%	52 36%	132 41% H	194 51% CDGH	178 53% CDGH	136 40% H	149 27%	284 50% KLM	92 43% M	55 38%	46 36%	153 32%	797 42% Q	12 32%	16 21%
Don't know	27 1%	10 1%	17 1%	3 2%	4 1%	1 .	.	7 2% F	12 2% EF	6 1%	1 .	1 1%	2 1%	12 3%	24 1%	1 2%	2 2%
All Agree	476 23%	223 24%	254 22%	44 30% EF	65 20% E	48 13%	51 15%	70 21% E	195 35% DEFG	77 13%	40 19%	36 25% I	34 26% I	137 29% J	388 20%	16 43% O	46 58% Q
All Disagree	1447 70%	639 69%	808 70%	92 63%	227 71% H	309 81% CDGH	276 82% CDGH	249 73% H	294 53%	457 80% JKLM	150 71%	99 68%	84 65%	297 63%	1375 72% PQ	17 45%	31 39%
Net Agree	-970 -47%	-416 -45%	-554 -48%	-47 -32%	-162 -51%	-260 -68%	-224 -67%	-179 -53%	-99 -18%	-381 -67%	-110 -52%	-63 -43%	-50 -39%	-160 -34%	-986 -52%	-1 -2%	15 19% P
Mean	-0.79	-0.77	-0.81	-0.56 EF	-0.88 EF	-1.13	-1.13	-0.86 EF	-0.33 DE FG	-1.14	-0.90 I	-0.76 I	-0.65 I	-0.55 J	-0.87	-0.15	0.25 O

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
 * small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 9

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
People only get food poisoning at home if they buy food that's already bad

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Standard Deviation	1.35	1.35	1.34	1.47	1.26	1.17	1.23	1.30	1.42	1.14	1.24	1.29	1.39	1.41	1.30	1.60	1.55

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 9

Page 42
13 Nov 2012

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
People only get food poisoning at home if they buy food that's already bad

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely agree (2)	185 9%	136 10%	49 8%	25 9%	30 7%	103 7%	83 13% V	101 9%	57 8%	54 10%	151 9%	21 8%	13 18% ab	32 10%	109 9%	98 9%	64 10%
Tend to agree (1)	291 14%	224 16% SU	67 10%	34 12%	46 10%	154 11%	137 21% V	159 14%	102 14%	63 11%	228 13%	41 16%	22 29% ab	54 17%	155 13%	136 13%	107 17% f
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	128 6%	98 7%	30 5%	16 6%	19 4%	70 5%	58 9% V	71 6%	35 5%	37 7%	90 5%	28 11% a	9 12% a	17 5%	70 6%	49 5%	36 6%
Tend to disagree (-1)	605 29%	409 29%	196 30%	92 33%	147 31%	441 31% W	164 25%	324 28%	212 30%	174 31%	525 30% c	74 28% c	6 8%	82 26%	360 30%	311 29%	168 27%
Definitely disagree (-2)	841 40%	542 38%	299 46% RT	110 39%	223 48% RT	651 45% W	190 29%	474 41%	294 42%	224 40%	723 42%	94 36%	24 31%	124 40%	498 41%	465 44%	234 38%
Don't know	27 1%	21 1%	6 1% U	6 2% U	2	14 1%	13 2%	16 1%	7 1%	5 1%	20 1%	5 2%	2 2%	6 2%	12 1%	7 1%	5 1%
All Agree	476 23%	360 25% SU	116 18%	58 21%	76 16%	256 18%	220 34% V	260 23%	159 22%	118 21%	379 22%	62 24%	35 46% ab	86 27%	264 22%	234 22%	171 28% f
All Disagree	1447 70%	951 66%	496 77% RT	202 72%	370 79% RST	1092 76% W	355 55%	798 70%	506 72%	398 71%	1249 72% bc	168 64% c	30 39%	206 65%	858 71%	776 73% g	402 65%
Net Agree	-970 -47%	-591 -41%	-379 -59%	-144 -51%	-293 -63%	-835 -58%	-135 -21%	-538 -47%	-347 -49%	-280 -50%	-870 -50%	-106 -40%	5 7%	-120 -38%	-594 -49%	-542 -51%	-231 -38%
Mean	-0.79	-0.71 SU	-0.98	-0.83 SU	-1.05	-0.98	-0.38 V	-0.81	-0.83	-0.81	-0.84	-0.69	-0.07 ab	-0.69	-0.82	-0.86	-0.66 f



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 9

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
People only get food poisoning at home if they buy food that's already bad

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Weighted Base 2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Standard Deviation 1.35	1.37	1.27	1.31	1.23	1.26	1.44	1.35	1.33	1.34	1.33	1.33	1.55	1.41	1.34	1.35	1.41



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 9

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
People only get food poisoning at home if they buy food that's already bad

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely agree (2)	185 9%	181 9%	4 4%	140 10% m	10 6%	12 6%	11 5%	-	39 4%	147 36%
Tend to agree (1)	291 14%	279 14%	12 12%	204 14%	30 17%	23 12%	35 15%	-	119 11%	172 43%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	128 6%	116 6%	11 12% h	90 6%	11 6%	15 8%	10 5%	-	128 12%	-
Tend to disagree (-1)	605 29%	582 29%	23 25%	425 29%	37 21%	79 41% jk	102 44% jk	122 19%	427 41%	56 14%
Definitely disagree (-2)	841 40%	801 40%	40 43%	599 41% m	84 48% lm	64 33%	68 30%	515 81%	299 29%	28 7%
Don't know	27 1%	24 1%	3 3%	19 1%	3 2%	-	5 2% i	-	27 3%	-
All Agree	476 23%	461 23%	16 17%	344 23%	40 23%	35 18%	46 20%	-	158 15%	319 79%
All Disagree	1447 70%	1383 70%	63 68%	1023 69%	121 69%	144 74%	170 74%	637 100%	726 70%	84 21%
Net Agree	-970 -47%	-923 -46%	-48 -51%	-679 -46%	-81 -46%	-108 -56%	-124 -54%	-637 -100%	-568 -55%	235 58%
Mean	-0.79	-0.79	-0.93	-0.78	-0.90	-0.83	-0.80	-1.81	-0.82	0.88



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 9

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?
People only get food poisoning at home if they buy food that's already bad

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base 2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Standard Deviation 1.35	1.35	1.22	1.36	1.34	1.19	1.17	0.39	1.10	1.24

Weighted Base
Standard Deviation



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 10

1.1 Here are some statements people have made about food. Can you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with them?

	1.1: Summary table								
	The experts contradict each other over what foods are good or bad for you	What you eat makes a big difference to how healthy you are	When preparing food for myself I could be more careful about hygiene	For me, most of the time food should be as quick as possible to prepare	I am unlikely to get food poisoning from food prepared in my own home	It's just bad luck if you get food poisoning	People worry too much about getting food poisoning	You only get food poisoning if you don't cook food properly	People only get food poisoning at home if they buy food that's already bad
Unweighted Base	2078	2078	2078	2078	2078	2078	2078	2078	2078
Weighted Base	2078	2078	2078	2078	2078	2078	2078	2078	2078
Definitely agree (2)	888 43%	1500 72%	289 14%	259 12%	688 33%	154 7%	269 13%	340 16%	185 9%
Tend to agree (1)	736 35%	471 23%	616 30%	476 23%	625 30%	396 19%	565 27%	450 22%	291 14%
Neither agree nor disagree (0)	221 11%	47 2%	172 8%	310 15%	139 7%	214 10%	413 20%	121 6%	128 6%
Tend to disagree (-1)	166 8%	36 2%	503 24%	582 28%	384 19%	646 31%	566 27%	597 29%	605 29%
Definitely disagree (-2)	36 2%	16 1%	496 24%	447 21%	231 11%	662 32%	232 11%	561 27%	841 40%
Don't know	32 2%	8 .	2 .	4 .	11 1%	7 .	33 2%	10 .	27 1%
All Agree	1624 78%	1971 95%	905 44%	735 35%	1313 63%	549 26%	834 40%	789 38%	476 23%
All Disagree	202 10%	51 2%	999 48%	1029 50%	615 30%	1308 63%	798 38%	1158 56%	1447 70%
Net Agree	1422 68%	1920 92%	-94 -5%	-293 -14%	698 34%	-759 -37%	36 2%	-369 -18%	-970 -47%
Mean	1.11	1.64	-0.15	-0.23	0.56	-0.61	0.04	-0.29	-0.79
Standard Deviation	1.01	0.69	1.43	1.35	1.40	1.31	1.24	1.47	1.35



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 11

1.2 Are you the person who usually does most of the cooking in this household, or do you just do some of the cooking, or do you not usually do any cooking at all?

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Unweighted Base	2078																
Weighted Base	2078																
Does most or all of the cooking	1314 63%	402 44%	912 79% A	51 35%	189 59% C	253 66% C	215 64% C	215 70% CD	389	342 60%	145 68%	91 62%	80 62%	292 62%	1215 64% Q	28 72%	39 50%
Does some cooking	596 29%	380 41% B	217 19%	80 55% DEFG H	112 35% GH	106 28% H	100 30% H	88 26%	111 20%	175 31%	61 29%	48 33%	42 33%	128 27%	537 28%	8 20%	29 36%
Does no cooking	168 8%	140 75% B	28 2%	15 10%	18 6%	22 6%	21 6%	36 11% E	54 10%	52 9% J	6 3%	7 4%	7 6%	55 12% JK	150 8%	3 7%	11 14%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 11

1.2 Are you the person who usually does most of the cooking in this household, or do you just do some of the cooking, or do you not usually do any cooking at all?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Does most or all of the cooking	1314 63%	921 64%	393 61%	162 58%	299 64% S	862 60%	452 70% V	1145 100% YZ	169 24% Z	-	1075 62%	187 71% a	52 69%	189 60%	765 64%	660 62%	403 66%
Does some cooking	596 29%	390 27%	206 32% U	91 32%	133 29%	460 32% W	136 21%	-	519 73% X	409 74% X	523 30% b	57 21%	17 23%	103 33%	351 29%	318 30%	175 28%
Does no cooking	168 8%	119 8%	48 7%	28 10%	34 7%	111 8%	57 9%	-	20 3% X	148 26% XY	141 8%	21 8%	6 8%	22 7%	88 7%	89 8%	36 6%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 11

1.2 Are you the person who usually does most of the cooking in this household, or do you just do some of the cooking, or do you not usually do any cooking at all?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Does most or all of the cooking	1314 63%	1264 64%	50 54%	935 63%	110 63%	123 63%	144 62%	405 64%	658 63%	251 62%
Does some cooking	596 29%	563 28%	34 36%	424 29%	47 27%	60 31%	70 30%	199 31%	291 28%	106 26%
Does no cooking	168 8%	158 8%	10 10%	118 8%	18 11%	11 6%	17 7%	33 5%	89 9%	45 11%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 12

1.3 And do you usually do most of the food shopping, or some of it, or do you not usually do any of the food shopping?

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65	
Weighted Base	2078	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*	
Most or all of the food shopping	1352 65%	901 78% A	57 39%	211 66% C	247 65% C	220 66% C	219 65% C	396 71% C	347 61%	145 69%	100 69%	73 56%	315 66%	1248 66%	21 55%	56 72%	
Some of the food shopping	568 27%	365 40% B	203 18%	54 37% H	91 29% H	110 29% H	102 30% H	93 28%	118 21%	168 29%	53 25%	37 25%	45 35%	119 25%	513 27%	15 40%	22 28%
No food shopping	158 8%	105 11% B	53 5%	36 25% DEFGH	17 5%	24 6%	14 4%	26 8%	40 7%	54 10%	13 6%	9 6%	11 9%	41 9%	142 7%	2 5%	* *

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 12

1.3 And do you usually do most of the food shopping, or some of it, or do you not usually do any of the food shopping?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Most or all of the food shopping	1352 65%	944 66%	408 63%	175 62%	301 65%	896 63%	456 71% V	1145 100% YZ	207 29% Z	-	1111 64%	186 70%	56 73%	193 61%	809 67%	696 65%	408 66%
Some of the food shopping	568 27%	376 26%	192 30%	84 30%	131 28%	423 30% W	145 22%	-	483 68% X	417 75% XY	498 29% b	57 22%	13 18%	95 30%	317 26%	299 28%	161 26%
No food shopping	158 8%	111 8%	47 7%	24 8%	34 7%	113 8%	45 7%	-	18 2% X	140 25% XY	130 7%	21 8%	7 9%	26 8%	77 6%	71 7%	45 7%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 12

1.3 And do you usually do most of the food shopping, or some of it, or do you not usually do any of the food shopping?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Most or all of the food shopping	1352 65%	1299 65%	54 57%	966 65%	104 59%	136 70%	147 64%	415 65%	667 64%	270 67%
Some of the food shopping	568 27%	547 28%	21 22%	398 27%	59 33%	49 25%	57 25%	186 29%	281 27%	101 25%
No food shopping	158 8%	139 7%	19 20% h	113 8%	13 7%	9 5%	27 12% jl	37 6%	90 9%	31 8%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 13

1.4 How often does anyone in your household cook any kind of raw chicken, including chicken fillets or things like chicken kiev? Would it be

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Most days (5)	307 15%	173 15%	27 18% GH	83 26% FGH	75 20% GH	55 17% GH	27 8%	39 7%	103 18%	27 13%	21 14%	18 14%	68 14%	263 14%	10 27% O	20 26% O
At least once a week (4)	1271 61%	701 61%	93 64%	191 60%	244 64% H	216 64% H	230 68% H	297 54%	351 62%	124 59%	87 60%	87 67%	283 60%	1166 61%	21 55%	47 60%
At least once a fortnight (3)	235 11%	136 12%	21 15% D	19 6%	31 8%	34 10%	42 12% D	88 16% DEF	65 11%	34 16%	22 15%	10 8%	51 11%	227 12%	2 5%	3 3%
At least once a month (2)	135 6%	80 7%	5 3%	14 4%	16 4%	20 6%	23 7%	56 10% CDE	26 5%	12 6%	6 4%	9 7%	33 7%	127 7%	2 5%	4 5%
Less than once a month (1)	63 3%	33 3%	· ·	1 ·	13 3% D	11 3% D	10 3% D	29 5% CD	14 3%	10 4%	1 1%	4 3%	16 3%	59 3%	1 2%	2 2%
Never (0)	66 3%	34 3%	- -	11 4% EF	2 ·	- -	7 2% F	45 8% CDEFG	10 2%	6 3%	9 6% I	1 1%	24 5% I	60 3%	2 5%	3 4%
Mean	3.69	3.68	3.97 GH	3.96 GH	3.90 GH	3.85 GH	3.65 H	3.22	3.83 JM	3.61	3.66	3.79	3.59	3.67	3.85	3.91
Standard Deviation	1.10	1.12	0.69	1.05	0.91	0.87	0.98	1.35	0.97	1.09	1.16	0.94	1.22	1.10	1.25	1.14



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 13

1.4 How often does anyone in your household cook any kind of raw chicken, including chicken fillets or things like chicken kiev? Would it be

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Most days (5)	307 15%	153 11%	154 24% R	62 22% R	113 24% R	254 18% W	54 8%	155 14%	116 16%	83 15%	307 18% bc	-	-	58 19%	176 15%	176 17%	76 12%
At least once a week (4)	1271 61%	854 60%	417 64%	191 68% R	300 64%	918 64% W	353 55%	679 59%	454 64%	361 65%	1271 73% bc	-	-	171 54%	758 63% d	636 60%	405 66% f
At least once a fortnight (3)	235 11%	192 13% STU	43 7%	18 6%	29 6%	135 9%	100 15% V	141 12%	68 10%	65 12%	92 5%	143 54% ac	-	35 11%	134 11%	117 11%	62 10%
At least once a month (2)	135 6%	117 8% STU	18 3%	4 1%	16 4%	72 5%	63 10% V	86 8%	35 5%	26 5%	34 2%	101 38% ac	-	29 9% e	66 5%	66 6%	40 6%
Less than once a month (1)	63 3%	51 4% U	12 2%	5 2%	6 1%	34 2%	29 4% V	43 4%	16 2%	10 2%	14 1%	15 6% a	34 45% ab	9 3%	32 3%	38 4%	16 3%
Never (0)	66 3%	63 4% STU	3	2 1%	2	20 1%	46 7% V	41 4%	18 3%	13 2%	19 1%	5 2%	42 55% ab	11 4%	37 3%	33 3%	15 2%
Mean	3.69	3.53	4.04 R	4.05 R	4.06 R	3.86 W	3.31	3.60	3.80 X	3.79 X	4.02 bc	2.45 c	0.45	3.66	3.72	3.70	3.72
Standard Deviation	1.10	1.17	0.81	0.78	0.79	0.94	1.31	1.15	1.02	0.97	0.75	0.68	0.50	1.18	1.06	1.12	1.01



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 13

1.4 How often does anyone in your household cook any kind of raw chicken, including chicken fillets or things like chicken kiev? Would it be

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Most days (5)	307 15%	290 15%	17 18%	204 14%	39 22% j	36 19%	38 16%	116 18%	138 13%	53 13%
At least once a week (4)	1271 61%	1216 61%	55 59%	918 62% i	96 55%	100 51%	157 68% kl	402 63%	640 62%	230 57%
At least once a fortnight (3)	235 11%	227 11%	8 9%	167 11%	17 10%	30 15%	22 10%	77 12%	131 13%	27 7%
At least once a month (2)	135 6%	132 7%	3 3%	100 7% m	10 5%	11 6% m	4 2%	23 4%	71 7%	41 10%
Less than once a month (1)	63 3%	60 3%	4 4%	40 3%	10 6% j	8 4%	6 3%	12 2%	30 3%	21 5%
Never (0)	66 3%	59 3%	7 7%	47 3%	4 2%	10 5%	4 2%	8 1%	28 3%	29 7%
Mean	3.69	3.69	3.63	3.68	3.74	3.60	3.88 jl	3.89	3.67	3.41
Standard Deviation	1.10	1.09	1.33	1.09	1.17	1.26	0.92	0.89	1.06	1.38

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 14

1.6 How often does anyone in your household cook any kind of raw beef, including beefburgers or mince? Would it be

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Most days (5)	89 4%	45 5%	44 4%	10 7% H	19 6% H	24 6% H	14 4% H	13 4%	9 2%	22 4%	9 4%	8 5%	9 7%	22 5%	88 5%	1 2%	-
At least once a week (4)	1115 54%	478 52%	637 55%	81 55% H	199 62% H	222 58% H	189 56% H	186 55% H	238 43%	303 53%	119 56%	82 57%	79 61%	243 51%	1044 55% Q	19 50%	24 30%
At least once a fortnight (3)	342 16%	139 15%	204 18%	26 17%	33 10%	62 16%	49 15%	74 22% DF	99 18% D	109 19%	35 17%	21 15%	20 16%	67 14%	316 17%	2 5%	9 11%
At least once a month (2)	251 12%	128 14%	123 11%	17 12%	24 8%	31 8%	40 12%	44 13% D	95 17% DE	90 16% JLM	19 9%	15 10%	9 7%	46 10%	233 12%	5 13%	9 12%
Less than once a month (1)	132 6%	57 6%	75 6%	9 6%	19 6%	24 6%	23 7%	13 4%	42 8% G	28 5%	15 7%	8 6%	6 5%	35 7%	119 6%	4 10%	3 4%
Never (0)	148 7%	75 8%	73 6%	3 2%	25 8% G	18 5%	21 6%	10 3%	71 13% CEFG	18 3%	15 7% I	11 8% I	6 5%	60 13% IL	102 5%	8 20% O	34 44% OP
Mean	3.16	3.11	3.20	3.38 H	3.32 H	3.36 H	3.20 H	3.34 H	2.75	3.26 M	3.19	3.23	3.45 M	2.98	3.23 PQ	2.63 Q	1.80
Standard Deviation	1.30	1.35	1.27	1.13	1.34	1.21	1.28	1.06	1.44	1.12	1.31	1.33	1.17	1.50	1.24	1.69	1.76



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 14

1.6 How often does anyone in your household cook any kind of raw beef, including beefburgers or mince? Would it be

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Most days (5)	89 4%	51 4%	38 6% R	12 4%	30 6% R	79 6% W	10 2%	44 4%	27 4%	28 5%	89 5% b	-	-	15 5%	48 4%	45 4%	30 5%
At least once a week (4)	1115 54%	724 51%	391 60% R	171 60% R	291 62% R	826 58% W	289 45%	602 53%	388 55%	317 57%	1115 64% bc	-	-	150 48%	669 56% d	578 54%	339 55%
At least once a fortnight (3)	342 16%	253 18%	90 14%	41 14%	62 13%	228 16%	115 18%	191 17%	118 17%	83 15%	236 14% c	106 40% ac	-	51 16%	200 17%	194 18%	91 15%
At least once a month (2)	251 12%	190 13% SU	61 9%	24 9%	40 9%	143 10%	109 17% V	137 12%	87 12%	77 14%	154 9% c	98 37% ac	-	49 16%	132 11%	117 11%	73 12%
Less than once a month (1)	132 6%	103 7% SU	29 4% U	15 5%	14 3%	87 6%	45 7%	78 7%	45 6%	27 5%	67 4%	33 13% a	32 42% ab	21 7%	80 7%	65 6%	43 7%
Never (0)	148 7%	110 8%	38 6%	19 7%	29 6%	70 5%	78 12% V	92 8% Z	43 6%	26 5%	78 4%	26 10% a	44 58% ab	29 9%	76 6%	68 6%	38 6%
Mean	3.16	3.07	3.36 R	3.29 R	3.42 R	3.32 W	2.81	3.10	3.19	3.30 X	3.44 bc	2.08 c	0.42	3.01	3.20 d	3.20	3.20
Standard Deviation	1.30	1.33	1.23	1.27	1.21	1.22	1.42	1.34	1.26	1.20	1.14	0.96	0.50	1.39	1.27	1.26	1.29



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 14

1.6 How often does anyone in your household cook any kind of raw beef, including beefburgers or mince? Would it be

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Most days (5)	89 4%	84 4%	5 5%	55 4%	10 6%	16 8% j	19 8% j	30 5%	48 5%	11 3%
At least once a week (4)	1115 54%	1066 54%	49 53%	777 53%	97 55%	116 60%	163 70% jkl	351 55%	573 55%	191 47%
At least once a fortnight (3)	342 16%	325 16%	17 18%	250 17% m	25 14%	30 16%	24 10%	115 18%	171 16%	57 14%
At least once a month (2)	251 12%	248 13% i	3 3%	186 13% m	20 11%	16 8%	13 6%	80 13%	114 11%	57 14%
Less than once a month (1)	132 6%	125 6%	7 8%	91 6%	17 10% m	10 5%	7 3%	41 6%	64 6%	27 7%
Never (0)	148 7%	137 7%	11 12%	116 8% lm	6 4%	6 3%	5 2%	20 3%	68 7%	60 15%
Mean	3.16	3.16	3.08	3.11	3.26	3.48 j	3.69 jkl	3.30	3.21	2.81
Standard Deviation	1.30	1.29	1.49	1.32	1.25	1.12	0.97	1.15	1.28	1.51

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 15

2.1 Have you personally ever had food poisoning?

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Yes more than once	311 15%	170 18% B	141 12%	22 15%	54 17% H	71 19% H	55 16% H	55 16% H	55 10%	120 21% JM	25 12%	20 14%	17 13%	59 12%	275 14%	5 13%	22 28% O
Yes once	445 21%	192 21%	253 22%	26 18%	65 20%	92 24%	84 25% H	78 23%	100 18%	141 25% KM	55 26% KM	17 11%	28 21%	83 17%	411 22%	8 20%	17 22%
I think so but I'm not sure it was food poisoning	162 8%	86 9% B	75 7%	11 8%	35 11%	29 8%	24 7%	27 8%	36 6%	54 10%	23 11% M	12 8%	12 9%	26 6%	145 8%	6 15%	3 4%
No	1148 55%	467 51%	681 59% A	85 58%	165 52%	190 50%	172 51%	176 52%	359 65% DEFG	248 44%	109 51%	97 67% U	73 56% I	305 64% U	1060 56%	19 50%	36 46%
DK	13 1%	6 1%	7 1%	2 2%	1 *	-	1 *	4 1%	4 1%	6 1%	-	-	-	2 *	12 1%	1 2%	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 15

2.1 Have you personally ever had food poisoning?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Yes more than once	311 15%	211 15%	100 15%	39 14%	70 15%	235 16% W	76 12%	162 14%	125 18%	81 15%	270 16%	35 13%	6 8%	48 15%	190 16%	174 16%	79 13%
Yes once	445 21%	312 22%	133 21%	47 17%	101 22%	330 23% W	115 18%	248 22%	144 20%	116 21%	373 21%	55 21%	17 22%	66 21%	269 22%	234 22%	137 22%
I think so but I'm not sure it was food poisoning	162 8%	110 8%	51 8%	30 11%	33 7%	122 9%	40 6%	74 6%	66 9%	64 11% X	131 8%	27 10%	4 5%	21 7%	96 8%	92 9%	38 6%
No	1148 55%	789 55%	359 55%	164 58%	258 55%	741 52%	407 63% V	652 57%	371 52%	292 52%	954 55%	144 55%	50 65%	180 57%	641 53%	559 52%	356 58%
DK	13 1%	8 1%	4 1%	2 1%	4 1%	5 *	8 1% V	8 1%	1 *	4 1%	9 1%	4 1%	- -	- -	7 1%	7 1%	3 *



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 15

2.1 Have you personally ever had food poisoning?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Yes more than once	311 15%	294 15%	17 18%	226 15% m	25 14%	27 14% m	18 8%	138 22%	139 13%	34 8%
Yes once	445 21%	431 22%	15 16%	313 21%	38 21%	49 25%	50 22%	158 25%	218 21%	70 17%
I think so but I'm not sure it was food poisoning	162 8%	147 7%	14 15% h	114 8%	15 9%	16 8%	14 6%	60 9%	76 7%	26 7%
No	1148 55%	1100 55%	48 51%	815 55%	96 54%	101 52%	148 64% j	279 44%	598 58%	270 67%
DK	13 1%	13 1%	-	8 1%	2 1%	1	2 1%	3 *	8 1%	2 1%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 16

2.2 If you were buying raw food to cook at home, are some types of food more likely to give you food poisoning than others?

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Meat																	
Chicken	1243 60%	515 56%	728 63% A	90 62%	166 52%	223 59%	223 66% DH	219 65% D	322 58%	381 67% M	127 60%	86 59%	76 59%	252 53%	1187 62% PQ	11 30%	18 23%
Turkey	158 8%	71 8%	86 7%	9 6%	29 9%	48 13% FGH	20 6%	21 6%	31 6%	52 9% LM	18 9% L	11 7%	2 2%	24 5%	145 8%	2 5%	7 8%
Pork/bacon/ham	393 19%	181 20%	212 18%	22 15%	47 15%	76 20%	86 26% DH	73 22%	90 16%	109 19%	43 20%	18 12%	23 17%	91 19%	370 19%	4 10%	12 15%
Beef	239 11%	112 12%	127 11%	29 20% GH	44 14% GH	52 14% GH	39 12%	27 8%	46 8%	65 11%	25 12%	17 12%	15 11%	66 14%	213 11%	8 20%	13 16%
Lamb	96 5%	46 5%	50 4%	4 3%	20 6%	18 5%	18 5%	10 3%	25 5%	19 3%	5 2%	4 3%	2 1%	28 6%	87 5%	1 2%	4 5%
Duck	67 3%	39 4%	28 2%	6 4%	9 3%	15 4%	11 3%	11 3%	14 3%	11 2%	4 2%	3 2%	•	8 2%	56 3%	3 7%	3 4%
Any other specific meat	98 5%	38 4%	61 5%	10 7%	14 4%	22 6%	14 4%	15 4%	24 4%	20 3%	9 4%	10 7%	11 9% IM	15 3%	89 5%	3 7%	4 5%
Meat in general	468 23%	183 20%	285 25% A	42 29% H	88 28% GH	83 22%	92 27% GH	66 20%	95 17%	130 23%	46 22%	36 25%	27 21%	99 21%	434 23%	12 32%	16 21%
Seafood/Shellfish																	
Prawns/shrimps	219 11%	74 8%	145 13% A	8 5%	27 8%	55 14% CDH	50 15% CDH	42 12% H	38 7%	74 13% M	20 9%	14 10%	10 8%	30 6%	206 11%	4 10%	4 5%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 16

2.2 If you were buying raw food to cook at home, are some types of food more likely to give you food poisoning than others?

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Mussels	135 7%	58 6%	78 7%	8 5%	12 4%	32 8% D	25 8%	24 7%	34 6%	49 9% JLM	7 4%	7 5%	2 2%	21 4%	130 7%	3 7%	2 2%
Oysters	90 4%	42 5%	49 4%	5 3%	9 3%	22 6% H	20 6% H	20 6% H	14 3%	27 5%	6 3%	3 2%	1 1%	10 2%	84 4%	2 5%	3 4%
Any other specific seafood/ shellfish	93 4%	39 4%	53 5%	3 2%	12 4%	21 6%	20 6%	20 6%	17 3%	23 4%	4 2%	7 5%	6 5%	13 3%	87 5%	1 2%	4 5%
Seafood/shellfish in general	405 19%	168 18%	236 20%	15 10%	74 23% CFH	102 27% CFH	51 15%	76 22% CFH	85 15%	129 23% M	47 22% M	20 14%	23 18%	59 12%	386 20% Q	3 7%	7 8%
Fish																	
Any specific kind of fish	65 3%	24 3%	41 4%	5 3%	5 2%	17 4%	8 2%	11 3%	19 3%	15 3%	3 1%	8 5%	3 3%	10 2%	59 3%	1 2%	4 5%
Fish in general	442 21%	171 19%	271 23% A	39 26%	66 21%	86 23%	74 22%	70 21%	106 19%	111 19%	39 18%	29 20%	36 28%	101 21%	407 21%	8 20%	9 12%
Dairy																	
Milk	143 7%	71 8%	72 6%	16 11% GH	36 11% GH	33 9% GH	28 8% H	14 4%	16 3%	29 5%	7 3%	5 3%	6 5%	33 7%	121 6%	5 13%	6 8%
Cheese	132 6%	54 6%	78 7%	8 5%	28 9% H	35 9% GH	29 9% H	16 5%	16 3%	33 6%	9 4%	8 5%	4 3%	27 6%	116 6%	6 15% O	3 4%
Butter	48 2%	28 3%	21 2%	4 3%	14 4% GH	9 2%	12 4% H	4 1%	5 1%	9 2%	4 2%	2 1%	2 1%	13 3%	44 2%	1 2%	1 1%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 16

2.2 If you were buying raw food to cook at home, are some types of food more likely to give you food poisoning than others?

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Other dairy	297 14%	100 11%	196 17% A	20 14%	57 18% H	71 19% H	59 18% H	47 14% H	42 8%	86 15%	35 16%	23 16%	11 8%	59 12%	279 15%	4 10%	6 8%
Other																	
Rice	169 8%	59 6%	110 10% A	13 9%	36 11% H	41 11% H	33 10% H	23 7%	22 4%	57 10% M	15 7%	7 4%	13 10%	27 6%	161 8%	2 5%	3 4%
Vegetables and fruit	119 6%	42 5%	77 7%	8 5%	22 7%	28 7%	16 5%	22 7%	23 4%	33 6%	8 4%	3 2%	5 4%	27 6%	102 5%	9 22% OQ	5 7%
Any other food mentioned	213 10%	78 8%	135 12% A	21 15% H	32 10% H	53 14% H	34 10% H	40 12% H	32 6%	60 10%	23 11%	19 13%	13 10%	46 10%	196 10%	6 15%	4 5%
Yes, but don't know which foods	46 2%	20 2%	26 2%	3 2%	8 3%	5 1%	11 3%	4 1%	16 3%	7 1%	4 2%	4 3%	4 3%	13 3%	40 2%	2 5%	1 1%
No	153 7%	80 9%	72 6%	7 5%	31 10%	27 7%	23 7%	23 7%	42 8%	34 6%	16 8%	10 7%	5 4%	54 11% IL	119 6%	5 13%	17 22% O
Don't know	72 3%	35 4%	37 3%	5 4%	8 2%	14 4%	5 2%	11 3%	29 5% F	9 2%	6 3%	4 3%	8 6% I	27 6% I	65 3%	-	5 6%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 16

2.2 If you were buying raw food to cook at home, are some types of food more likely to give you food poisoning than others?

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Meat																	
Chicken	1243 60%	866 61%	377 58%	164 58%	275 59%	856 60%	387 60%	677 59%	430 61%	348 62%	1059 61% b	142 54%	42 56%	188 60%	745 62%	645 61%	364 59%
Turkey	158 8%	95 7%	62 10% R	31 11% R	49 11% R	119 8%	38 6%	102 9%	42 6%	41 7%	135 8%	18 7%	4 5%	38 12% e	81 7%	84 8%	44 7%
Pork/bacon/ham	393 19%	269 19%	124 19%	45 16%	96 21%	283 20%	110 17%	218 19%	137 19%	109 20%	328 19%	53 20%	13 17%	66 21%	228 19%	219 21% g	94 15%
Beef	239 11%	152 11%	87 13%	40 14%	65 14%	178 12%	60 9%	130 11%	80 11%	69 12%	203 12%	20 8%	16 21% ab	48 15%	131 11%	112 11%	81 13%
Lamb	96 5%	56 4%	39 6%	20 7%	24 5%	65 5%	30 5%	52 5%	35 5%	28 5%	82 5%	10 4%	4 5%	20 6%	45 4%	48 4%	28 5%
Duck	67 3%	41 3%	26 4%	9 3%	19 4%	52 4%	15 2%	40 3%	20 3%	18 3%	59 3%	6 2%	2 3%	23 7% e	34 3%	40 4%	19 3%
Any other specific meat	98 5%	62 4%	36 6%	14 5%	25 5%	72 5%	26 4%	53 5%	40 6%	28 5%	85 5%	9 3%	4 5%	18 6%	61 5%	46 4%	33 5%
Meat in general	468 23%	311 22%	157 24%	73 26%	106 23%	355 25% W	113 17%	266 23%	158 22%	120 22%	386 22%	68 26%	15 19%	65 21%	276 23%	256 24%	135 22%
Seafood/Shellfish																	
Prawns/shrimps	219 11%	137 10%	83 13%	35 13%	61 13%	172 12% W	47 7%	138 12%	67 9%	55 10%	191 11%	18 7%	10 13%	37 12%	131 11%	131 12% g	48 8%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 16

2.2 If you were buying raw food to cook at home, are some types of food more likely to give you food poisoning than others?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Mussels	135 7%	87 6%	49 8%	14 5%	37 8%	98 7%	38 6%	82 7%	46 7%	38 7%	114 7%	18 7%	4 5%	31 10%	76 6%	76 7%	32 5%
Oysters	90 4%	55 4%	36 6%	12 4%	25 5%	72 5% W	18 3%	52 5%	32 4%	29 5%	78 4%	9 4%	3 4%	26 8% e	41 3%	50 5%	18 3%
Any other specific seafood/ shellfish	93 4%	58 4%	35 5%	11 4%	25 5%	75 5% W	18 3%	52 5%	37 5%	21 4%	83 5%	7 3%	3 4%	20 6%	54 4%	52 5%	26 4%
Seafood/shellfish in general	405 19%	263 18%	142 22%	72 25% R	95 20%	295 21%	109 17%	247 22%	126 18%	104 19%	341 20%	52 20%	12 16%	53 17%	242 20%	224 21%	105 17%
Fish																	
Any specific kind of fish	65 3%	46 3%	19 3%	11 4%	12 3%	44 3%	21 3%	43 4%	18 3%	16 3%	56 3%	7 3%	2 3%	17 5%	37 3%	29 3%	20 3%
Fish in general	442 21%	298 21%	144 22%	51 18%	112 24%	320 22%	122 19%	249 22%	158 22%	112 20%	385 22%	45 17%	12 15%	76 24%	255 21%	225 21%	132 22%
Dairy																	
Milk	143 7%	81 6%	63 10% R	25 9%	46 10% R	122 9% W	21 3%	66 6%	64 9% X	42 7%	122 7%	15 6%	7 9%	32 10% e	73 6%	73 7%	45 7%
Cheese	132 6%	70 5%	62 10% R	26 9% R	47 10% R	112 8% W	21 3%	65 6%	61 9% XZ	31 6%	107 6%	17 7%	8 11%	29 9%	69 6%	77 7%	32 5%
Butter	48 2%	26 2%	22 3%	9 3%	19 4% R	43 3% W	6 1%	20 2%	24 3% Z	10 2%	38 2%	9 4%	1 1%	10 3%	24 2%	26 2%	12 2%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 16

2.2 If you were buying raw food to cook at home, are some types of food more likely to give you food poisoning than others?

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Other dairy	297 14%	180 13%	117 18% R	41 15%	96 20% RST	236 16% W	61 9%	178 16% Z	97 14% Z	56 10%	256 15%	33 13%	8 10%	46 15%	180 15%	139 13%	98 16%
Other																	
Rice	169 8%	113 8%	56 9%	27 10%	40 9%	137 10% W	33 5%	99 9%	57 8%	34 6%	147 8%	17 7%	5 7%	24 8%	104 9%	90 8%	44 7%
Vegetables and fruit	119 6%	70 5%	49 8% R	16 6%	40 9% R	89 6%	30 5%	64 6%	44 6%	32 6%	99 6%	15 6%	5 6%	15 5%	76 6%	52 5%	46 7%
Any other food mentioned	213 10%	131 9%	82 13% R	36 13%	58 12%	165 12% W	48 7%	121 11%	77 11%	55 10%	180 10%	25 9%	8 11%	40 13%	135 11%	120 11%	66 11%
Yes, but don't know which foods	46 2%	31 2%	15 2%	3 1%	14 3%	25 2%	21 3%	29 3%	10 1%	11 2%	34 2%	8 3%	4 5%	6 2%	25 2%	18 2%	18 3%
No	153 7%	98 7%	55 8%	27 10%	38 8%	109 8%	44 7%	79 7%	52 7%	41 7%	129 7%	18 7%	5 7%	21 7%	87 7%	77 7%	47 8%
Don't know	72 3%	52 4%	20 3%	7 2%	15 3%	38 3%	34 5% V	47 4% Y	14 2%	19 3%	53 3%	15 6% a	4 5%	12 4%	31 3%	31 3%	18 3%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 16

2.2 If you were buying raw food to cook at home, are some types of food more likely to give you food poisoning than others?

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Meat										
Chicken	1243 60%	1198 60% i	45 48%	861 58%	116 66%	129 67% j	172 74% j	409 64%	633 61%	201 50%
Turkey	158 8%	151 8%	6 7%	115 8% lm	17 10% lm	6 3%	8 3%	64 10%	75 7%	19 5%
Pork/bacon/ham	393 19%	378 19%	15 16%	282 19%	35 20%	29 15%	45 19%	139 22%	179 17%	75 19%
Beef	239 11%	228 12%	10 11%	163 11%	25 14%	23 12%	38 16% j	72 11%	112 11%	55 14%
Lamb	96 5%	88 4%	8 8%	68 5% lm	13 7% lm	2 1%	4 2%	27 4%	45 4%	24 6%
Duck	67 3%	65 3%	2 2%	53 4% m	3 2%	2 1%	2 1%	22 3%	31 3%	14 4%
Any other specific meat	98 5%	95 5%	3 3%	67 5%	10 5%	16 8% jm	6 3%	35 6%	41 4%	22 5%
Meat in general	468 23%	447 23%	21 23%	342 23% m	39 22% m	37 19% m	27 12%	192 30%	203 20%	73 18%
Seafood/Shellfish										
Prawns/shrimps	219 11%	211 11%	9 9%	146 10%	31 18% jm	22 12%	17 7%	76 12%	106 10%	38 9%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 16

2.2 If you were buying raw food to cook at home, are some types of food more likely to give you food poisoning than others?

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Mussels	135 7%	133 7%	2 2%	100 7%	10 6%	10 5%	10 4%	49 8%	63 6%	23 6%
Oysters	90 4%	89 4%	2 2%	68 5%	6 4%	4 2%	6 2%	35 5%	42 4%	14 3%
Any other specific seafood/ shellfish	93 4%	91 5%	2 2%	65 4%	7 4%	12 6%	10 4%	45 7%	36 3%	12 3%
Seafood/shellfish in general	405 19%	389 20%	16 17%	294 20% m	34 19% m	36 19% m	22 9%	151 24%	198 19%	56 14%
Fish										
Any specific kind of fish	65 3%	58 3%	7 8% h	48 3%	5 3%	3 2%	7 3%	21 3%	27 3%	17 4%
Fish in general	442 21%	431 22%	11 12%	332 22% km	26 15%	32 17%	29 13%	158 25%	205 20%	79 20%
Dairy										
Milk	143 7%	141 7%	3 3%	108 7% m	10 6%	7 4%	9 4%	59 9%	52 5%	33 8%
Cheese	132 6%	126 6%	6 6%	100 7%	9 5%	6 3%	10 4%	62 10%	51 5%	19 5%
Butter	48 2%	47 2%	1 1%	40 3%	-	1 *	2 1%	20 3%	21 2%	7 2%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 16

2.2 If you were buying raw food to cook at home, are some types of food more likely to give you food poisoning than others?

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Other dairy	297 14%	286 14%	11 12%	209 14%	29 16%	22 11%	41 18%	110 17%	146 14%	40 10%
Other										
Rice	169 8%	157 8%	12 13%	124 8% m	10 6%	18 9%	10 5%	85 13%	70 7%	14 4%
Vegetables and fruit	119 6%	111 6%	7 8%	89 6% m	9 5%	7 4%	4 2%	59 9%	46 4%	14 3%
Any other food mentioned	213 10%	204 10%	9 10%	145 10%	23 13%	22 12%	26 11%	85 13%	103 10%	24 6%
Yes, but don't know which foods	46 2%	40 2%	6 6% h	33 2%	3 2%	6 3%	3 1%	10 2%	23 2%	13 3%
No	153 7%	143 7%	10 10%	119 8% km	5 3%	10 5%	9 4%	46 7%	69 7%	38 9%
Don't know	72 3%	68 3%	4 5%	54 4%	2 1%	6 3%	15 7% jk	10 2%	38 4%	25 6%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 17

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat is sprayed or misted with a weak solution of lactic acid

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely acceptable (2)	59 3%	39 4% B	19 2%	15 10% DFGH	6 2%	18 5% FH	4 1%	6 2%	9 2%	11 2%	4 2%	8 5%	1 1%	12 3%	44 2%	3 7%	2 2%
Acceptable (1)	256 12%	144 16% B	111 10%	26 18% G	44 14% G	45 12%	55 16% GH	26 8%	60 11%	82 14% M	19 9%	18 12%	18 14%	44 9%	233 12%	8 20%	14 17%
I have no feelings either way (0)	315 15%	153 17%	161 14%	22 15%	52 16%	49 13%	56 17%	45 13%	89 16%	99 17%	37 18%	17 12%	17 13%	61 13%	292 15%	2 5%	12 15%
Unacceptable (-1)	713 34%	301 33%	413 36%	45 31%	114 36%	130 34%	109 32%	127 38%	188 34%	194 34%	79 37%	50 34%	58 45%	177 37%	651 34%	18 47%	24 30%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	490 24%	178 19%	312 27% A	31 21%	69 21%	99 26%	83 25%	87 26%	123 22%	135 24%	56 26%	36 24%	22 17%	105 22%	458 24% P	3 7%	16 21%
It depends	32 2%	17 2%	15 1%	2 2%	1	5 1%	5 1%	7 2%	12 2%	7 1%	6 3%	1 1%	1 1%	8 2%	32 2%	-	-
Don't know	213 10%	89 10%	124 11%	4 3%	33 10% C	36 9%	26 8%	41 12% C	74 13% CF	41 7%	12 6%	17 12%	11 9%	68 14% J	191 10%	5 13%	12 15%
All Acceptable	314 15%	184 20% B	131 11%	42 28% DEFG H	50 16% G	63 16% G	59 17% G	32 10%	69 12%	93 16%	22 11%	25 17%	19 15%	56 12%	278 15%	10 27% O	16 20%
All Unacceptable	1203 58%	478 52%	725 63% A	76 52%	183 57%	228 60%	191 57%	214 63%	310 56%	329 58%	135 63%	86 59%	81 62%	282 59%	1109 58%	21 55%	40 51%
Net Acceptable	-889 -43%	-295 -32%	-595 -51%	-34 -23%	-133 -42%	-165 -43%	-133 -40%	-182 -54%	-241	-236 -41%	-112 -53%	-60 -41%	-61 -47%	-226 -47%	-831 -44%	-10 -27%	-24 -31%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 17

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat is sprayed or misted with a weak solution of lactic acid

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Mean	-0.72	-0.53 B	-0.87	-0.36 DE FGH	-0.69 G	-0.72	-0.69 G	-0.90	-0.76	-0.69	-0.85	-0.69	-0.70	-0.80	-0.74	-0.31	-0.57
Standard Deviation	1.10	1.15	1.03	1.31	1.07	1.17	1.09	1.00	1.04	1.09	1.01	1.19	0.99	1.05	1.08	1.18	1.15

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 17

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat is sprayed or misted with a weak solution of lactic acid

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely acceptable (2)	59 3%	41 3%	17 3%	5 2%	12 3%	48 3%	11 2%	25 2%	28 4%	22 4%	52 3%	4 1%	3 4%	59 19% e	-	50 5% g	2 *
Acceptable (1)	256 12%	174 12%	82 13%	38 14%	56 12%	186 13%	70 11%	139 12%	83 12%	74 13%	203 12%	43 16% a	10 13%	256 81% e	-	182 17% g	41 7%
I have no feelings either way (0)	315 15%	225 16%	90 14%	47 17%	57 12%	217 15%	98 15%	169 15%	112 16%	87 16%	253 15%	51 19%	10 14%	-	-	163 15% g	56 9%
Unacceptable (-1)	713 34%	474 33%	239 37%	91 32%	175 38%	497 35%	216 33%	386 34%	250 35%	188 34%	600 35%	93 35%	20 27%	-	713 59% d	365 34%	249 41% f
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	490 24%	344 24%	146 23%	61 22%	112 24%	342 24%	148 23%	285 25%	168 24%	113 20%	417 24%	51 19%	22 29%	-	490 41% d	200 19%	221 36% f
It depends	32 2%	20 1%	12 2%	7 3%	10 2%	16 1%	16 3% V	14 1%	10 1%	13 2%	28 2%	3 1%	1 1%	-	-	11 1%	5 1%
Don't know	213 10%	152 11%	61 9%	33 12%	44 9%	127 9%	86 13% V	127 11%	56 8%	59 11%	184 11%	20 7%	9 12%	-	-	96 9%	40 6%
All Acceptable	314 15%	215 15%	99 15%	43 15%	69 15%	233 16%	81 13%	164 14%	112 16%	96 17%	255 15%	47 18%	13 17%	314 100% e	-	231 22% g	43 7%
All Unacceptable	1203 58%	818 57%	385 60% T	152 54%	287 62% T	839 59%	364 56%	672 59%	418 59% Z	301 54%	1017 59%	144 54%	43 56%	-	1203 100% d	565 53%	470 77% f
Net Acceptable	-889 -43%	-603 -42%	-286 -44%	-109 -39%	-219 -47%	-606 -42%	-283 -44%	-508 -44%	-306 -43%	-205 -37%	-762 -44%	-97 -37%	-30 -40%	314 100%	-1203 -100%	-334 -31%	-427 -70%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 17

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat is sprayed or misted with a weak solution of lactic acid

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Mean	-0.72	-0.72	-0.72	-0.68	-0.77	-0.70	-0.78	-0.76	-0.69	-0.61 _X	-0.74	-0.60	-0.75	1.19 _e	-1.41	-0.51 _g	-1.14
Standard Deviation	1.10	1.11	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.12	1.05	1.08	1.12	1.13	1.10	1.06	1.20	0.39	0.49	1.16	0.89

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 17

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat is sprayed or misted with a weak solution of lactic acid

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely acceptable (2)	59 3%	51 3%	8 8% h	39 3%	8 5%	5 2%	6 3%	26 4%	15 1%	17 4%
Acceptable (1)	256 12%	241 12%	15 16%	186 13%	19 11%	18 10%	28 12%	70 11%	123 12%	62 15%
I have no feelings either way (0)	315 15%	291 15%	23 25% h	226 15% m	23 13% m	41 21% m	15 7%	93 15%	169 16%	53 13%
Unacceptable (-1)	713 34%	694 35% i	19 20%	514 35%	48 27%	74 38%	77 33%	216 34%	370 36%	128 32%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	490 24%	477 24%	13 14%	344 23%	49 28%	36 19%	67 29% j	168 26%	232 22%	89 22%
It depends	32 2%	30 2%	2 2%	18 1%	9 5% j	2 1%	4 2%	11 2%	18 2%	3 1%
Don't know	213 10%	200 10%	13 14%	149 10%	19 11%	18 10%	33 14%	52 8%	112 11%	50 12%
All Acceptable	314 15%	292 15%	23 24% h	226 15%	27 16%	23 12%	35 15%	97 15%	138 13%	79 20%
All Unacceptable	1203 58%	1171 59% i	32 34%	858 58%	97 55%	110 57%	144 62%	384 60%	602 58%	217 54%
Net Acceptable	-889 -43%	-880 -44%	-9 -10%	-632 -43%	-70 -40%	-87 -45%	-110 -48%	-288 -45%	-464 -45%	-137 -34%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 17

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat is sprayed or misted with a weak solution of lactic acid

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base	2078	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Mean	-0.72	-0.19 _h	-0.72 _m	-0.75	-0.68	-0.88	-0.75	-0.75	-0.60
Standard Deviation	1.10	1.21	1.09	1.20	1.01	1.14	1.14	1.04	1.18



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 18

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat passes through a hot water bath or is exposed to steam in a chamber or tunnel

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely acceptable (2)	142 7%	73 8%	69 6%	23 16% FGH	32 10% GH	43 11% FGH	18 5%	9 3%	17 3%	38 7%	9 4%	12 8%	3 3%	26 5%	117 6%	6 15% O	10 12%
Acceptable (1)	711 34%	325 35%	386 33%	65 44% GH	122 38% GH	155 41% GH	124 37% H	96 28%	149 27%	217 38% J	60 28%	52 36%	48 37%	150 31%	649 34%	14 38%	23 30%
I have no feelings either way (0)	251 12%	117 13%	133 12%	16 11%	38 12%	40 11%	44 13%	40 12%	73 13%	71 12%	36 17%	18 12%	19 15%	52 11%	236 12%	1 2%	9 12%
Unacceptable (-1)	541 26%	221 24%	319 28%	23 15%	68 21%	82 21%	80 24%	104 31% CDE	182 33% CDEF	147 26%	62 29%	37 26%	36 28%	128 27%	492 26%	15 40%	18 23%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	291 14%	120 13%	171 15%	14 9%	41 13%	45 12%	48 14%	62 18% E	82 15%	72 13%	26 12%	16 11%	15 11%	80 17%	277 15% P	-	12 16% P
It depends	29 1%	12 1%	17 1%	2 2%	4 1%	2 1%	6 2%	3 1%	11 2%	6 1%	6 3%	3 2%	2 2%	3 1%	28 1%	1 2%	-
Don't know	113 5%	52 6%	61 5%	5 3%	14 4%	14 4%	16 5%	25 8%	39 7%	19 3%	12 6%	7 5%	5 4%	37 8% I	103 5%	1 2%	6 7%
All Acceptable	853 41%	398 43%	455 39%	88 60% FGH	154 48% GH	198 52% FGH	142 42% GH	106 31%	166 30%	255 45% JM	69 33%	64 44%	51 39%	175 37%	766 40%	20 52%	33 42%
All Unacceptable	832 40%	341 37%	491 42% A	36 25%	110 34%	126 33%	128 38% C	165 49% CDEF	264 48% CDEF	219 38%	88 41%	53 37%	51 40%	208 44%	768 40%	15 40%	31 39%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 18

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat passes through a hot water bath or is exposed to steam in a chamber or tunnel

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Net Acceptable	22 1%	57 6% B	-36 -3%	52 35% DEF	44 14% F	72 19% FG	14 4%	-60 -18%	-99 -18%	36 6% JLM	-18 -9%	11 7% L	*	-32 -7%	-2 *	5 12% O	2 3% O
Mean	-0.07	0.01 B	-0.13	0.44 FGH	0.12 GH	0.19 FG H	-0.05 GH	-0.36	-0.32	* M	-0.18	0.05	-0.10	-0.20	-0.09	0.29	*
Standard Deviation	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.22	1.26	1.25	1.22	1.20	1.16	1.22	1.15	1.22	1.14	1.25	1.24	1.18	1.34

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 18

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat passes through a hot water bath or is exposed to steam in a chamber or tunnel

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely acceptable (2)	142 7%	78 5%	64 10% R	26 9% R	45 10% R	118 8% W	24 4%	67 6%	56 8%	54 10% X	123 7%	16 6%	3 5%	59 19% e	56 5%	113 11% g	13 2%
Acceptable (1)	711 34%	470 33%	240 37%	111 39%	170 37%	544 38% W	167 26%	367 32%	254 36%	199 36%	602 35%	77 29%	32 42% b	147 47% e	402 33%	469 44% g	156 25%
I have no feelings either way (0)	251 12%	188 13% T	63 10%	22 8%	50 11%	167 12%	83 13%	154 13%	73 10%	63 11%	203 12%	41 15%	7 9%	22 7%	112 9%	101 9%	50 8%
Unacceptable (-1)	541 26%	391 27%	150 23%	63 22%	103 22%	327 23%	213 33% V	308 27%	182 26%	133 24%	446 26%	79 30%	15 20%	58 18%	362 30% d	244 23%	223 36% f
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	291 14%	208 15%	83 13%	38 13%	61 13%	194 14%	97 15%	166 14%	106 15% Z	64 11%	246 14%	33 13%	12 16%	20 6%	236 20% d	107 10%	146 24% f
It depends	29 1%	17 1%	12 2%	5 2%	10 2%	15 1%	15 2% V	18 2%	6 1%	8 2%	26 1%	3 1%	-	1	9 1%	7 1%	3
Don't know	113 5%	78 5%	36 5%	17 6%	27 6%	68 5%	46 7%	66 6%	32 4%	36 6%	93 5%	14 5%	6 8%	6 2%	27 2%	26 2%	24 4%
All Acceptable	853 41%	549 38%	304 47% R	137 49% R	216 46% R	662 46% W	191 30%	433 38%	309 44% X	252 45% X	725 42%	93 35%	35 47%	206 66% e	457 38%	582 55% g	169 27%
All Unacceptable	832 40%	599 42% SU	233 36%	101 36%	164 35%	521 36%	311 48% V	474 41% Z	287 41% Z	197 35%	692 40%	113 43%	27 36%	78 25%	598 50% d	351 33%	368 60% f



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 18

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat passes through a hot water bath or is exposed to steam in a chamber or tunnel

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Net Acceptable	22 1%	-50 -3%	71 11% R	36 13%	51 11% R	141 10% W	-119 -19%	-41 -4%	22 3%	55 10% XY	33 2% B	-19 -7%	8 11% a	128 41%	-141 -12%	230 22% g	-200 -33%
Mean	-0.07	-0.13	0.09 R	0.09 R	0.08 R	0.05 W	-0.33	-0.13	-0.04	0.09 XY	-0.06	-0.15	-0.01	0.54 e	-0.28	0.23 g	-0.57
Standard Deviation	1.24	1.22	1.28	1.28	1.27	1.25	1.17	1.22	1.27	1.25	1.25	1.19	1.26	1.19	1.26	1.22	1.19

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 18

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat passes through a hot water bath or is exposed to steam in a chamber or tunnel

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely acceptable (2)	142 7%	138 7%	4 5%	100 7%	15 9%	11 6%	15 7%	62 10%	56 5%	24 6%
Acceptable (1)	711 34%	679 34%	32 34%	507 34%	58 33%	62 32%	88 38%	213 33%	359 35%	138 34%
I have no feelings either way (0)	251 12%	240 12%	10 11%	177 12%	19 11%	28 14%	29 13%	69 11%	139 13%	43 11%
Unacceptable (-1)	541 26%	521 26%	20 21%	391 26% m	43 24%	51 26% m	40 17%	158 25%	270 26%	113 28%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	291 14%	275 14%	16 17%	205 14%	28 16%	24 12%	31 14%	101 16%	133 13%	57 14%
It depends	29 1%	25 1%	4 5% h	18 1%	5 3%	3 2%	8 3% j	11 2%	15 1%	3 1%
Don't know	113 5%	107 5%	7 7%	79 5%	8 5%	14 7%	19 8%	24 4%	66 6%	23 6%
All Acceptable	853 41%	817 41%	36 39%	607 41%	73 42%	73 38%	104 45%	275 43%	415 40%	163 40%
All Unacceptable	832 40%	796 40%	36 39%	596 40% m	71 40%	75 39%	71 31%	258 41%	404 39%	170 42%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 18

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat passes through a hot water bath or is exposed to steam in a chamber or tunnel

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base	2078	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Net Acceptable	22 1%	*	10 1%	2 1%	-2 -1%	32 14% jkl	17 3%	12 1%	-7 -2%
Mean	-0.07	-0.14	-0.07	-0.06	-0.09	0.08	-0.04	-0.07	-0.11
Standard Deviation	1.24	1.27	1.24	1.30	1.20	1.24	1.30	1.20	1.24



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 19

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat is exposed to ozone gas

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely acceptable (2)	47 2%	32 3% B	15 1%	3 2%	5 2%	19 5% DGH	10 3%	4 1%	5 1%	14 2%	1 *	3 2%	* *	9 2%	41 2%	1 2%	4 5%
Acceptable (1)	213 10%	133 14% B	80 7%	23 15% G	37 12%	45 12%	38 11%	23 7%	47 8%	69 12% K	18 8%	7 5%	12 9%	40 8%	181 10%	4 10%	18 23% O
I have no feelings either way (0)	344 17%	168 18%	176 15%	27 18%	56 17%	50 13%	45 13%	57 17%	109 20% EF	110 19%	33 16%	25 17%	17 13%	68 14%	311 16%	9 25%	12 15%
Unacceptable (-1)	692 33%	272 30%	420 36% A	54 37%	109 34%	129 34%	118 35%	106 31%	177 32%	189 33%	73 34%	50 34%	59 46% IM	153 32%	636 33%	12 32%	21 26%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	487 23%	185 20%	303 26% A	25 17%	69 21%	96 25%	88 26%	94 28%	117 21%	135 24%	61 29%	36 25%	28 22%	117 25%	462 24%	6 15%	14 18%
It depends	33 2%	10 1%	23 2%	- -	5 2%	3 1%	6 2%	4 1%	13 2%	5 1%	7 3% IM	3 2%	1 1%	4 1%	33 2%	- -	- -
Don't know	262 13%	121 13%	141 12%	15 10%	38 12%	39 10%	31 9%	50 15%	86 16% EF	48 8%	19 9%	20 14%	12 9%	83 18% IJ	239 13%	6 15%	10 13%
All Acceptable	260 12%	165 18% B	94 8%	25 17% GH	42 13%	64 17% GH	48 14% G	28 8%	52 9%	83 15%	19 9%	11 7%	12 9%	50 10%	222 12%	5 13%	22 28% O
All Unacceptable	1180 57%	457 50%	723 63% A	79 54%	178 56%	225 59%	205 61% H	200 59%	294 53%	324 57%	134 63%	86 59%	88 68%	270 57%	1098 58%	18 47%	35 44%
Net Acceptable	-920 -44%	-291 -32%	-629 -54%	-54 -37%	-135 -42%	-161 -42%	-157 -47%	-172 -51%	-242 -44%	-241 -42%	-115 -54%	-76 -52%	-76 -58%	-220 -46%	-876 -46%	-13 -35%	-13 -17%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 19

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat is exposed to ozone gas

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Mean	-0.76	-0.56 _B	-0.92	-0.57 _G	-0.72	-0.70 _G	-0.79	-0.92	-0.78	-0.70 _J	-0.94	-0.89	-0.89	-0.85	-0.80	-0.56	-0.35 _O
Standard Deviation	1.06	1.14	0.96	1.05	1.04	1.17	1.10	1.00	0.98	1.08	0.97	0.99	0.89	1.04	1.05	1.02	1.23

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 19

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat is exposed to ozone gas

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely acceptable (2)	47 2%	31 2%	16 2%	7 3%	12 3%	40 3% W	6 1%	22 2%	23 3% Z	9 2%	41 2%	4 1%	3	27 9% e	13 1%	34 3%	10 2%
Acceptable (1)	213 10%	146 10%	67 10%	27 9%	52 11%	161 11%	52 8%	103 9%	77 11%	73 13% X	169 10%	37 14% a	8 10%	92 29% e	64 5%	167 16% g	30 5%
I have no feelings either way (0)	344 17%	245 17%	99 15%	46 16%	73 16%	229 16%	115 18%	190 17%	121 17%	94 17%	276 16%	54 20%	14 18%	51 16% e	126 10%	182 17% g	57 9%
Unacceptable (-1)	692 33%	463 32%	229 35%	92 33%	168 36%	489 34%	204 32%	374 33%	243 34%	189 34%	586 34%	81 31%	25 33%	82 26%	508 42% d	362 34%	238 39%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	487 23%	334 23%	153 24%	64 23%	111 24%	340 24%	147 23%	282 25% Z	168 24% Z	106 19%	431 25% b	43 16%	14 19%	38 12%	414 34% d	201 19%	217 35% f
It depends	33 2%	21 1%	11 2%	4 2%	8 2%	17 1%	15 2%	19 2%	14 2%	7 1%	26 1%	6 2%	*	2 1%	8 1%	8 1%	6 1%
Don't know	262 13%	190 13% U	73 11% U	41 15% U	43 9%	156 11%	106 16% V	154 13% Y	63 9%	79 14% Y	210 12%	39 15%	13 17%	22 7%	70 6%	113 11%	56 9%
All Acceptable	260 12%	176 12%	83 13%	34 12%	64 14%	201 14% W	59 9%	125 11%	99 14%	82 15%	210 12%	41 15%	9 12%	119 38% e	77 6%	201 19% g	39 6%
All Unacceptable	1180 57%	798 56%	382 59%	156 55%	279 60%	829 58%	351 54%	657 57%	410 58% Z	295 53%	1017 58% b	124 47%	39 52%	120 38%	922 77% d	563 53%	455 74% f
Net Acceptable	-920 -44%	-622 -43%	-299 -46%	-122 -43%	-215 -46%	-628 -44%	-292 -45%	-531 -46%	-311 -44%	-213 -38%	-807 -46%	-83 -32%	-30 -39%	-1 *	-845 -70%	-362 -34%	-416 -68%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 19

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat is exposed to ozone gas

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Mean	-0.76	-0.76	-0.77	-0.75	-0.76	-0.74	-0.83	-0.81	-0.72	-0.66 X	-0.80	-0.56 a	-0.67	-0.04 e	-1.11	-0.56 g	-1.13
Standard Deviation	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.07	1.07	1.09	0.99	1.04	1.09	1.05	1.06	1.04	1.05	1.23	0.89	1.11	0.93



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 19

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat is exposed to ozone gas

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (l)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely acceptable (2)	47 2%	44 2%	2 2%	35 2%	2 1%	3 2%	6 3%	19 3%	18 2%	10 2%
Acceptable (1)	213 10%	194 10%	19 21% h	148 10%	22 12%	20 10%	22 10%	68 11%	96 9%	49 12%
I have no feelings either way (0)	344 17%	321 16%	22 24%	249 17% m	26 15% m	38 19% m	15 7%	91 14%	185 18%	68 17%
Unacceptable (-1)	692 33%	670 34%	22 24%	499 34%	51 29%	63 32%	79 34%	210 33%	351 34%	131 33%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	487 23%	479 24% i	9 9%	339 23%	47 26%	41 21%	79 34% jl	170 27%	227 22%	91 23%
It depends	33 2%	30 1%	3 3%	19 1%	7 4% j	4 2%	2 1%	10 2%	18 2%	5 1%
Don't know	262 13%	247 12%	15 16%	187 13%	22 12%	26 13%	26 11%	70 11%	144 14%	49 12%
All Acceptable	260 12%	238 12%	22 23% h	184 12%	23 13%	23 12%	29 13%	87 14%	114 11%	59 15%
All Unacceptable	1180 57%	1149 58% i	31 33%	838 57%	97 55%	104 53%	158 68% jkl	380 60%	578 56%	222 55%
Net Acceptable	-920 -44%	-911 -46%	-10 -10%	-654 -44%	-74 -42%	-80 -41%	-129 -56%	-293 -46%	-464 -45%	-163 -40%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 19

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The meat is exposed to ozone gas

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base	2078	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Mean	-0.76	-0.21 _h	-0.75 _m	-0.81	-0.72 _m	-1.00	-0.79	-0.77	-0.70
Standard Deviation	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.08	1.03	1.10	1.11	1.02	1.09



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 20

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The surface of the meat is exposed to a rapid reduction in temperature for a short period

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely acceptable (2)	198 10%	108 12% B	90 8%	17 11%	29 9%	43 11% H	46 14% GH	23 7%	39 7%	60 11%	15 7%	13 9%	10 8%	30 6%	174 9%	3 7%	12 15%
Acceptable (1)	869 42%	396 43%	472 41%	53 36%	128 40%	153 40%	144 43%	158 47%	233 42%	274 48% M	86 40%	70 48% M	63 49% M	159 34%	792 42%	18 47%	40 51%
I have no feelings either way (0)	248 12%	115 12%	134 12%	23 16%	37 12%	45 12%	36 11%	39 12%	69 12%	73 13%	30 14%	12 8%	18 14%	60 13%	228 12%	2 5%	10 13%
Unacceptable (-1)	405 19%	145 16%	260 22% A	32 22%	69 22%	78 20%	58 17%	54 16%	111 20%	85 15%	50 24% IL	22 15%	12 10%	131 28% IKL	374 20% Q	12 32% Q	4 5%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	209 10%	92 10%	117 10%	15 10%	39 12%	42 11%	25 8%	37 11%	50 9%	45 8%	17 8%	16 11%	16 13%	54 11%	198 10%	2 5%	6 8%
It depends	39 2%	16 2%	23 2%	3 2%	2 1%	1 *	11 3% DE	6 2%	15 3% E	11 2%	8 4% M	5 3% M	2 7%	3 7%	38 2%	- -	- -
Don't know	111 5%	50 5%	61 5%	4 3%	14 5%	18 5%	15 5%	23 7%	37 7%	21 4%	7 3%	7 5%	8 6%	38 8% I	99 5%	1 2%	7 8%
All Acceptable	1066 51%	505 55% B	562 49%	70 47%	158 49%	197 52%	190 57%	181 53%	272 49%	335 59% JM	100 47%	84 58% M	73 57% M	190 40%	966 51%	21 55%	51 65% O
All Unacceptable	614 30%	236 26%	377 33% A	47 32%	109 34% F	120 32%	84 25%	91 27%	161 29%	131 23%	67 32% I	38 26%	29 22%	185 39% IKL	572 30% Q	14 38% Q	10 13%
Net Acceptable	453 22%	268 29% B	184 16%	23 15%	49 15%	76 20%	106 32% CDEH	90 27% CDH	110 20%	204 36% JM	33 16% M	46 31% JM	45 34% JM	5 7%	394 21%	7 17%	41 52% OP



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 20

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The surface of the meat is exposed to a rapid reduction in temperature for a short period

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Mean	0.23	0.33 B	0.15	0.18	0.13	0.21	0.41 DH	0.25	0.20	0.41 JM	0.15	0.32 M	0.32 M	-0.04	0.21	0.21	0.64 O
Standard Deviation	1.21	1.21	1.20	1.22	1.24	1.24	1.19	1.18	1.17	1.14	1.15	1.22	1.19	1.20	1.21	1.15	1.10

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 20

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The surface of the meat is exposed to a rapid reduction in temperature for a short period

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely acceptable (2)	198 10%	129 9%	68 11%	29 10%	45 10%	151 11% W	46 7%	102 9%	77 11%	52 9%	175 10%	19 7%	4 5%	72 23% e	72 6%	198 19% g	-
Acceptable (1)	869 42%	605 42%	263 41%	121 43%	204 44% S	606 42%	263 41%	477 42%	300 42%	237 43%	711 41%	119 45%	39 51%	159 50% e	493 41%	869 81% g	-
I have no feelings either way (0)	248 12%	184 13%	65 10%	34 12%	46 10%	169 12%	80 12%	132 12%	83 12%	71 13%	203 12%	37 14%	9 11%	32 10%	116 10%	-	-
Unacceptable (-1)	405 19%	259 18%	145 22%	51 18%	101 22%	276 19%	128 20%	223 19%	130 18%	115 21%	349 20%	46 17%	10 13%	34 11%	294 24% d	-	405 66% f
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	209 10%	144 10%	65 10%	25 9%	44 9%	145 10%	64 10%	127 11% Z	74 11% Z	38 7%	181 10%	21 8%	7 9%	9 3%	177 15% d	-	209 34% f
It depends	39 2%	29 2%	9 1%	5 2%	7 2%	17 1%	22 3% V	20 2%	15 2%	9 2%	33 2%	5 2%	1 1%	4 1%	17 1%	-	-
Don't know	111 5%	80 6%	31 5%	17 6%	21 4%	68 5%	43 7%	63 6%	29 4%	34 6%	85 5%	19 7%	7 9%	4 1%	35 3%	-	-
All Acceptable	1066 51%	735 51%	332 51%	150 53%	248 53%	757 53%	309 48%	579 51%	377 53%	289 52%	886 51%	137 52%	43 57%	231 74% e	565 47%	1066 100% g	-
All Unacceptable	614 30%	403 28%	210 32% T	76 27%	145 31%	422 29%	192 30%	350 31%	205 29%	154 28%	530 31%	67 25%	17 22%	43 14%	470 39% d	-	614 100% f
Net Acceptable	453 22%	331 23%	121 19%	74 26% S	103 22% S	335 23% W	117 18%	229 20%	172 24%	136 24%	356 20%	71 27% a	26 35% a	188 60% e	95 8%	1066 100% g	-614 -100%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 20

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The surface of the meat is exposed to a rapid reduction in temperature for a short period

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Mean	0.23	0.24	0.21	0.30	0.24	0.25	0.17	0.19	0.26	0.29	0.22	0.28	0.34	0.82 _e	-0.01	1.19 _g	-1.34
Standard Deviation	1.21	1.19	1.23	1.19	1.20	1.21	1.18	1.22	1.22	1.14	1.22	1.12	1.12	1.01	1.24	0.39	0.47



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 20

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The surface of the meat is exposed to a rapid reduction in temperature for a short period

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely acceptable (2)	198 10%	185 9%	13 13%	143 10%	18 10%	14 7%	15 7%	80 13%	84 8%	33 8%
Acceptable (1)	869 42%	839 42%	29 31%	609 41%	79 45%	87 45%	104 45%	271 43%	436 42%	162 40%
I have no feelings either way (0)	248 12%	234 12%	14 15%	182 12%	13 7%	26 14%	26 11%	73 11%	136 13%	40 10%
Unacceptable (-1)	405 19%	386 19%	19 20%	295 20%	30 17%	30 16%	41 18%	112 18%	202 19%	91 23%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	209 10%	203 10%	6 7%	146 10%	21 12%	18 9%	26 11%	60 9%	97 9%	52 13%
It depends	39 2%	35 2%	4 4%	22 2%	10 6% jim	2 1%	2 1%	17 3%	20 2%	2 1%
Don't know	111 5%	103 5%	8 9%	79 5%	6 3%	16 8%	16 7%	25 4%	64 6%	23 6%
All Acceptable	1066 51%	1024 52%	42 45%	752 51%	96 55%	101 52%	119 51%	351 55%	520 50%	195 49%
All Unacceptable	614 30%	589 30%	25 27%	441 30%	51 29%	48 25%	67 29%	172 27%	299 29%	142 35%
Net Acceptable	453 22%	436 22%	17 18%	311 21%	46 26%	53 27%	51 22%	179 28%	221 21%	53 13%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 20

3.1 I am going to give you more detail shortly on these treatments, but first I'd like to get your immediate reaction to them, based on what I've just said about them. For each of these treatments, can you tell me how acceptable or unacceptable you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning.

The surface of the meat is exposed to a rapid reduction in temperature for a short period

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base	2078	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Mean	0.23	0.29	0.22	0.27	0.28	0.19	0.33	0.22	0.09
Standard Deviation	1.21	1.21	1.20	1.26	1.15	1.20	1.22	1.17	1.25



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 21

3.2 The treatment involves spraying the raw meat with lactic acid in the slaughterhouse. Lactic acid is a naturally occurring substance present in human and animal muscles. It is also present naturally in foods such as cheese, yogurt and soy sauce. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age					NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely acceptable (2)	156 8%	71 8%	85 7%	28 19% DFGH	18 6%	45 12% DGH	27 8%	13 4%	25 5%	40 7%	11 5%	12 8%	4 3%	40 8%	125 7%	4 10%	17 22% O
Acceptable (1)	854 41%	387 42%	466 40%	67 46%	144 45%	151 40%	140 42%	131 39%	221 40%	229 40%	90 43%	66 45%	59 45%	195 41%	784 41%	13 35%	33 42%
I have no feelings either way (0)	308 15%	146 16%	162 14%	23 16%	52 16%	41 11%	59 17% E	49 14%	84 15%	101 18% L	38 18% L	18 12%	8 6%	67 14% L	283 15%	10 27%	10 13%
Unacceptable (-1)	447 21%	186 20%	261 23%	15 10%	54 17%	82 22% C	78 23% C	80 24% C	138 25% CD	119 21%	44 21%	26 18%	41 31% IKM	97 20%	418 22%	7 17%	9 11%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	212 10%	97 11%	114 10%	9 6%	38 12%	43 11%	27 8%	51 15% CFH	44 8%	61 11%	22 11%	12 8%	14 11%	49 10%	202 11%	1 2%	5 6%
It depends	30 1%	12 1%	18 2%	2 1%	6 2%	10 3% F	1 *	3 1%	8 1%	8 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	11 2%	23 1%	-	4 5% O
Don't know	71 3%	22 2%	49 4% A	3 2%	7 2%	9 2%	4 1%	13 4%	34 6% DEF	11 2%	4 2%	10 7% IJ	4 3%	16 3%	67 3%	3 7%	1 1%
All Acceptable	1010 49%	459 50%	551 48%	95 65% DEFG H	163 51%	195 51% G	167 50%	144 42%	246 44%	269 47%	102 48%	78 54%	62 48%	234 49%	909 48%	17 45%	50 64% O
All Unacceptable	659 32%	283 31%	375 32%	24 16%	92 29% C	126 33% C	105 31% C	131 38% CD	182 33% C	181 32%	67 31%	38 26%	55 42% KM	146 31%	621 33% Q	8 20%	13 17%
Net Acceptable	351 17%	175 19%	176 15%	71 48% DEFG H	71 22% GH	70 18% GH	62 18% GH	14 4%	65 12% G	89 16% L	35 16% L	40 28% IUL	8 6%	88 19% L	288 15%	9 25%	37 47% O



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 21

3.2 The treatment involves spraying the raw meat with lactic acid in the slaughterhouse. Lactic acid is a naturally occurring substance present in human and animal muscles. It is also present naturally in foods such as cheese, yogurt and soy sauce. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Mean	0.15	0.17	0.13	0.63 DEF GH	0.16 G	0.20 G	0.19 G	-0.07	0.09	0.12	0.12	0.30	-0.02	0.18	0.12	0.35	0.67 O
Standard Deviation	1.18	1.17	1.18	1.10	1.17	1.26	1.13	1.20	1.11	1.17	1.14	1.15	1.17	1.19	1.17	1.01	1.15

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 21

3.2 The treatment involves spraying the raw meat with lactic acid in the slaughterhouse. Lactic acid is a naturally occurring substance present in human and animal muscles. It is also present naturally in foods such as cheese, yogurt and soy sauce. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely acceptable (2)	156 8%	104 7%	52 8%	33 12% RSU	35 8%	126 9% W	30 5%	74 6%	63 9%	47 8%	138 8%	13 5%	6 8%	98 31% e	26 2%	106 10% g	24 4%
Acceptable (1)	854 41%	560 39%	294 45% R	134 47% R	212 45% R	594 41%	260 40%	438 38%	310 44% X	259 46% X	716 41%	110 41%	28 37%	178 57% e	392 33%	512 48% g	189 31%
I have no feelings either way (0)	308 15%	211 15%	97 15%	37 13%	71 15%	217 15%	92 14%	171 15%	105 15%	79 14%	253 15%	44 17%	11 14%	24 8%	165 14% d	133 12%	86 14%
Unacceptable (-1)	447 21%	331 23% ST	116 18% T	34 12%	91 20% T	296 21%	151 23%	276 24% Y	125 18%	109 20%	369 21%	64 24%	14 19%	10 3%	394 33% d	206 19%	180 29% f
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	212 10%	150 10%	62 10%	29 10%	42 9%	147 10%	65 10%	126 11% Z	74 10% Z	40 7%	181 10%	20 7%	11 14%	2 1%	191 16% d	77 7%	107 17% f
It depends	30 1%	18 1%	12 2%	8 3%	6 1%	18 1%	12 2%	17 2%	12 2%	10 2%	28 2%	2 1%	-	-	11 1%	11 1%	8 1%
Don't know	71 3%	57 4%	15 2%	6 2%	10 2%	35 2%	36 6% V	44 4%	20 3%	14 2%	53 3%	12 5%	6 8% a	2 1%	25 2%	22 2%	20 3%
All Acceptable	1010 49%	664 46%	346 53% R	167 59% RS	247 53% R	720 50% W	290 45%	511 45%	373 53% X	306 55% X	853 49%	122 46%	34 45%	276 88% e	418 35%	618 58% g	213 35%
All Unacceptable	659 32%	481 34% ST	178 27% T	64 23%	133 29%	443 31%	216 33%	401 35% YZ	199 28%	149 27%	550 32%	83 32%	25 33%	12 4%	585 49% d	283 27%	286 47% f
Net Acceptable	351 17%	184 13%	168 26% R	103 36% RSU	114 24% R	277 19% W	74 11%	110 10%	174 25% X	157 28% X	303 17%	39 15%	9 12%	265 84% e	-168 -14%	335 31% g	-73 -12%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 21

3.2 The treatment involves spraying the raw meat with lactic acid in the slaughterhouse. Lactic acid is a naturally occurring substance present in human and animal muscles. It is also present naturally in foods such as cheese, yogurt and soy sauce. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Mean	0.15	0.10	0.25 R	0.40 RS	0.24	0.19	0.07	0.05	0.24 X	0.31 X	0.16	0.13	0.07	1.16 e	-0.29	0.35 g	-0.27
Standard Deviation	1.18	1.18	1.15	1.19	1.14	1.18	1.15	1.18	1.18	1.12	1.18	1.10	1.26	0.74	1.16	1.13	1.20

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 21

3.2 The treatment involves spraying the raw meat with lactic acid in the slaughterhouse. Lactic acid is a naturally occurring substance present in human and animal muscles. It is also present naturally in foods such as cheese, yogurt and soy sauce. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely acceptable (2)	156 8%	141 7%	15 16% h	112 8%	15 9%	10 5%	14 6%	53 8%	68 7%	35 9%
Acceptable (1)	854 41%	822 41%	32 34%	591 40%	89 51% j	79 41%	104 45%	256 40%	425 41%	173 43%
I have no feelings either way (0)	308 15%	293 15%	16 17%	226 15%	19 11%	29 15%	26 11%	87 14%	176 17%	46 11%
Unacceptable (-1)	447 21%	430 22%	16 18%	323 22%	27 16%	52 27% km	41 18%	143 22%	223 21%	81 20%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	212 10%	205 10%	7 7%	150 10%	18 11%	15 8%	33 14% l	70 11%	92 9%	50 12%
It depends	30 1%	30 2%	-	22 1%	2 1%	5 2%	2 1%	14 2%	12 1%	4 1%
Don't know	71 3%	64 3%	7 8%	52 4%	5 3%	4 2%	11 5%	14 2%	43 4%	14 4%
All Acceptable	1010 49%	963 48%	47 51%	703 48%	104 59% jl	89 46%	118 51%	308 48%	493 47%	208 52%
All Unacceptable	659 32%	635 32%	23 25%	474 32%	46 26%	67 35%	74 32%	213 33%	315 30%	130 32%
Net Acceptable	351 17%	327 16%	24 26%	230 16%	59 33% jlm	22 11%	44 19% l	95 15%	178 17%	78 19%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 21

3.2 The treatment involves spraying the raw meat with lactic acid in the slaughterhouse. Lactic acid is a naturally occurring substance present in human and animal muscles. It is also present naturally in foods such as cheese, yogurt and soy sauce. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Mean	0.14	0.38	0.14	0.33	0.09	0.11	0.13	0.16	0.17
Standard Deviation	1.17	1.21	1.18	1.18	1.12	1.23	1.20	1.13	1.23



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 22

3.3 The treatment involves spraying the raw meat surface with a fine mist of a solution of lactic acid. Only very small amounts are left on the surface of the meat after treatment, less than the amount that is present naturally in the meat before any treatment. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age					NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely acceptable (2)	177 9%	89 10%	87 8%	25 17% GH	30 10%	44 12% GH	30 9%	17 5%	31 6%	50 9%	16 7%	13 9%	6 4%	32 7%	147 8%	7 17% O	10 13%
Acceptable (1)	865 42%	382 41%	484 42%	66 45%	140 44%	155 41%	153 45%	127 38%	224 40%	235 41%	74 35%	65 45%	64 49% J	209 44%	797 42%	9 25%	39 50% P
I have no feelings either way (0)	299 14%	132 14%	167 14%	19 13%	49 15%	41 11%	48 14%	56 16%	86 16%	88 15%	48 23% ILM	19 13%	11 8%	65 14%	277 15%	10 27% OQ	5 6%
Unacceptable (-1)	450 22%	188 20%	262 23%	26 18%	57 18%	73 19%	76 23%	82 24%	136 24% D	124 22%	43 20%	28 19%	36 28%	102 22%	412 22%	7 17%	14 18%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	202 10%	96 10%	106 9%	5 4%	34 11%	51 13% CFH	24 7%	44 13% CFH	45 8%	60 11%	25 12%	14 9%	9 7%	42 9%	195 10%	1 2%	4 5%
It depends	26 1%	11 1%	15 1%	1 1%	3 1%	8 2% F	· ·	5 1%	9 2%	6 1%	3 1%	1 1%	1 1%	8 2%	22 1%	- -	3 4%
Don't know	59 3%	23 2%	36 3%	4 3%	7 2%	9 2%	4 1%	9 3%	24 4% F	8 1%	3 1%	6 4%	3 2%	18 4% I	51 3%	4 10% O	3 4%
All Acceptable	1042 50%	471 51%	571 49%	91 62% GH	170 53% G	199 52% G	183 54% GH	144 43%	255 46%	285 50%	90 42%	78 54%	69 53%	240 51%	945 50%	16 43%	50 64%
All Unacceptable	652 31%	284 31%	368 32%	31 21%	91 28%	124 33%	100 30%	125 37% CD	180 33%	184 32%	68 32%	41 28%	45 35%	144 30%	607 32%	8 20%	18 23%
Net Acceptable	390 19%	187 20%	203 18%	59 40% DEFG H	80 25% GH	75 20% GH	83 25% GH	19 6%	74 13% G	101 18% J	22 10%	37 25% J	24 18%	96 20% J	338 18%	9 23%	32 41% O



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 22

3.3 The treatment involves spraying the raw meat surface with a fine mist of a solution of lactic acid. Only very small amounts are left on the surface of the meat after treatment, less than the amount that is present naturally in the meat before any treatment. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Mean	0.18	0.20	0.17	0.55 EGH	0.25 G	0.19	0.27 G	-0.02	0.12	0.16	0.06	0.26	0.16	0.19	0.16	0.42	0.53 O
Standard Deviation	1.18	1.20	1.16	1.09	1.19	1.28	1.13	1.18	1.12	1.19	1.17	1.18	1.12	1.15	1.18	1.11	1.12

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 22

3.3 The treatment involves spraying the raw meat surface with a fine mist of a solution of lactic acid. Only very small amounts are left on the surface of the meat after treatment, less than the amount that is present naturally in the meat before any treatment. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely acceptable (2)	177 9%	114 8%	63 10%	36 13% RU	39 8%	141 10% W	36 6%	81 7%	73 10% X	61 11% X	157 9%	15 6%	5 6%	92 29% e	47 4%	127 12% g	26 4%
Acceptable (1)	865 42%	572 40%	293 45%	130 46%	216 46% R	602 42%	263 41%	457 40%	306 43%	250 45%	727 42%	107 41%	31 40%	179 57% e	400 33%	528 50% g	183 30%
I have no feelings either way (0)	299 14%	213 15%	87 13%	30 11%	67 14%	204 14%	95 15%	169 15%	95 13%	81 15%	241 14%	44 17%	14 19%	25 8%	156 13%	130 12%	82 13%
Unacceptable (-1)	450 22%	331 23% ST	119 18% T	39 14%	90 19%	300 21%	150 23%	266 23%	142 20%	103 19%	369 21%	68 26%	13 17%	13 4%	391 33% d	189 18%	194 32% f
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	202 10%	140 10%	62 10%	30 11%	43 9%	140 10%	62 10%	123 11% Z	66 9%	39 7%	174 10%	19 7%	9 12%	3 1%	178 15% d	65 6%	107 18% f
It depends	26 1%	18 1%	8 1% U	8 3% SU	2 *	13 1%	13 2%	17 2%	8 1%	8 1%	22 1%	3 1%	1 1%	- -	9 1%	10 1%	6 1%
Don't know	59 3%	43 3%	16 2%	8 3%	11 2%	32 2%	27 4% V	32 3%	18 3%	15 3%	48 3%	7 3%	3 4%	1 *	22 2%	18 2%	16 3%
All Acceptable	1042 50%	685 48%	357 55% R	166 59% R	255 55% R	743 52% W	299 46%	538 47%	379 54% X	311 56% X	884 51%	123 46%	35 47%	271 86% e	448 37%	655 61% g	209 34%
All Unacceptable	652 31%	471 33% T	181 28%	70 25%	132 28%	440 31%	212 33%	389 34% Z	207 29%	142 26%	543 31%	87 33%	22 29%	16 5%	569 47% d	254 24%	301 49% f
Net Acceptable	390 19%	214 15%	175 27% R	97 34% RSU	123 26% R	303 21% W	87 13%	149 13%	172 24% X	169 30% XY	341 20% b	35 13%	13 17%	255 81% e	-122 -10%	401 38% g	-93 -15%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 22

3.3 The treatment involves spraying the raw meat surface with a fine mist of a solution of lactic acid. Only very small amounts are left on the surface of the meat after treatment, less than the amount that is present naturally in the meat before any treatment. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Mean	0.18	0.14	0.28 R	0.39 R	0.26	0.22	0.10	0.10	0.26 X	0.36 X	0.19	0.13	0.12	1.10 e	-0.22	0.44 g	-0.29
Standard Deviation	1.18	1.18	1.18	1.22	1.15	1.19	1.15	1.18	1.18	1.13	1.19	1.11	1.18	0.79	1.18	1.11	1.21



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 22

3.3 The treatment involves spraying the raw meat surface with a fine mist of a solution of lactic acid. Only very small amounts are left on the surface of the meat after treatment, less than the amount that is present naturally in the meat before any treatment. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely acceptable (2)	177 9%	163 8%	14 15% h	122 8%	23 13% lm	11 6%	15 7%	69 11%	75 7%	33 8%
Acceptable (1)	865 42%	831 42%	34 36%	602 41%	83 47%	84 43%	112 49% j	260 41%	427 41%	178 44%
I have no feelings either way (0)	299 14%	279 14%	20 21%	222 15%	16 9%	29 15%	26 11%	78 12%	182 17%	40 10%
Unacceptable (-1)	450 22%	437 22%	13 14%	326 22% m	29 16%	51 26% kn	38 16%	130 20%	224 22%	96 24%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	202 10%	197 10%	5 6%	142 10%	22 12%	12 6%	27 12%	75 12%	87 8%	40 10%
It depends	26 1%	26 1%	-	20 1%	-	2 1%	3 1%	14 2%	7 1%	5 1%
Don't know	59 3%	52 3%	7 8% h	43 3%	3 2%	4 2%	10 4%	11 2%	37 4%	11 3%
All Acceptable	1042 50%	994 50%	48 51%	724 49%	106 60% j	96 49%	128 55%	328 52%	503 48%	211 52%
All Unacceptable	652 31%	634 32% i	18 20%	468 32%	51 29%	63 33%	65 28%	205 32%	311 30%	136 34%
Net Acceptable	390 19%	360 18%	30 32% h	256 17%	55 32% jl	32 17%	63 27% jl	123 19%	192 18%	75 19%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 22

3.3 The treatment involves spraying the raw meat surface with a fine mist of a solution of lactic acid. Only very small amounts are left on the surface of the meat after treatment, less than the amount that is present naturally in the meat before any treatment. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Mean	0.17	0.45	0.17	0.33	0.17	0.23	0.19	0.18	0.17
Standard Deviation	1.18	1.13	1.17	1.26	1.09	1.19	1.24	1.13	1.20



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 23

3.4 Meat that has been treated with lactic acid in this way does not look or taste different from untreated meat. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely acceptable (2)	234 11%	113 12%	121 10%	36 24% DEFG H	43 14% GH	51 13% GH	42 13% GH	21 6%	41 7%	73 13% L	19 9%	14 9%	7 5%	49 10%	189 10%	9 22% O	24 30% O
Acceptable (1)	890 43%	395 43%	495 43%	61 42%	143 45%	168 44%	146 43%	137 41%	235 42%	227 40%	88 42%	73 50%	70 54% I	202 43%	835 44% P	9 22%	30 39%
I have no feelings either way (0)	264 13%	115 13%	148 13%	21 14%	37 12%	29 8%	46 14% E	49 14% E	81 15% E	85 15% L	29 14% L	14 10%	5 4%	71 15% L	241 13%	9 22% Q	6 7%
Unacceptable (-1)	404 19%	161 17%	244 21%	20 14%	51 16%	71 19%	72 21%	76 23%	113 20%	118 21%	42 20%	20 14%	36 28% K	87 18%	367 19%	8 20%	11 14%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	200 10%	96 10%	103 9%	6 4%	38 12% C	50 13% CFH	23 7%	41 12% C	42 8%	57 10%	24 11%	18 12%	9 7%	41 9%	193 10%	1 2%	4 5%
It depends	26 1%	14 1%	12 1%	2 1%	2 1%	7 2%	*	7 2%	8 1%	7 1%	3 1%	1 1%	1 1%	6 1%	22 1%	-	3 4%
Don't know	60 3%	27 3%	33 3%	1 1%	6 2%	5 1%	6 2%	8 2%	33 6% CDEFG	4 1%	6 3%	6 4% I	2 1%	19 4% I	55 3%	4 10% O	1 1%
All Acceptable	1124 54%	509 55%	615 53%	97 66% GH	186 58% GH	218 57% GH	188 56% G	158 47%	276 50%	300 53%	108 51%	87 59%	77 59%	251 53%	1024 54%	17 45%	54 69% OP
All Unacceptable	604 29%	257 28%	347 30%	26 18%	88 28%	121 32% C	95 28%	117 35% C	156 28%	174 31%	66 31%	38 26%	45 35%	128 27%	560 29%	9 22%	15 19%
Net Acceptable	520 25%	252 27%	268 23%	70 48% DEFG H	98 31% GH	97 25% G	93 28% G	41 12%	121 22% G	126 22%	42 20%	49 33% IJ	31 24%	123 26%	464 24%	9 22%	39 49% OP



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 23

3.4 Meat that has been treated with lactic acid in this way does not look or taste different from untreated meat. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Mean	0.28	0.30	0.26	0.70 DEF GH	0.33 G	0.27	0.34 G	0.06	0.23	0.25	0.18	0.32	0.23	0.29	0.25	0.47	0.78 O
Standard Deviation	1.20	1.22	1.19	1.11	1.25	1.29	1.16	1.19	1.13	1.22	1.21	1.22	1.14	1.17	1.20	1.18	1.20

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 23

3.4 Meat that has been treated with lactic acid in this way does not look or taste different from untreated meat. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely acceptable (2)	234 11%	149 10%	85 13%	43 15%	55 12%	185 13% W	49 8%	103 9%	97 14% X	78 14% X	208 12% b	19 7%	7 9%	102 32% e	82 7%	159 15% g	35 6%
Acceptable (1)	890 43%	589 41%	301 46%	134 48%	220 47%	617 43%	273 42%	478 42%	313 44%	253 45%	736 42%	120 46%	33 44%	170 54% e	411 34%	526 49% g	207 34%
I have no feelings either way (0)	264 13%	193 13%	71 11%	30 11%	53 11%	172 12%	92 14%	148 13%	88 12%	65 12%	222 13%	33 13%	8 11%	25 8%	146 12%	108 10%	72 12%
Unacceptable (-1)	404 19%	294 21% T	110 17% T	35 12%	83 18% T	278 19%	127 20%	244 21%	121 17%	94 17%	335 19%	59 22%	10 14%	10 3%	358 30% d	187 18%	163 26% f
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	200 10%	137 10%	63 10%	31 11%	45 10%	142 10%	58 9%	124 11% Z	62 9%	38 7%	171 10%	19 7%	10 13%	3 1%	178 15% d	60 6%	113 18% f
It depends	26 1%	19 1%	7 1% U	5 2%	2 *	14 1%	12 2%	15 1%	7 1%	10 2%	20 1%	4 2%	2 2%	1 *	9 1%	10 1%	7 1%
Don't know	60 3%	51 4% S	9 1%	4 2%	8 2%	25 2%	35 5% V	33 3%	20 3%	18 3%	46 3%	10 4%	5 7%	3 1%	19 2%	16 2%	17 3%
All Acceptable	1124 54%	738 52%	386 60% R	177 63% R	275 59% R	802 56% W	322 50%	582 51%	410 58% X	331 59% X	944 54%	140 53%	40 53%	272 87% e	493 41%	685 64% g	242 39%
All Unacceptable	604 29%	431 30%	174 27%	66 24%	128 27%	420 29%	185 29%	368 32% YZ	183 26%	132 24%	506 29%	78 29%	21 27%	13 4%	537 45% d	247 23%	275 45% f
Net Acceptable	520 25%	307 21%	213 33% R	111 39% RSU	147 32% R	382 27% W	137 21%	213 19%	227 32% X	199 36% X	438 25%	62 24%	19 26%	259 83% e	-44 -4%	438 41% g	-34 -5%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 23

3.4 Meat that has been treated with lactic acid in this way does not look or taste different from untreated meat. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Mean	0.28	0.23	0.37 _R	0.45 _R	0.34	0.31	0.21	0.18	0.38 _X	0.45 _X	0.28	0.25	0.23	1.15 _e	-0.12	0.52 _g	-0.19
Standard Deviation	1.20	1.20	1.21	1.23	1.20	1.22	1.16	1.21	1.19	1.16	1.21	1.13	1.26	0.78	1.24	1.12	1.26



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 23

3.4 Meat that has been treated with lactic acid in this way does not look or taste different from untreated meat. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely acceptable (2)	234 11%	216 11%	18 20% h	165 11%	26 15% i	14 7%	22 9%	92 14%	94 9%	49 12%
Acceptable (1)	890 43%	855 43%	35 38%	624 42%	81 46%	87 45%	109 47%	257 40%	458 44%	175 43%
I have no feelings either way (0)	264 13%	249 13%	15 16%	190 13%	20 11%	27 14%	20 9%	76 12%	147 14%	40 10%
Unacceptable (-1)	404 19%	391 20%	13 14%	295 20% k	21 12%	47 24% k	39 17%	122 19%	201 19%	81 20%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	200 10%	196 10%	4 4%	138 9%	22 13%	14 7%	30 13%	73 11%	88 8%	39 10%
It depends	26 1%	26 1%	-	19 1%	1	3 2%	2 1%	10 2%	10 1%	6 1%
Don't know	60 3%	53 3%	7 8% h	45 3%	4 2%	2 1%	9 4%	6 1%	40 4%	13 3%
All Acceptable	1124 54%	1070 54%	54 58%	789 53%	108 61%	101 52%	131 57%	349 55%	551 53%	224 56%
All Unacceptable	604 29%	587 30%	17 18%	433 29%	43 25%	61 31%	69 30%	195 31%	289 28%	120 30%
Net Acceptable	520 25%	483 24%	36 39% h	356 24%	64 37% jj	40 21%	62 27%	154 24%	262 25%	104 26%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 23

3.4 Meat that has been treated with lactic acid in this way does not look or taste different from untreated meat. Now you know this, how acceptable or unacceptable do you think it would be to treat meat in this way to reduce the risk of food poisoning?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Mean	0.28	0.26	0.59 _h	0.27	0.40	0.21	0.24	0.28	0.27	0.30
Standard Deviation	1.20	1.20	1.12	1.20	1.26	1.12	1.25	1.26	1.15	1.22

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 24

3.5 Did the respondent seem to you to be considering the extra information at 3.2 - 3.4 or do you think he/she already made his/her mind up and wasn't going to think about changing his/her opinion?

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Considered information	1660 80%	718 78%	942 81%	120 82%	268 84% H	310 81%	269 80%	273 80%	419 76%	457 80%	158 75%	114 78%	113 87% JM	366 77%	1511 79%	34 90%	67 86%
Already made up mind	418 20%	203 22%	215 19%	26 18%	52 16%	71 19%	67 20%	66 20%	135 24% D	112 20%	54 25% L	32 22%	16 13%	109 23% L	391 21%	4 10%	11 14%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 24

3.5 Did the respondent seem to you to be considering the extra information at 3.2 - 3.4 or do you think he/she already made his/her mind up and wasn't going to think about changing his/her opinion?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Considered information	1660 80%	1116 78%	544 84% R	238 84% R	389 83% R	1167 81% W	493 76%	888 78%	585 83% X	461 83% X	1387 80%	213 81%	59 78%	249 79%	929 77%	904 85% g	440 72%
Already made up mind	418 20%	315 22% STU	103 16%	44 16%	78 17%	266 19%	153 24% V	256 22% YZ	123 17%	96 17%	351 20%	51 19%	17 22%	65 21%	274 23%	162 15%	173 28% f



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 24

3.5 Did the respondent seem to you to be considering the extra information at 3.2 - 3.4 or do you think he/she already made his/her mind up and wasn't going to think about changing his/her opinion?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Considered information	1660 80%	1597 80% i	63 67%	1173 79%	140 79%	166 86%	193 84%	512 80%	829 80%	319 79%
Already made up mind	418 20%	388 20%	31 33% h	303 21%	36 21%	28 14%	38 16%	125 20%	209 20%	84 21%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 25

3.6 Is there any other information about lactic acid treatment that would be useful to you in deciding whether it is acceptable or not? IF YES What information is that?

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
No	1342 65%	614 67%	728 63%	111 76% FG	208 65%	244 64%	199 59%	213 63%	365 66%	320 56%	135 64%	98 67% I	94 72% I	341 72% I	1235 65%	20 52%	47 59%
Yes, but don't know what	277 13%	112 12%	166 14%	7 5%	51 16% CE	38 10%	35 10%	51 15% C	96 17% CEF	73 13%	29 14%	16 11%	20 16%	66 14%	252 13%	9 22%	9 11%
Yes, and does know what	459 22%	196 21%	263 23%	29 20%	61 19%	99 26% H	102 30% DGH	75 22%	93 17%	177 31% LM	48 23% M	32 22%	16 12%	69 14%	415 22%	9 25%	23 29%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 25

3.6 Is there any other information about lactic acid treatment that would be useful to you in deciding whether it is acceptable or not? IF YES What information is that?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
No	1342 65%	937 66%	405 63%	178 63%	296 63%	922 64%	420 65%	747 65%	451 64%	360 65%	1123 65%	170 64%	49 64%	211 67%	803 67%	673 63%	432 70% f
Yes, but don't know what	277 13%	190 13%	88 14% U	47 17% U	54 12%	165 12%	112 17% V	165 14%	82 12%	69 12%	234 13%	34 13%	9 12%	43 14%	135 11%	150 14%	68 11%
Yes, and does know what	459 22%	304 21%	155 24%	57 20%	117 25%	345 24% W	114 18%	233 20%	175 25%	128 23%	380 22%	61 23%	18 23%	60 19%	265 22%	243 23%	114 19%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 25

3.6 Is there any other information about lactic acid treatment that would be useful to you in deciding whether it is acceptable or not? IF YES What information is that?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
No	1342 65%	70 75%	954 65% m	124 71% m	117 60%	124 54%	401 63%	647 62%	294 73%
Yes, but don't know what	277 13%	8 8%	193 13%	15 9%	35 18% k	64 28% jkl	68 11%	149 14%	60 15%
Yes, and does know what	459 22%	15 16%	331 22%	36 21%	42 21%	43 18%	168 26%	243 23%	49 12%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 26

3.7 How strongly would you support or oppose the use of lactic acid treatment on raw chicken?

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Strongly support (2)	197 9%	94 10%	103 9%	31 21% EFGH	43 13% GH	44 11% H	28 8%	24 7%	28 5%	31 6%	19 9%	24 16% IL	8 6%	56 12% I	156 8%	8 20% O	20 26% O
Support (1)	713 34%	326 35%	387 33%	49 33%	111 35%	135 35%	116 35%	106 31%	197 36%	198 35%	68 32%	55 38%	52 40%	158 33%	661 35%	10 27%	27 34%
Neither support nor oppose (0)	404 19%	185 20%	219 19%	30 21%	60 19%	68 18%	75 22%	74 22%	96 17%	130 23%	46 21%	23 16%	20 16%	84 18%	376 20% Q	10 27% Q	6 8%
Oppose (-1)	369 18%	156 17%	213 18%	20 14%	46 14%	64 17%	69 21%	60 18%	107 19%	107 19%	33 16%	18 12%	32 25% K	87 18%	350 18%	4 10%	11 14%
Strongly oppose (-2)	296 14%	125 14%	171 15%	12 8%	46 14%	50 13%	43 13%	62 18% C	83 15%	85 15%	34 16%	22 15%	13 10%	62 13%	272 14%	3 7%	8 10%
It depends	36 2%	12 1%	23 2%	3 2%	2 1%	6 1%	1 *	3 1%	21 4% DFG	11 2%	7 3%	2 1%	3 2%	4 1%	36 2%	-	-
Don't know	63 3%	23 3%	40 3%	3 2%	12 4%	14 4%	4 1%	8 2%	22 4% F	8 1%	6 3%	3 2%	1 1%	24 5% I	51 3%	3 7%	7 8% O
All support	910 44%	420 46%	490 42%	79 54% GH	154 48% G	178 47%	144 43%	130 38%	224 40%	229 40%	87 41%	79 54% IJ	60 46%	214 45%	818 43%	18 47%	47 60% O
All oppose	665 32%	281 30%	385 33%	32 22%	91 29%	115 30%	113 34%	123 36% C	190 34% C	192 34%	67 32%	40 27%	45 35%	150 31%	622 33%	7 17%	19 24%
Net support	245 12%	139 15% B	105 9%	48 32% DEFG H	63 20% FGH	63 17% FGH	31 9% G	8 2%	34 6% G	38 7%	20 10%	39 26% IJLM	15 12%	65 14% I	196 10%	11 30% O	28 36% O



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 26

3.7 How strongly would you support or oppose the use of lactic acid treatment on raw chicken?

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Mean	0.07	0.12	0.03	0.47 FGH	0.19 GH	0.16 GH	0.05	-0.09	-0.04	-0.03	0.03	0.29 I	0.08	0.13	0.04	0.46	0.57 O
Standard Deviation	1.24	1.23	1.25	1.21	1.28	1.25	1.19	1.25	1.21	1.18	1.25	1.32	1.16	1.26	1.22	1.19	1.34



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 26

3.7 How strongly would you support or oppose the use of lactic acid treatment on raw chicken?

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Strongly support (2)	197 9%	116 8%	80 12% R	40 14% R	57 12% R	161 11% W	35 5%	89 8%	84 12% X	61 11%	177 10% b	15 6%	5 6%	85 27% e	64 5%	131 12% g	45 7%
Support (1)	713 34%	495 35%	218 34%	99 35%	156 33%	484 34%	229 35%	373 33%	258 36%	216 39% X	601 35%	92 35%	21 28%	152 48% e	320 27%	427 40% g	149 24%
Neither support nor oppose (0)	404 19%	273 19%	131 20%	54 19%	102 22%	299 21% W	105 16%	225 20%	123 17%	107 19%	332 19%	57 21%	16 21%	46 14%	211 18%	207 19%	92 15%
Oppose (-1)	369 18%	260 18%	109 17%	37 13%	80 17%	242 17%	127 20%	216 19%	121 17%	88 16%	307 18%	47 18%	16 21%	18 6%	309 26% d	158 15%	150 24% f
Strongly oppose (-2)	296 14%	224 16% STU	72 11%	26 9%	53 11%	197 14%	99 15%	189 17% YZ	84 12%	54 10%	248 14%	40 15%	8 11%	4 1%	267 22% d	107 10%	152 25% f
It depends	36 2%	28 2%	8 1%	5 2%	5 1%	14 1%	22 3% V	19 2%	13 2%	11 2%	28 2%	6 2%	3 3%	5 1%	11 1%	15 1%	12 2%
Don't know	63 3%	34 2%	29 5% RU	20 7% RSU	14 3%	35 2%	28 4%	33 3%	25 3%	21 4%	46 3%	9 3%	8 10% ab	6 2%	20 2%	21 2%	14 2%
All support	910 44%	612 43%	298 46%	140 49%	213 46%	646 45%	264 41%	462 40%	342 48% X	277 50% X	778 45%	106 40%	26 34%	236 75% e	385 32%	558 52% g	195 32%
All oppose	665 32%	484 34% ST	181 28% T	63 22%	133 28%	439 31%	226 35%	405 35% YZ	205 29%	142 26%	555 32%	87 33%	24 31%	22 7%	576 48% d	266 25%	301 49% f
Net support	245 12%	127 9%	117 18% R	76 27% RSU	81 17% R	207 14% W	38 6%	57 5%	137 19% X	134 24% XY	223 13% bc	20 7%	2 3%	215 68% e	-192 -16%	293 27% g	-107 -17%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 26

3.7 How strongly would you support or oppose the use of lactic acid treatment on raw chicken?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Mean	0.07	0.01	0.21 _R	0.35 _{RS}	0.19 _R	0.12 _W	-0.04	-0.04	0.21 _X	0.27 _X	0.09	-0.02	-0.02	0.97 _e	-0.34	0.31 _g	-0.36
Standard Deviation	1.24	1.24	1.22	1.21	1.22	1.24	1.23	1.25	1.24	1.18	1.25	1.20	1.17	0.88	1.25	1.18	1.31

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 26

3.7 How strongly would you support or oppose the use of lactic acid treatment on raw chicken?

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Strongly support (2)	197 9%	189 10%	8 8%	143 10% m	19 11% m	14 7% m	6 3%	61 10%	84 8%	51 13%
Support (1)	713 34%	685 35%	28 30%	497 34%	70 40%	65 33%	94 41% j	221 35%	361 35%	131 33%
Neither support nor oppose (0)	404 19%	375 19%	29 31% h	295 20%	25 14%	39 20%	43 19%	127 20%	211 20%	66 16%
Oppose (-1)	369 18%	355 18%	14 15%	268 18%	24 14%	37 19%	38 16%	116 18%	177 17%	76 19%
Strongly oppose (-2)	296 14%	289 15%	7 7%	210 14%	25 14%	28 14%	33 14%	94 15%	146 14%	56 14%
It depends	36 2%	34 2%	2 2%	24 2%	3 2%	5 2%	6 3%	10 2%	20 2%	6 2%
Don't know	63 3%	58 3%	5 5%	40 3%	10 5%	6 3%	10 5%	8 1%	39 4%	16 4%
All support	910 44%	874 44%	36 39%	640 43%	89 51%	79 40%	100 43%	282 44%	446 43%	183 45%
All oppose	665 32%	644 32%	21 22%	478 32%	49 28%	65 33%	71 31%	210 33%	324 31%	131 33%
Net support	245 12%	230 12%	15 16%	161 11%	40 23% jlm	14 7%	30 13%	71 11%	122 12%	51 13%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 26

3.7 How strongly would you support or oppose the use of lactic acid treatment on raw chicken?

Base: All

	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Mean	0.07	0.07	0.19	0.07	0.21	*	0.01	0.06	0.06	0.12
Standard Deviation	1.24	1.25	1.07	1.24	1.27	1.22	1.16	1.24	1.22	1.29

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 27

3.7 How strongly would you support or oppose the use of lactic acid treatment on raw beef?

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Strongly support (2)	147 7%	68 7%	78 7%	21 14% GH	36 11% GH	37 10% GH	23 7% H	11 3%	17 3%	28 5%	13 6%	13 9%	5 4%	41 9% I	105 5%	8 20% O	22 28% O
Support (1)	694 33%	322 35%	372 32%	57 39%	95 30%	127 33%	112 33%	110 32%	194 35%	186 33%	65 31%	61 42%	50 39%	159 33%	650 34%	9 22%	22 28%
Neither support nor oppose (0)	462 22%	206 22%	256 22%	36 25%	72 23%	83 22%	80 24%	79 23%	113 20%	139 24%	49 23%	26 18%	26 20%	97 20%	423 22%	14 38% OQ	11 14%
Oppose (-1)	381 18%	161 17%	220 19%	18 12%	54 17%	67 18%	71 21%	63 18%	106 19%	113 20%	41 19%	22 15%	32 25%	86 18%	361 19%	4 10%	11 14%
Strongly oppose (-2)	297 14%	128 14%	169 15%	12 8%	46 14%	52 14%	43 13%	63 19% C	82 15%	85 15%	34 16%	20 14%	14 11%	62 13%	276 15%	2 5%	7 8%
It depends	30 1%	13 1%	17 1%	1 1%	2 1%	4 1%	2 1%	3 1%	18 3% DF	9 2%	7 3% M	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%	30 2%	- -	- -
Don't know	67 3%	24 3%	43 4%	3 2%	14 4%	11 3%	5 2%	10 3%	24 4% F	10 2%	3 1%	2 1%	1 1%	28 6% IJ	57 3%	2 5%	6 8%
All support	840 40%	390 42%	451 39%	77 53% GH	131 41%	164 43%	135 40%	121 36%	212 38%	213 37%	79 37%	74 51% IJ	55 43%	200 42%	755 40%	16 43%	44 56% O
All oppose	678 33%	288 31%	389 34%	29 20%	100 31%	119 31%	114 34% C	126 37% C	188 34% C	198 35%	75 36%	42 29%	46 35%	148 31%	637 33% P	6 15%	18 23%
Net support	163 8%	101 11% B	62 5%	48 33% DEFG H	30 10% GH	45 12% FGH	21 6% G	-4 -1%	24 4% G	16 3%	3 1%	33 22% IJLM	9 7% IJ	52 11% IJ	118 6%	10 28% O	26 33% O



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 27

3.7 How strongly would you support or oppose the use of lactic acid treatment on raw beef?

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Mean	0.01	0.05	-0.03	0.40 DEF GH	0.07 G	0.08 G	0.01	-0.17	-0.08	-0.08	-0.09	0.18	*	0.07	-0.03	0.45	0.57 O
Standard Deviation	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.13	1.25	1.23	1.17	1.19	1.17	1.16	1.21	1.23	1.12	1.22	1.18	1.11	1.32

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 27

3.7 How strongly would you support or oppose the use of lactic acid treatment on raw beef?

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Strongly support (2)	147 7%	78 5%	69 11% R	40 14% RS	50 11% R	124 9% W	22 3%	68 6%	64 9% X	42 8%	133 8% b	9 3%	5 7%	61 19% e	50 4%	101 9% g	26 4%
Support (1)	694 33%	482 34%	212 33%	93 33%	148 32%	469 33%	225 35%	356 31%	258 36%	222 40% X	582 33%	89 34%	23 31%	172 55% e	294 24%	419 39% g	155 25%
Neither support nor oppose (0)	462 22%	316 22%	146 23%	61 22%	112 24%	338 24% W	124 19%	260 23%	141 20%	114 21%	380 22%	65 24%	18 23%	54 17%	239 20%	246 23% g	101 16%
Oppose (-1)	381 18%	269 19% T	112 17% T	36 13%	81 17%	252 18%	128 20%	223 19%	122 17%	91 16%	319 18%	48 18%	14 19%	18 6%	319 27% d	165 15%	147 24% f
Strongly oppose (-2)	297 14%	222 16% S	75 12%	31 11%	55 12%	199 14%	97 15%	190 17% YZ	85 12%	53 10%	247 14%	41 15%	9 12%	4 1%	268 22% d	106 10%	157 26% f
It depends	30 1%	25 2%	6 1%	3 1%	5 1%	12 1%	18 3% V	15 1%	11 2%	11 2%	25 1%	3 1%	3 3%	1 *	12 1%	10 1%	10 2%
Don't know	67 3%	39 3%	28 4% U	19 7% RU	15 3%	37 3%	31 5% V	34 3%	28 4%	23 4%	53 3%	11 4%	4 5%	5 2%	20 2%	21 2%	17 3%
All support	840 40%	560 39%	281 43%	133 47% R	198 42%	594 41%	247 38%	424 37%	321 45% X	264 47% X	715 41%	97 37%	28 37%	233 74% e	345 29%	520 49% g	181 30%
All oppose	678 33%	491 34% ST	187 29% T	66 24%	136 29%	452 32%	226 35%	412 36% YZ	206 29%	145 26%	566 33%	89 34%	23 31%	21 7%	587 49% d	270 25%	304 50% f
Net support	163 8%	69 5%	94 15% R	67 24% RSU	61 13% R	142 10% W	21 3%	11 1%	115 16% X	119 21% XY	149 9% b	9 3%	5 6%	212 67%	-243 -20%	249 23% g	-123 -20%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 27

3.7 How strongly would you support or oppose the use of lactic acid treatment on raw beef?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Mean	0.01	-0.06	0.14 R	0.29 RS	0.13 R	0.05 W	-0.09	-0.10	0.14 X	0.21 X	0.02	-0.09	0.01	0.87 e	-0.39	0.24 g	-0.43
Standard Deviation	1.20	1.19	1.20	1.22	1.20	1.21	1.18	1.21	1.20	1.14	1.21	1.15	1.18	0.83	1.21	1.14	1.26

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 27

3.7 How strongly would you support or oppose the use of lactic acid treatment on raw beef?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Strongly support (2)	147 7%	139 7%	7 8%	111 8% m	8 5%	12 6%	6 2%	41 6%	66 6%	40 10%
Support (1)	694 33%	669 34%	25 27%	478 32%	75 43% j	61 31%	89 39%	205 32%	353 34%	136 34%
Neither support nor oppose (0)	462 22%	431 22%	31 33% h	340 23%	29 16%	39 20%	49 21%	152 24%	235 23%	75 19%
Oppose (-1)	381 18%	363 18%	18 19%	273 18%	27 16%	43 22%	36 16%	122 19%	191 18%	68 17%
Strongly oppose (-2)	297 14%	292 15% i	5 5%	209 14%	27 16%	27 14%	33 14%	100 16%	140 13%	58 14%
It depends	30 1%	28 1%	2 2%	20 1%	2 1%	6 3%	7 3% j	9 1%	17 2%	5 1%
Don't know	67 3%	62 3%	5 5%	46 3%	7 4%	6 3%	11 5%	8 1%	38 4%	22 5%
All support	840 40%	808 41%	32 35%	589 40%	83 47%	73 38%	95 41%	247 39%	418 40%	175 44%
All oppose	678 33%	655 33%	23 24%	482 33%	55 31%	70 36%	69 30%	221 35%	331 32%	126 31%
Net support	163 8%	153 8%	10 10%	107 7% i	29 16% j	3 2%	26 11% j	25 4%	87 8%	50 12%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 27

3.7 How strongly would you support or oppose the use of lactic acid treatment on raw beef?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Mean	0.01	.	0.14	0.01	0.06	-0.07	-0.01	-0.05	0.01	0.08
Standard Deviation	1.20	1.21	1.03	1.20	1.21	1.20	1.15	1.20	1.18	1.25

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 28

3.8 Suppose you were buying chicken in a shop and were offered a choice between raw chicken that had been treated with lactic acid, and had a lower risk of food poisoning, and raw chicken that had just been washed in water. Which do you think you would buy?

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078		129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078		147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Buy treated	785 38%	431 37%	73 50% DEF	110 34%	131 34%	117 35%	128 38%	225 41%	177 31%	76 36%	58 40%	51 39%	203 43% I	702 37%	16 43%	41 52% O
Buy untreated	937 45%	549 47% A	57 39%	151 47%	178 47%	159 47%	158 47%	232 42%	276 48%	93 44%	69 48%	63 48%	207 44%	864 45%	18 47%	27 34%
Not sure	356 17%	177 15% B	16 11%	59 18%	73 19%	59 18%	53 16%	97 17%	117 21% M	42 20%	18 12%	16 13%	65 14%	336 18%	4 10%	10 13%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 28

3.8 Suppose you were buying chicken in a shop and were offered a choice between raw chicken that had been treated with lactic acid, and had a lower risk of food poisoning, and raw chicken that had just been washed in water. Which do you think you would buy?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Buy treated	785 38%	548 38%	237 37%	111 39%	159 34%	525 37%	259 40%	402 35%	292 41% X	234 42% X	658 38%	103 39%	23 31%	196 62% e	328 27%	457 43% g	192 31%
Buy untreated	937 45%	644 45%	293 45%	113 40%	224 48% ST	661 46%	276 43%	544 48% Z	307 43%	221 40%	788 45%	113 43%	36 48%	53 17%	731 61% d	429 40%	352 57% f
Not sure	356 17%	238 17%	118 18%	58 21%	83 18%	246 17%	110 17%	199 17%	109 15%	102 18%	293 17%	48 18%	16 21%	65 21% e	144 12%	180 17% g	70 11%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 28

3.8 Suppose you were buying chicken in a shop and were offered a choice between raw chicken that had been treated with lactic acid, and had a lower risk of food poisoning, and raw chicken that had just been washed in water. Which do you think you would buy?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Buy treated	785 38%	758 38%	26 28%	544 37%	82 47% j	75 38%	87 38%	212 33%	400 38%	172 43%
Buy untreated	937 45%	900 45%	37 40%	682 46% k	63 36%	83 43%	100 43%	315 50%	455 44%	166 41%
Not sure	356 17%	327 16%	30 32% h	250 17%	30 17%	37 19%	44 19%	109 17%	183 18%	64 16%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 29

4.1 As well as labels saying what the product is, and the price, and any special offer labels, packs of meat in shops often have labels with other information. When buying raw meat in the supermarket how often do you look at these other labels?

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Always	679 33%	281 30%	398 34%	44 30%	102 32%	148 39% F	94 28%	107 31%	182 33%	186 33%	61 29%	45 31%	45 35%	149 31%	597 31%	13 35%	43 55% O
Usually	360 17%	154 17%	206 18%	21 14%	64 20%	63 17%	62 18%	61 18%	90 16%	107 19%	39 18%	22 15%	16 12%	70 15%	344 18%	6 15%	11 13%
Sometimes	454 22%	208 23%	246 21%	32 22%	59 18%	93 25%	85 25%	74 22%	111 20%	129 23%	59 28% K	25 17%	27 21%	100 21%	428 23% Q	9 22% Q	5 7%
Or never	462 22%	219 24%	242 21%	47 32% EH	83 26% E	62 16%	83 25% E	76 22%	112 20%	107 19%	44 21%	48 33% IJ	32 25%	128 27% I	425 22%	9 25%	9 11%
Not applicable/no labels	123 6%	59 6%	64 6%	3 2%	12 4%	14 4%	12 4%	23 7%	59 11% CDEF	41 7%	10 5%	6 4%	9 7%	27 6%	109 6%	1 2%	11 14% O

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 29

4.1 As well as labels saying what the product is, and the price, and any special offer labels, packs of meat in shops often have labels with other information. When buying raw meat in the supermarket how often do you look at these other labels?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Always	679 33%	446 31%	233 36%	97 34%	175 38% R	460 32%	219 34%	405 35% Z	228 32% Z	149 27%	570 33%	74 28%	34 45% ab	118 38%	389 32%	350 33%	208 34%
Usually	360 17%	247 17%	113 17% T	38 13%	83 18%	250 17%	110 17%	214 19%	116 16%	89 16%	295 17%	53 20%	11 15%	49 15%	202 17%	190 18%	107 17%
Sometimes	454 22%	320 22%	134 21%	62 22%	91 20%	327 23%	127 20%	253 22%	164 23%	118 21%	385 22%	57 22%	13 17%	63 20%	284 24%	237 22%	126 21%
Or never	462 22%	319 22%	143 22%	71 25%	102 22%	333 23%	129 20%	213 19%	174 25% X	156 28% X	394 23%	56 21%	12 15%	65 21%	254 21%	234 22%	138 22%
Not applicable/no labels	123 6%	99 7% SU	24 4%	15 5%	15 3%	63 4%	60 9% V	60 5%	26 4%	45 8% Y	94 5%	24 9% a	6 8%	19 6%	75 6%	56 5%	35 6%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 29

4.1 As well as labels saying what the product is, and the price, and any special offer labels, packs of meat in shops often have labels with other information.
When buying raw meat in the supermarket how often do you look at these other labels?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Always	679 33%	661 33% i	18 20%	482 33%	65 37%	55 28%	68 30%	208 33%	291 28%	180 45%
Usually	360 17%	343 17%	17 19%	256 17%	25 14%	43 22%	41 18%	121 19%	195 19%	45 11%
Sometimes	454 22%	440 22%	14 15%	320 22%	39 22%	44 23%	55 24%	146 23%	235 23%	73 18%
Or never	462 22%	423 21%	39 42% h	327 22%	39 22%	44 23%	59 25%	127 20%	262 25%	72 18%
Not applicable/no labels	123 6%	119 6%	4 5%	92 6%	8 5%	8 4%	9 4%	35 6%	55 5%	33 8%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 30

4.2 What sorts of things are you usually looking for on the labels? Any others?

Base: All who ever look at labels

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	1503	602	901	88	224	306	238	240	406	379	168	104	78	324	1402	24	49
Weighted Base	1493	643	850	97*	225	305	241	241	383	422	158	92*	88*	320	1369	28**	59*
For best before dates	976 65%	444 69% B	532 63%	68 70%	147 66%	198 65%	147 61%	170 70%	244 64%	244 58%	97 61%	63 68%	64 73% I	216 68% I	881 64%	23 83%	45 76%
For ingredients	425 28%	172 27%	253 30%	27 28%	76 34%	78 26%	62 26%	78 33%	103 27%	102 24%	46 29%	26 28%	27 31%	85 27%	389 28%	7 24%	16 27%
For nutritional value	312 21%	126 20%	186 22%	21 21%	57 25% H	75 25% H	50 21%	55 23% H	54 14%	100 24% M	52 33% LM	18 20%	16 18%	41 13%	277 20%	6 21%	15 25%
To see where it's come from	658 44%	267 42%	391 46%	31 32%	84 37%	130 43%	125 52% CD	106 44%	182 48% CD	214 51% LM	68 43%	41 45%	28 32%	118 37%	611 45%	11 41%	21 35%
To see if it's organic	264 18%	112 17%	152 18%	19 20% H	53 23% H	65 21% H	52 22% H	38 16% H	37 10%	91 22% M	22 14%	14 15%	12 14%	35 11%	234 17%	4 14%	13 22%
To see if it's free range/ barn	364 24%	137 21%	227 27% A	19 20%	64 28% GH	103 34% GH	63 26%	44 18%	71 19%	127 30% KM	36 23%	16 17%	23 27%	50 16%	343 25%	5 17%	9 14%
To see if it is whole meat/ recovered meat	181 12%	93 14% B	89 10%	9 9%	28 12%	52 17% H	29 12%	28 12%	36 9%	55 13%	17 11%	10 11%	5 6%	31 10%	174 13%	2 7%	4 6%
Any other reason	370 25%	154 24%	216 25%	25 26%	59 26%	75 25%	71 29%	52 22%	86 23%	117 28%	38 24%	18 19%	19 21%	84 26%	327 24%	9 31%	22 37%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 30

4.2 What sorts of things are you usually looking for on the labels? Any others?

Base: All who ever look at labels

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	1503	1028	475	195	347	1024	479	939	463	332	1248	196	59	223	884	769	455
Weighted Base	1493	1012	480	197	350	1037	456	872	508	356	1250	184	58*	230	875	776	441
For best before dates	976 65%	657 65%	319 66%	127 65%	232 66%	680 66%	295 65%	563 65%	340 67%	246 69%	811 65%	126 68%	39 66%	169 74% e	557 64%	500 64%	282 64%
For ingredients	425 28%	280 28%	145 30%	56 29%	99 28%	296 29%	129 28%	245 28%	150 29%	106 30%	375 30% b	36 20%	14 24%	66 29%	248 28%	208 27%	133 30%
For nutritional value	312 21%	207 20%	105 22%	43 22%	79 23%	242 23% W	70 15%	175 20%	104 20%	80 23%	262 21%	37 20%	13 22%	46 20%	177 20%	160 21%	93 21%
To see where it's come from	658 44%	438 43%	220 46%	82 42%	166 47%	435 42%	223 49% V	398 46%	219 43%	153 43%	546 44%	91 50%	21 37%	102 44%	389 45%	364 47%	188 43%
To see if it's organic	264 18%	164 16%	100 21%	34 17%	80 23% R	221 21% W	43 10%	157 18%	91 18%	79 22%	218 17%	34 19%	12 21%	42 18%	167 19%	157 20%	68 15%
To see if it's free range/ barn	364 24%	222 22%	141 29% R	52 26%	106 30% R	279 27% W	85 19%	217 25%	128 25%	93 26%	301 24%	48 26%	15 26%	56 24%	215 25%	208 27% g	89 20%
To see if it is whole meat/ recovered meat	181 12%	106 10%	76 16% R	26 13%	55 16% R	136 13%	45 10%	101 12%	68 13%	52 15%	157 13%	19 11%	6 9%	36 15%	103 12%	99 13%	53 12%
Any other reason	370 25%	241 24%	129 27%	46 24%	98 28%	261 25%	109 24%	213 24%	127 25%	88 25%	328 26% b	30 16%	11 19%	58 25%	216 25%	181 23%	114 26%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 30

4.2 What sorts of things are you usually looking for on the labels? Any others?

Base: All who ever look at labels

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	1503	1458	45	1032	130	148	193	463	747	293
Weighted Base	1493	1443	50*	1058	129*	142	164	474	721	297
For best before dates	976 65%	945 66%	31 61%	673 64%	102 79% jl	94 66%	125 76% jl	282 60%	475 66%	218 73%
For ingredients	425 28%	408 28%	17 35%	311 29%	28 22%	39 27%	40 25%	140 29%	198 28%	87 29%
For nutritional value	312 21%	299 21%	13 27%	230 22% m	20 16%	30 21%	22 13%	125 26%	133 18%	54 18%
To see where it's come from	658 44%	647 45% i	11 23%	474 45% m	52 40%	64 45% m	55 33%	239 50%	334 46%	86 29%
To see if it's organic	264 18%	261 18%	3 6%	200 19% k	12 9%	19 14%	23 14%	94 20%	133 18%	37 12%
To see if it's free range/ barn	364 24%	359 25%	5 10%	268 25% lm	34 26% lm	18 13%	17 10%	149 31%	169 23%	46 15%
To see if it is whole meat/ recovered meat	181 12%	181 13%	1 2%	124 12%	19 15%	14 10%	35 22% jl	76 16%	77 11%	28 9%
Any other reason	370 25%	351 24%	18 36%	267 25% m	27 21%	39 27% m	26 16%	129 27%	158 22%	82 28%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m

* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 31

4.3 If the lactic acid treatment we have just been talking about is used by some meat suppliers to reduce the risk of food poisoning from their meat, how important or unimportant do you think it is that this should be labelled on the packaging?

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Very important (3)	1625 78%	688 75%	937 81% A	98 67%	232 73%	295 77%	286 85% CDEH	280 82% CD	433 78% C	428 75%	165 78%	112 77%	91 70%	386 81% L	1488 78%	27 70%	66 85%
Fairly important (2)	359 17%	180 19% B	180 16%	35 24% F	72 22% FG	67 18%	41 12%	50 15%	95 17%	112 20%	36 17%	24 17%	38 29% JKM	71 15%	330 17%	8 20%	12 15%
Not very important (1)	75 4%	42 5%	33 3%	13 9% FG	12 4%	15 4%	8 2%	6 2%	22 4%	25 4%	7 4%	9 6% LM	1 1%	11 2%	67 4%	3 7% Q	-
Not at all important (0)	18 1%	11 1%	6 1%	1 1%	4 1%	4 1%	1 *	4 1%	5 1%	4 1%	3 1%	-	-	7 1%	17 1%	1 2%	-
All important	1985 96%	868 94%	1117 97% A	133 91%	304 95%	362 95%	327 97% C	330 97% C	528 95%	540 95%	201 95%	136 94%	129 99% K	457 96%	1818 96%	34 90%	79 100% P
All unimportant	93 4%	53 6% B	40 3%	14 9% FG	15 5%	19 5%	9 3%	10 3%	26 5%	30 5%	11 5%	9 6% L	1 1%	18 4%	84 4%	4 10% Q	-
Net important	1892 91%	815 88%	1077 93% A	119 81%	289 90% C	343 90% C	318 95% CE	320 94% C	501 91% C	510 90%	191 90%	127 87%	128 99% LJKM	439 92%	1735 91% P	30 80%	79 100% OP
Mean	2.73	2.68	2.77 A	2.57	2.67	2.71	2.82 CDE H	2.79 CD	2.73 C	2.69	2.72	2.70	2.70	2.76	2.73	2.58	2.85 P
Standard Deviation	0.57	0.62	0.52	0.68	0.61	0.59	0.46	0.52	0.57	0.59	0.60	0.58	0.48	0.56	0.57	0.75	0.36

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 31

4.3 If the lactic acid treatment we have just been talking about is used by some meat suppliers to reduce the risk of food poisoning from their meat, how important or unimportant do you think it is that this should be labelled on the packaging?

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Very important (3)	1625 78%	1138 80% T	488 75%	200 71%	359 77% T	1115 78%	510 79%	920 80% Z	545 77%	413 74%	1355 78%	211 80%	59 78%	217 69% d	1010 84% d	825 77%	495 81%
Fairly important (2)	359 17%	236 16%	124 19%	63 22% R	82 18%	252 18%	107 17%	183 16%	132 19%	113 20%	307 18%	44 17%	9 12%	74 24% e	161 13%	199 19%	93 15%
Not very important (1)	75 4%	48 3%	28 4%	12 4%	20 4%	53 4%	23 4%	34 3%	26 4%	25 5%	62 4%	9 3%	5 6%	21 7% e	25 2%	32 3%	23 4%
Not at all important (0)	18 1%	10 1%	8 1%	8 3% RS	5 1%	12 1%	6 1%	8 1%	5 1%	6 1%	14 1%	1 .	3 4% ab	1 .	7 1%	10 1%	2 .
All important	1985 96%	1373 96%	612 94%	263 93%	441 95%	1368 95%	617 96%	1103 96%	677 96%	526 94%	1662 96% c	255 96% c	68 90%	292 93%	1171 97% d	1024 96%	589 96%
All unimportant	93 4%	57 4%	36 6%	19 7%	25 5%	65 5%	28 4%	42 4%	31 4%	31 6%	76 4%	9 4%	8 10% ab	23 7% e	32 3%	42 4%	25 4%
Net important	1892 91%	1316 92% T	576 89%	244 86%	416 89%	1303 91%	589 91%	1062 93% Z	646 91%	495 89%	1586 91% c	245 93% c	61 80%	269 86%	1139 95% d	983 92%	564 92%
Mean	2.73	2.75 ST	2.69 T	2.61	2.70 T	2.72	2.74	2.76 Z	2.72	2.67	2.73	2.76	2.64	2.62	2.81 d	2.73	2.76
Standard Deviation	0.57	0.54	0.62	0.70	0.60	0.57	0.56	0.53	0.56	0.61	0.56	0.52	0.77	0.63	0.48	0.56	0.52



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 31

4.3 If the lactic acid treatment we have just been talking about is used by some meat suppliers to reduce the risk of food poisoning from their meat, how important or unimportant do you think it is that this should be labelled on the packaging?

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Very important (3)	1625 78%	1625 82% i	-	1153 78%	141 80%	152 79%	177 77%	496 78%	801 77%	329 82%
Fairly important (2)	359 17%	359 18% i	-	259 18%	23 13%	36 19%	44 19%	107 17%	194 19%	58 14%
Not very important (1)	75 4%	-	75 81% h	55 4%	6 4%	4 2%	10 4%	25 4%	36 3%	14 3%
Not at all important (0)	18 1%	-	18 19% h	10 1%	5 3% jm	2 1%	-	9 1%	7 1%	2 *
All important	1985 96%	1985 100% i	-	1412 96%	165 94%	189 97%	222 96%	603 95%	995 96%	387 96%
All unimportant	93 4%	-	93 100% h	65 4%	11 6%	6 3%	10 4%	34 5%	43 4%	16 4%
Net important	1892 91%	1985 100% i	-93 -100%	1347 91%	153 87%	183 94% k	212 92%	569 89%	952 92%	371 92%
Mean	2.73	2.82 i	0.81	2.73	2.71	2.75	2.73	2.71	2.72	2.77
Standard Deviation	0.57	0.39	0.40	0.56	0.67	0.53	0.53	0.60	0.56	0.52

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 32

4.4 There are a number of reasons why some people think it isn't necessary to label raw meat to show it has been treated with lactic acid. After hearing each one can you say from this card how convincing or unconvincing you think it is

a) there is no need for labelling because the treatment is of no safety concern

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Very convincing (3)	78 4%	44 5%	34 3%	3 2%	12 4%	15 4%	12 4%	11 3%	24 4%	12 2%	8 4%	6 4%	6 4%	17 4%	67 4%	2 5%	6 8%
Fairly convincing (2)	273 13%	121 13%	152 13%	42 29% EFGH	65 20% EFGH	39 10%	27 8%	36 11%	62 11%	78 14%	26 12%	24 17%	31 24% IJM	59 12%	251 13%	7 18%	7 9%
Not very convincing (1)	728 35%	331 36%	397 34%	38 26%	117 37%	117 31%	143 42% CEG	109 32%	202 36%	183 32%	68 32%	57 39%	35 27%	185 39% L	671 35%	14 38%	30 38%
Not convincing at all (0)	908 44%	384 42%	525 45%	58 39%	113 35%	201 53% CDFH	147 44%	167 49% DH	221 40%	281 49% KM	100 47% K	50 34%	55 43%	187 39%	834 44%	15 40%	30 38%
Not sure	91 4%	41 4%	49 4%	5 3%	11 3%	8 2%	7 2%	15 5%	44 8% DEF	15 3%	10 5%	9 6%	3 2%	28 6% I	79 4%	-	5 6%
Mean	0.76	0.80	0.72	0.94 EFG	0.92 EFG H	0.65	0.71	0.67	0.78 E	0.68	0.72	0.90 I	0.90 I	0.79	0.75	0.88	0.86
Standard Deviation	0.83	0.86	0.81	0.90	0.86	0.83	0.77	0.81	0.84	0.80	0.84	0.84	0.92	0.81	0.83	0.88	0.91

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 32

4.4 There are a number of reasons why some people think it isn't necessary to label raw meat to show it has been treated with lactic acid. After hearing each one can you say from this card how convincing or unconvincing you think it is

a) there is no need for labelling because the treatment is of no safety concern

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Very convincing (3)	78 4%	61 4%	17 3%	9 3%	13 3%	47 3%	31 5%	38 3%	31 4%	24 4%	69 4%	7 3%	2 3%	24 8% e	33 3%	43 4%	17 3%
Fairly convincing (2)	273 13%	180 13%	92 14%	56 20% RSU	59 13%	201 14%	72 11%	132 12%	105 15%	97 17% X	239 14%	26 10%	8 11%	63 20% e	122 10%	156 15%	74 12%
Not very convincing (1)	728 35%	502 35%	226 35%	97 34%	157 34%	502 35%	226 35%	416 36%	241 34%	183 33%	601 35%	104 39%	24 31%	113 36%	415 35%	412 39% g	180 29%
Not convincing at all (0)	908 44%	616 43%	292 45% T	111 39%	227 49% ST	643 45%	265 41%	507 44%	310 44%	225 40%	768 44%	105 40%	35 46%	102 33%	606 50% d	424 40%	318 52% f
Not sure	91 4%	70 5% U	20 3%	10 4%	11 2%	40 3%	51 8% V	53 5%	20 3%	27 5% Y	62 4%	21 8% a	7 10% a	13 4%	28 2%	31 3%	25 4%
Mean	0.76	0.77	0.74 U	0.87 SU	0.69	0.75	0.78	0.73	0.79	0.85 X	0.77	0.73	0.66	1.03 e	0.64	0.82 g	0.64
Standard Deviation	0.83	0.84	0.81	0.86	0.80	0.82	0.85	0.81	0.86	0.88	0.84	0.77	0.80	0.93	0.78	0.83	0.81



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 32

4.4 There are a number of reasons why some people think it isn't necessary to label raw meat to show it has been treated with lactic acid. After hearing each one can you say from this card how convincing or unconvincing you think it is

a) there is no need for labelling because the treatment is of no safety concern

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Very convincing (3)	78 4%	68 3%	10 11% h	54 4%	10 6%	5 2%	8 3%	17 3%	24 2%	38 9%
Fairly convincing (2)	273 13%	236 12%	37 39% h	193 13%	27 16%	21 11%	29 13%	77 12%	143 14%	53 13%
Not very convincing (1)	728 35%	706 36%	23 24%	526 36%	47 27%	81 42% km	71 31%	205 32%	394 38%	130 32%
Not convincing at all (0)	908 44%	900 45% i	8 9%	641 43%	83 47%	79 41%	109 47%	324 51%	423 41%	161 40%
Not sure	91 4%	76 4%	15 16% h	63 4%	8 5%	8 4%	14 6%	15 2%	55 5%	21 5%
Mean	0.76	0.72	1.63 h	0.76	0.79	0.74	0.70	0.66	0.76	0.91
Standard Deviation	0.83	0.81	0.85	0.83	0.93	0.76	0.84	0.80	0.79	0.98



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 33

4.4 There are a number of reasons why some people think it isn't necessary to label raw meat to show it has been treated with lactic acid. After hearing each one can you say from this card how convincing or unconvincing you think it is

b) there is no need for labelling because there is already lactic acid in meat and you can't differentiate between lactic acid added in the treatment and the lactic acid that is already naturally present in the meat

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Very convincing (3)	101 5%	62 7% B	39 3%	20 14% EFGH	23 7% H	20 5% H	11 3%	14 4%	12 2%	18 3%	9 4%	9 6%	7 5%	28 6%	85 4%	5 13% O	7 10%
Fairly convincing (2)	337 16%	148 16%	189 16%	35 24% EG	76 24% EFGH	53 14%	50 15%	38 11%	86 15%	87 15%	28 13%	35 24% IJ	24 18%	82 17%	300 16%	7 18%	18 23%
Not very convincing (1)	744 36%	336 36%	408 35%	39 27%	113 35%	120 32%	129 39%	124 37%	216 39% CE	208 36%	67 32%	49 33%	46 35%	177 37%	699 37%	9 22%	20 26%
Not convincing at all (0)	760 37%	324 35%	435 38%	45 31%	95 30%	173 46% CDH	132 39% DH	142 42% DH	171 31%	231 41% KM	91 43% KM	43 29%	45 35%	156 33%	695 37%	16 43%	26 34%
Not sure	136 7%	52 6%	85 7%	7 5%	12 4%	15 4%	14 4%	20 6%	69 12% CDEFG	26 5%	17 8%	10 7%	8 6%	32 7%	124 7%	2 5%	6 8%
Mean	0.89	0.94 B	0.84	1.21 EFG H	1.09 EFG H	0.78	0.81	0.76	0.87	0.80	0.78	1.08 IJ	0.94	0.96 IJ	0.87	1.00	1.09
Standard Deviation	0.87	0.91	0.84	1.05	0.92	0.89	0.82	0.83	0.79	0.82	0.87	0.91	0.89	0.89	0.86	1.09	1.02



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 33

4.4 There are a number of reasons why some people think it isn't necessary to label raw meat to show it has been treated with lactic acid. After hearing each one can you say from this card how convincing or unconvincing you think it is

b) there is no need for labelling because there is already lactic acid in meat and you can't differentiate between lactic acid added in the treatment and the lactic acid that is already naturally present in the meat

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Very convincing (3)	101 5%	64 4%	37 6% U	20 7%	18 4%	84 6% W	17 3%	48 4%	45 6%	34 6%	88 5%	9 3%	4 5%	42 13% e	35 3%	60 6%	29 5%
Fairly convincing (2)	337 16%	222 16%	115 18%	58 21%	82 18%	240 17%	98 15%	170 15%	120 17%	105 19%	278 16%	48 18%	11 15%	80 25% e	155 13%	206 19% g	79 13%
Not very convincing (1)	744 36%	534 37%	210 32%	87 31%	151 32%	506 35%	238 37%	429 37%	241 34%	188 34%	633 36%	91 34%	20 26%	103 33%	430 36%	416 39% g	202 33%
Not convincing at all (0)	760 37%	503 35%	257 40%	103 36%	195 42% R	544 38%	216 33%	419 37%	268 38%	192 34%	634 36%	92 35%	34 45%	71 22%	519 43% d	335 31%	266 43% f
Not sure	136 7%	108 8% SU	28 4%	14 5%	20 4%	59 4%	77 12% V	78 7%	35 5%	38 7%	105 6%	25 9%	6 8%	18 6%	64 5%	49 5%	38 6%
Mean	0.89	0.88	0.89 U	0.98 U	0.83	0.90	0.85	0.86	0.91	0.96	0.89	0.89	0.78	1.32 e	0.74	0.99 g	0.78
Standard Deviation	0.87	0.85	0.91	0.95	0.87	0.90	0.80	0.84	0.91	0.91	0.87	0.85	0.92	0.99	0.81	0.88	0.87



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 33

4.4 There are a number of reasons why some people think it isn't necessary to label raw meat to show it has been treated with lactic acid. After hearing each one can you say from this card how convincing or unconvincing you think it is

b) there is no need for labelling because there is already lactic acid in meat and you can't differentiate between lactic acid added in the treatment and the lactic acid that is already naturally present in the meat

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Very convincing (3)	101 5%	80 4%	21 22% h	67 5%	14 8%	8 4%	10 5%	27 4%	43 4%	30 7%
Fairly convincing (2)	337 16%	317 16%	20 22%	237 16%	33 19%	28 14%	42 18%	90 14%	175 17%	72 18%
Not very convincing (1)	744 36%	720 36%	24 25%	543 37% k	49 28%	67 34%	75 32%	212 33%	399 38%	134 33%
Not convincing at all (0)	760 37%	745 38% i	14 15%	530 36%	74 42%	75 39%	86 37%	282 44%	348 34%	129 32%
Not sure	136 7%	122 6%	14 15% h	100 7%	6 3%	16 8%	18 8%	26 4%	74 7%	37 9%
Mean	0.89	0.86	1.60 h	0.89	0.93	0.82	0.89	0.78	0.91	1.01
Standard Deviation	0.87	0.85	1.07	0.86	0.98	0.86	0.89	0.86	0.85	0.94

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 34

4.4 There are a number of reasons why some people think it isn't necessary to label raw meat to show it has been treated with lactic acid. After hearing each one can you say from this card how convincing or unconvincing you think it is

c) there is no need for labelling because there is no legal requirement to have labels for lactic acid treatment, for example because it doesn't cause allergic reactions in people

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Very convincing (3)	73 4%	33 4%	40 3%	14 9% EFGH	17 5% H	11 3%	11 3%	10 3%	10 2%	8 1%	7 3%	8 5% I	3 2%	22 5% I	60 3%	4 10% O	6 7%
Fairly convincing (2)	235 11%	103 11%	132 11%	23 16% G	54 17% EFG	32 8%	29 9%	26 8%	71 13% G	56 10%	25 12%	22 15%	18 14%	52 11%	206 11%	5 13%	14 18%
Not very convincing (1)	779 37%	354 38%	425 37%	55 37%	130 41%	141 37%	123 37%	112 33%	218 39%	221 39%	66 31%	62 43%	43 33%	193 41% J	724 38%	13 35%	26 34%
Not convincing at all (0)	877 42%	382 41%	495 43%	48 33%	112 35%	182 48% CDH	160 48% CDH	175 52% CDH	197 36%	263 46% KM	98 46% K	46 31%	61 47% K	177 37%	809 43%	14 38%	27 35%
Not sure	115 6%	50 5%	65 6%	6 4%	7 2%	14 4%	14 4%	16 5%	57 10% DEFG	23 4%	15 7%	8 6%	5 4%	31 6%	103 5%	2 5%	5 6%
Mean	0.75	0.76	0.74	1.02 EFG H	0.92 EFG H	0.65	0.66	0.60	0.78 EFG	0.65	0.70	0.94 IJ	0.70	0.82 I	0.73	0.95	0.97 O
Standard Deviation	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.95	0.86	0.77	0.78	0.77	0.76	0.72	0.83	0.85	0.80	0.83	0.79	0.99	0.94

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 34

4.4 There are a number of reasons why some people think it isn't necessary to label raw meat to show it has been treated with lactic acid. After hearing each one can you say from this card how convincing or unconvincing you think it is

c) there is no need for labelling because there is no legal requirement to have labels for lactic acid treatment, for example because it doesn't cause allergic reactions in people

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Very convincing (3)	73 4%	54 4%	19 3%	10 4%	13 3%	61 4% W	12 2%	33 3%	34 5%	26 5%	65 4%	5 2%	3 4%	26 8% e	33 3%	43 4%	21 3%
Fairly convincing (2)	235 11%	157 11%	78 12% U	49 17% RSU	48 10%	157 11%	77 12%	125 11%	85 12%	72 13%	193 11%	30 12%	11 15%	44 14% e	110 9%	128 12%	63 10%
Not very convincing (1)	779 37%	541 38%	238 37%	106 37%	174 37%	532 37%	247 38%	436 38%	260 37%	202 36%	661 38%	97 37%	21 28%	141 45% e	432 36%	426 40%	218 35%
Not convincing at all (0)	877 42%	589 41%	287 44% T	108 38%	213 46% T	635 44% W	241 37%	481 42%	301 42%	230 41%	734 42%	108 41%	35 46%	92 29%	580 48% d	425 40%	283 46% f
Not sure	115 6%	89 6%	26 4%	10 4%	19 4%	47 3%	68 11% V	71 6%	29 4%	28 5%	86 5%	24 9% a	5 7%	10 3%	48 4%	44 4%	29 5%
Mean	0.75	0.76	0.72	0.86 SU	0.69	0.74	0.76	0.73	0.78	0.80	0.75	0.72	0.74	1.01 e	0.65	0.79 g	0.70
Standard Deviation	0.81	0.81	0.80	0.84	0.77	0.83	0.76	0.78	0.85	0.85	0.81	0.76	0.88	0.89	0.77	0.82	0.80



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 34

4.4 There are a number of reasons why some people think it isn't necessary to label raw meat to show it has been treated with lactic acid. After hearing each one can you say from this card how convincing or unconvincing you think it is

c) there is no need for labelling because there is no legal requirement to have labels for lactic acid treatment, for example because it doesn't cause allergic reactions in people
Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (l)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Very convincing (3)	73 4%	10 11% h	50 3%	8 5%	9 5%	6 2%	14 2%	32 3%	27 7%
Fairly convincing (2)	235 11%	21 23% h	165 11%	22 12%	20 10%	33 14%	53 8%	123 12%	58 14%
Not very convincing (1)	779 37%	28 30%	559 38%	61 35%	74 38%	77 33%	215 34%	426 41%	139 34%
Not convincing at all (0)	877 42%	15 16% i	619 42%	81 46%	80 41%	94 41%	332 52%	404 39%	141 35%
Not sure	115 6%	18 19% h	84 6%	4 2%	11 6%	22 9% jk	23 4%	54 5%	38 9%
Mean	0.75	1.36 h	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.76	0.59	0.78	0.92
Standard Deviation	0.81	0.96	0.80	0.85	0.83	0.81	0.74	0.79	0.92

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 35

4.5 On this card are four possible ways that packs of raw meat could be labelled to show that it had been treated with lactic acid. Can you say which of them you think is best, in terms of containing about the right amount of information.

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
a) The label read "this meat has been treated to reduce the risk of food poisoning"	250 12%	123 13%	127 11%	18 12%	44 14% E	25 7%	45 13% E	38 11%	79 14% E	64 11%	15 7%	26 18% J	13 10%	72 15% J	221 12%	9 22%	10 13%
b) The label read "this meat has been sprayed with lactic acid to reduce the risk of food poisoning"	312 15%	152 16%	160 14%	27 18% H	56 18% H	78 20% GH	51 15%	45 13%	56 10%	93 16%	27 13%	17 12%	20 15%	57 12%	282 15%	4 10%	11 14%
c) The label read "this meat has been treated with lactic acid to reduce the risk of food poisoning. The taste and texture of the meat are not affected"	529 25%	240 26%	289 25%	54 37% DEH	67 21%	91 24%	87 26%	93 27%	136 25%	152 27%	62 29%	33 23%	36 28%	118 25%	503 26% P	4 10%	13 16%
d) The label read "this meat has been treated with lactic acid to reduce the risk of food poisoning. The taste and texture of the meat are not affected, and there is no more lactic acid present than occurs naturally in meat"	860 41%	356 39%	504 44%	45 31%	139 44% C	172 45% C	141 42%	136 40%	227 41%	234 41%	99 47%	64 44%	54 42%	177 37%	783 41%	16 43%	37 47%
None of them	69 3%	29 3%	40 3%	2 2%	10 3%	7 2%	8 2%	15 4%	27 5% E	17 3%	7 3%	3 2%	1 1%	27 6%	64 3%	2 5%	4 5%
Don't know	58 3%	21 2%	37 3%	1 1%	3 1%	8 2%	5 1%	13 4%	28 5% DEF	10 2%	2 1%	3 2%	6 4%	25 5% IJ	49 3%	4 10% O	4 5%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 35

4.5 On this card are four possible ways that packs of raw meat could be labelled to show that it had been treated with lactic acid. Can you say which of them you think is best, in terms of containing about the right amount of information.

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
a) The label read "this meat has been treated to reduce the risk of food poisoning"	250 12%	191 13% SU	59 9% U	38 13% SU	34 7%	163 11%	87 13%	134 12%	79 11%	74 13%	216 12%	23 9%	11 14%	50 16% e	122 10%	129 12%	81 13%
b) The label read "this meat has been sprayed with lactic acid to reduce the risk of food poisoning"	312 15%	189 13%	123 19% R	58 20% R	89 19% R	246 17% W	66 10%	164 14%	109 15%	84 15%	256 15%	42 16%	14 18%	47 15%	172 14%	170 16%	86 14%
c) The label read "this meat has been treated with lactic acid to reduce the risk of food poisoning. The taste and texture of the meat are not affected"	529 25%	368 26%	161 25%	63 22%	113 24%	365 25%	164 25%	291 25%	186 26%	150 27%	451 26% c	66 25%	11 15%	80 25%	297 25%	307 29% g	129 21%
d) The label read "this meat has been treated with lactic acid to reduce the risk of food poisoning. The taste and texture of the meat are not affected, and there is no more lactic acid present than occurs naturally in meat"	860 41%	575 40%	284 44%	112 40%	216 46%	596 42%	263 41%	478 42%	295 42%	225 40%	715 41%	109 41%	36 47%	125 40%	532 44%	424 40%	258 42%
None of them	69 3%	60 4% SU	10 1%	4 1%	8 2%	36 2%	34 5% V	43 4%	23 3%	10 2%	53 3%	14 5%	2 3%	4 1%	56 5% d	19 2%	42 7% f
Don't know	58 3%	48 3% U	10 2%	7 3%	6 1%	26 2%	32 5% V	34 3%	16 2%	14 2%	47 3%	8 3%	3 4%	8 3%	25 2%	18 2%	17 3%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 35

4.5 On this card are four possible ways that packs of raw meat could be labelled to show that it had been treated with lactic acid. Can you say which of them you think is best, in terms of containing about the right amount of information.

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
a) The label read "this meat has been treated to reduce the risk of food poisoning"	250 12%	226 11%	24 26% h	173 12%	23 13%	26 13%	39 17% j	57 9%	114 11%	79 20%
b) The label read "this meat has been sprayed with lactic acid to reduce the risk of food poisoning"	312 15%	295 15%	17 18%	215 15%	30 17%	42 21% jm	23 10%	109 17%	154 15%	49 12%
c) The label read "this meat has been treated with lactic acid to reduce the risk of food poisoning. The taste and texture of the meat are not affected"	529 25%	515 26%	14 15%	382 26%	43 25%	42 21%	51 22%	144 23%	291 28%	94 23%
d) The label read "this meat has been treated with lactic acid to reduce the risk of food poisoning. The taste and texture of the meat are not affected, and there is no more lactic acid present than occurs naturally in meat"	860 41%	839 42% i	21 22%	612 41%	74 42%	79 40%	92 40%	291 46%	415 40%	154 38%
None of them	69 3%	61 3%	9 9% h	52 4%	2 1%	4 2%	13 6% k	25 4%	37 4%	8 2%
Don't know	58 3%	49 2%	9 9% h	43 3%	2 1%	2 1%	12 5% l	10 2%	29 3%	19 5%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 36

4.6 If labelling was introduced to show that meat had been treated with lactic acid, which of these types of product do you think should be labelled?
The labels might be on the food itself, or displayed in the cafe or restaurant

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Packs of chicken joints																	
Yes	1991 96%	871 95%	1120 97% A	137 93%	307 96%	367 96%	332 99% CH	328 97%	518 93%	550 97%	204 96%	136 93%	127 98%	449 94%	1829 96% Q	37 97%	69 88%
No	44 2%	23 2%	22 2%	7 5%	10 3%	6 1%	4 1%	5 1%	12 2%	11 2%	2 1%	7 5%	2 2%	10 2%	35 2%	1 2%	6 8% O
Don't know	43 2%	28 3% B	15 1%	3 2%	2 1%	8 2% F	- -	6 2% F	24 4% DF	8 1%	6 3%	3 2%	- -	16 3%	38 2%	- -	4 5%
Chicken nuggets																	
Yes	1621 78%	705 77%	915 79%	109 75%	250 78%	305 80%	253 75%	273 80%	429 78%	437 77%	164 78%	108 74%	106 82%	363 76%	1479 78%	35 93%	58 74%
No	315 15%	150 16%	165 14%	33 23% H	57 18% H	57 15% H	71 21% GH	45 13%	52 9%	104 18%	27 13%	30 20% L	13 10%	71 15%	291 15%	2 5%	15 20%
Don't know	142 7%	66 7%	76 7%	4 3%	13 4%	19 5%	12 4%	22 6%	72 13% CDEFG	29 5%	20 10%	8 6%	11 8%	42 9%	132 7%	1 2%	5 6%
Rotisserie roasted whole chickens																	
Yes	1775 85%	768 83%	1008 87% A	128 87%	263 82%	328 86%	297 88%	298 88%	459 83%	481 84%	176 83%	117 81%	117 90%	402 85%	1632 86%	34 90%	63 80%
No	240 12%	118 13%	122 11%	17 12%	51 16% G	43 11%	39 12%	32 9%	59 11%	78 14%	29 14%	20 14%	10 8%	54 11%	214 11%	4 10%	10 12%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 36

4.6 If labelling was introduced to show that meat had been treated with lactic acid, which of these types of product do you think should be labelled?
The labels might be on the food itself, or displayed in the cafe or restaurant

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Don't know	63 3%	36 4%	27 2%	2 1%	5 2%	10 3% F	1	9 3% F	35 6% DEFG	11 2%	7 3%	8 6% I	3 2%	19 4%	57 3%	-	6 8%
Pizzas that contained chicken																	
Yes	1494 72%	665 72%	829 72%	73 50%	217 68% C	275 72% C	240 71% C	265 78% CD	421 76% CD	407 71%	150 71%	100 68%	92 71%	335 71%	1367 72%	31 82%	56 72%
No	435 21%	183 20%	252 22%	60 41% DEFG H	87 27% GH	84 22% H	82 24% GH	52 15%	70 13%	124 22%	48 23%	35 24%	28 22%	101 21%	396 21%	5 13%	17 22%
Don't know	150 7%	74 8%	76 7%	13 9%	15 5%	22 6%	14 4%	23 7%	63 11% DEFG	38 7%	14 7%	11 8%	9 7%	39 8%	139 7%	2 5%	5 6%
Beef in a burger from a fast food outlet																	
Yes	1620 78%	703 76%	917 79%	103 70%	237 74%	287 75%	266 79%	277 82% C	448 81% CD	426 75%	176 83% I	108 74%	104 80%	369 78%	1491 78% Q	32 85%	51 65%
No	359 17%	165 18%	194 17%	41 28% GH	77 24% GH	81 21% GH	61 18% H	47 14%	52 9%	123 22% J	28 13%	27 19%	19 15%	76 16%	319 17%	6 15%	23 29% O
Don't know	99 5%	53 6%	45 4%	3 2%	5 2%	13 3%	9 3%	15 4%	54 10% CDEFG	21 4%	9 4%	11 7%	6 5%	30 6%	92 5%	-	5 6%
Chicken salad in a salad bar																	
Yes	1716 83%	747 81%	969 84%	104 71%	256 80%	306 80%	289 86% C	293 86% C	465 84% C	471 83%	180 85%	116 80%	108 83%	381 80%	1576 83%	34 90%	61 78%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 36

4.6 If labelling was introduced to show that meat had been treated with lactic acid, which of these types of product do you think should be labelled?
The labels might be on the food itself, or displayed in the cafe or restaurant

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
No	246 13%	123 13%	143 12%	40 27% EFGH	58 18% FGH	63 17% GH	36 11%	33 10%	37 7%	83 15%	21 10%	20 14%	17 13%	59 12%	237 12%	3 7%	13 16%
Don't know	95 5%	51 6%	44 4%	2 1%	5 2%	12 3%	11 3%	13 4%	52 9% CDEFG	16 3%	11 5%	9 6%	5 4%	35 7% I	89 5%	1 2%	5 6%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 36

4.6 If labelling was introduced to show that meat had been treated with lactic acid, which of these types of product do you think should be labelled?
The labels might be on the food itself, or displayed in the cafe or restaurant

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Packs of chicken joints																	
Yes	1991 96%	1369 96%	622 96% T	262 93%	457 98% ST	1386 97% W	605 94%	1101 96%	674 95%	528 95%	1675 96% c	253 96% c	63 84%	304 97%	1163 97%	1027 96%	595 97%
No	44 2%	28 2%	16 2% U	13 5% RSU	6 1%	31 2%	13 2%	18 2%	21 3%	19 3% X	37 2%	4 1%	4 5%	6 2%	23 2%	23 2%	9 1%
Don't know	43 2%	33 2%	9 1% U	7 3% U	3 1%	15 1%	27 4% V	26 2%	13 2%	10 2%	27 2%	7 3%	9 11% ab	4 1%	17 1%	16 2%	9 1%
Chicken nuggets																	
Yes	1621 78%	1108 77%	513 79%	213 76%	381 82% ST	1126 79%	495 77%	911 80%	536 76%	426 76%	1367 79%	200 76%	54 72%	246 78%	962 80%	805 75%	504 82% f
No	315 15%	208 15%	107 17%	55 20%	72 15%	247 17% W	68 11%	144 13%	135 19% X	102 18% X	266 15%	40 15%	9 12%	51 16%	173 14%	193 18% g	67 11%
Don't know	142 7%	115 8% SU	27 4% U	14 5%	13 3%	59 4%	82 13% V	89 8%	37 5%	30 5%	106 6%	24 9%	12 16% a	17 5%	69 6%	69 6%	43 7%
Rotisserie roasted whole chickens																	
Yes	1775 85%	1221 85% T	554 86% T	225 80%	410 88% ST	1239 87%	536 83%	993 87%	598 84%	467 84%	1492 86% c	225 85%	58 77%	259 82%	1066 89% d	903 85%	547 89% f
No	240 12%	162 17%	78 12%	47 17% RSU	49 10%	169 12%	71 11%	113 10%	91 13%	78 14% X	204 12%	28 11%	8 10%	49 15% e	114 9%	138 13% g	54 9%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 36

4.6 If labelling was introduced to show that meat had been treated with lactic acid, which of these types of product do you think should be labelled?
The labels might be on the food itself, or displayed in the cafe or restaurant

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Don't know	63 3%	48 3%	15 2% U	11 4% U	7 2%	24 2%	38 6% V	38 3%	19 3%	13 2%	42 2%	11 4%	10 13% ab	7 2%	24 2%	26 2%	12 2%
Pizzas that contained chicken																	
Yes	1494 72%	1039 73% T	455 70% T	178 63%	344 74% ST	1010 70%	484 75%	841 73%	496 70%	386 69%	1254 72%	188 71%	52 68%	214 68%	904 75% d	739 69%	476 78% f
No	435 21%	281 20%	154 24% U	80 28% RSU	100 21%	350 24% W	85 13%	219 19%	159 22%	138 25% X	370 21%	52 20%	13 17%	87 28% e	223 19%	264 25% g	102 17%
Don't know	150 7%	111 8%	38 6%	24 9% SU	23 5%	73 5%	77 12% V	85 7%	53 8%	33 6%	114 7%	24 9%	11 15% a	13 4%	76 6%	63 6%	36 6%
Beef in a burger from a fast food outlet																	
Yes	1620 78%	1126 79% T	495 76% T	202 72%	371 80% ST	1107 77%	513 79%	907 79%	545 77%	427 77%	1361 78%	204 77%	56 73%	243 77%	978 81%	807 76%	515 84% f
No	359 17%	229 16%	130 20% U	68 24% RU	82 18%	285 20% W	74 11%	175 15%	133 19%	112 20% X	309 18%	40 15%	10 13%	61 19%	182 15%	222 21% g	72 12%
Don't know	99 5%	76 5%	23 4%	12 4%	13 3%	40 3%	59 9% V	63 5%	30 4%	17 3%	68 4%	20 8% a	11 14% a	10 3%	43 4%	37 3%	26 4%
Chicken salad in a salad bar																	
Yes	1716 83%	1203 84% ST	513 79% T	208 74%	378 81% T	1177 82%	539 84%	957 84%	587 83%	448 80%	1437 83%	222 84%	58 76%	250 80%	1034 86% d	881 83%	529 86%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 36

4.6 If labelling was introduced to show that meat had been treated with lactic acid, which of these types of product do you think should be labelled?
The labels might be on the food itself, or displayed in the cafe or restaurant

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
No	266 13%	153 11%	113 18% R	62 22% RS	78 17% R	218 15% W	48 8%	129 11%	92 13%	88 16% X	231 13%	27 10%	8 10%	54 17% e	127 11%	149 14%	63 10%
Don't know	95 5%	75 5% U	21 3%	12 4%	11 2%	38 3%	58 9% V	58 5%	29 4%	21 4%	70 4%	15 6%	10 14% cb	10 3%	43 4%	37 3%	21 3%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 36

4.6 If labelling was introduced to show that meat had been treated with lactic acid, which of these types of product do you think should be labelled?
The labels might be on the food itself, or displayed in the cafe or restaurant

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Packs of chicken joints										
Yes	1991 96%	1928 97% i	63 68%	1419 96% k	162 92%	188 97%	224 97%	622 98%	988 95%	382 95%
No	44 2%	25 1%	19 21% h	29 2%	6 4%	6 3%	3 1%	9 1%	22 2%	14 3%
Don't know	43 2%	32 2%	10 11% h	29 2%	7 4% l	1 *	4 2%	6 1%	29 3%	7 2%
Chicken nuggets										
Yes	1621 78%	1581 80% i	40 43%	1162 79% k	116 66%	162 83% k	191 83% k	506 79%	783 75%	332 82%
No	315 15%	277 14%	38 41% h	220 15%	39 22% jlm	20 10%	27 12%	97 15%	171 16%	48 12%
Don't know	142 7%	126 6%	16 17% h	95 6%	21 12% jm	12 6%	13 6%	34 5%	85 8%	23 6%
Rotisserie roasted whole chickens										
Yes	1775 85%	1729 87% i	47 50%	1275 86% k	132 75%	166 86% k	202 87% k	543 85%	886 85%	347 86%
No	240 12%	205 10%	35 37% h	161 11%	35 20% jlm	21 11%	22 9%	83 13%	115 11%	42 10%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 36

4.6 If labelling was introduced to show that meat had been treated with lactic acid, which of these types of product do you think should be labelled?
The labels might be on the food itself, or displayed in the cafe or restaurant

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Don't know	63 3%	51 3%	12 13% h	41 3%	9 5%	7 4%	7 3%	11 2%	38 4%	14 3%
Pizzas that contained chicken										
Yes	1494 72%	1454 73% i	39 42%	1073 73% k	105 60%	147 76% k	179 77% k	452 71%	734 71%	307 76%
No	435 21%	394 20%	41 44% h	304 21%	51 29% jlm	31 16%	37 16%	149 23%	220 21%	66 16%
Don't know	150 7%	137 7%	13 14% h	100 7%	20 11%	16 8%	15 7%	35 6%	85 8%	30 7%
Beef in a burger from a fast food outlet										
Yes	1620 78%	1575 79% i	45 48%	1150 78%	132 75%	163 84%	184 80%	484 76%	803 77%	333 83%
No	359 17%	324 16%	35 38% h	261 18%	30 17%	25 13%	30 13%	139 22%	173 17%	47 12%
Don't know	99 5%	86 4%	13 14% h	66 4%	14 8%	6 3%	17 7%	14 2%	63 6%	22 6%
Chicken salad in a salad bar										
Yes	1716 83%	1674 84% i	42 46%	1226 83% k	133 76%	167 86% k	193 84%	525 82%	847 82%	344 86%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 36

4.6 If labelling was introduced to show that meat had been treated with lactic acid, which of these types of product do you think should be labelled?
The labels might be on the food itself, or displayed in the cafe or restaurant

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base 2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
No 266 13%	229 12%	37 40% h	190 13%	26 15%	19 10%	24 10%	96 15%	130 13%	40 10%
Don't know 95 5%	82 4%	14 15% h	60 4%	17 10% j	8 4%	14 6%	16 2%	61 6%	18 5%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 37

4.6 If labelling was introduced to show that meat had been treated with lactic acid, which of these types of product do you think should be labelled?
The labels might be on the food itself, or displayed in the cafe or restaurant

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2014	845	1169	126	302	371	330	325	559	500	212	149	114	459	1873	35	62
Weighted Base	2013	882	1131	143*	312	370	333	331	523	555	206	138*	128*	455	1845	38*	72*
Packs of chicken joints - Yes	1991 99%	871 99%	1120 99%	137 96%	307 98%	367 99%	332 100%	328 99%	518 C	550 99%	204 99%	136 99%	127 100%	449 99%	1829 Q	37 97%	69 96%
Chicken nuggets - Yes	1621 81%	705 80%	915 81%	109 77%	250 80%	305 82%	253 76%	273 82%	429 82%	437 79%	164 80%	108 78%	106 83%	363 80%	1479 80%	35 93%	58 81%
Rotisserie roasted whole chickens - Yes	1775 88%	768 87%	1008 89%	128 89%	263 84%	328 89%	297 89%	298 90%	459 88%	481 87%	176 85%	117 85%	117 91%	402 88%	1632 88%	34 90%	63 87%
Pizzas that contained chicken - Yes	1494 74%	665 75%	829 73%	73 51%	217 70%	275 74%	240 72%	265 80%	421 81%	407 73%	150 73%	100 72%	92 72%	335 74%	1367 74%	31 82%	56 79%
Beef in a burger from a fast food outlet - Yes	1620 80%	703 80%	917 81%	103 72%	237 76%	287 78%	266 80%	277 84%	448 86%	426 77%	176 85%	108 78%	104 82%	369 81%	1491 81%	32 85%	51 71%
Chicken salad in a salad bar -Yes	1716 85%	747 85%	969 86%	104 73%	256 82%	306 83%	289 87%	293 88%	465 89%	471 85%	180 87%	116 84%	108 85%	381 84%	1576 85%	34 90%	61 85%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 37

4.6 If labelling was introduced to show that meat had been treated with lactic acid, which of these types of product do you think should be labelled?
The labels might be on the food itself, or displayed in the cafe or restaurant

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2014	1403	611	254	449	1366	648	1205	614	491	1672	273	69	298	1174	1031	604
Weighted Base	2013	1386	627	266	459	1403	610	1112	683	536	1693	256	64*	306	1176	1040	599
Packs of chicken joints - Yes	1991 99%	1369 99%	622 99%	262 98%	457 100%	1386 99%	605 99%	1101 99%	674 99%	528 98%	1675 99%	253 99%	63 99%	304 99%	1163 99%	1027 99%	595 99%
Chicken nuggets - Yes	1621 81%	1108 80%	513 82%	213 80%	381 83%	1126 80%	495 81%	911 82%	536 78%	426 79%	1367 81%	200 78%	54 85%	246 80%	962 82%	805 77%	504 84% f
Rotisserie roasted whole chickens - Yes	1775 88%	1221 88%	554 88% T	225 84%	410 89% T	1239 88%	536 88%	993 89%	598 87%	467 87%	1492 88%	225 88%	58 91%	259 84%	1066 91% d	903 87%	547 91% f
Pizzas that contained chicken - Yes	1494 74%	1039 75% T	455 73% T	178 67%	344 75% ST	1010 72%	484 79% V	841 76%	496 73%	386 72%	1254 74%	188 73%	52 80%	214 70%	904 77% d	739 71%	476 79% f
Beef in a burger from a fast food outlet - Yes	1620 80%	1126 81%	495 79%	202 76%	371 81%	1107 79%	513 84% V	907 81%	545 80%	427 80%	1361 80%	204 80%	56 87%	243 79%	978 83%	807 78%	515 86% f
Chicken salad in a salad bar - Yes	1716 85%	1203 87% STU	513 82%	208 78%	378 82%	1177 84%	539 88% V	957 86%	587 86%	448 84%	1437 85%	222 87%	58 90%	250 82%	1034 88% d	881 85%	529 88%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 37

4.6 If labelling was introduced to show that meat had been treated with lactic acid, which of these types of product do you think should be labelled?
The labels might be on the food itself, or displayed in the cafe or restaurant

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2014	1950	64	1398	161	193	262	600	1016	398
Weighted Base	2013	1944	69*	1436	164	188	226	626	996	391
Packs of chicken joints - Yes	1991 99%	1928 99% i	63 92%	1419 99%	162 99%	188 100%	224 99%	622 99%	988 99%	382 98%
Chicken nuggets - Yes	1621 81%	1581 81% i	40 57%	1162 81% k	116 71%	162 86% k	191 84% k	506 81%	783 79%	332 85%
Rotisserie roasted whole chickens - Yes	1775 88%	1729 89% i	47 67%	1275 89% k	132 81%	166 88%	202 89% k	543 87%	886 89%	347 89%
Pizzas that contained chicken - Yes	1494 74%	1454 75% i	39 57%	1073 75% k	105 64%	147 78% k	179 79% k	452 72%	734 74%	307 78%
Beef in a burger from a fast food outlet - Yes	1620 80%	1575 81% i	45 65%	1150 80%	132 80%	163 87% j	184 81%	484 77%	803 81%	333 85%
Chicken salad in a salad bar - Yes	1716 85%	1674 86% i	42 61%	1226 85%	133 81%	167 89%	193 85%	525 84%	847 85%	344 88%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 38

4.7 I'm now going to ask you some questions about one of the other possible treatments - rapid chilling. This treatment involves exposing the surface of the meat to a rapid reduction in temperature during the chilling process for a very short period. This treatment is most likely to be used on chicken. The surface of the skin may freeze momentarily but the flesh is not frozen. Now you know this how acceptable do you find the treatment?

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely acceptable (2)	225 11%	108 12%	117 10%	18 12%	37 12% H	71 19% DFGH	38 11% H	23 7%	37 7%	63 11%	18 9%	16 11%	18 14%	40 8%	202 11%	7 17%	14 18%
Acceptable (1)	849 41%	404 44% B	445 38%	57 39%	140 44% E	130 34%	155 46% E	150 44% E	214 39%	266 47% M	89 42%	64 44%	55 42%	169 36%	782 41%	14 38%	30 38%
I have no feelings either way (0)	294 14%	130 14%	164 14%	26 18%	46 14%	53 14%	45 14%	41 12%	82 15%	71 13%	29 14%	19 13%	21 16%	74 16%	270 14% Q	7 17% Q	3 4%
Unacceptable (-1)	381 18%	137 15%	244 21% A	33 22%	47 15%	57 15%	49 15%	60 18%	136 24% DEFG	89 16%	41 19%	26 18%	20 15%	103 22% I	342 18%	7 18%	21 27%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	202 10%	88 10%	114 10%	9 6%	31 10%	46 12%	30 9%	37 11%	49 9%	43 8%	21 10%	13 9%	13 10%	62 13% I	187 10%	4 10%	5 6%
It depends	46 2%	17 2%	29 2%	1 1%	9 3%	10 2%	8 2%	7 2%	10 2%	20 3% M	9 4% M	2 1%	1 1%	5 1%	45 2%	- -	- -
Don't know	82 4%	37 4%	44 4%	4 3%	9 3%	13 4%	11 3%	19 6%	25 5%	17 3%	5 2%	7 5%	3 2%	22 5%	75 4%	- -	5 6%
All Acceptable	1074 52%	512 56% B	561 49%	75 51%	177 56% H	202 53% H	193 57% H	174 51%	251 45%	329 58% M	107 51%	80 55%	73 56% M	210 44%	984 52%	21 55%	45 57%
All Unacceptable	583 28%	225 24%	358 31% A	41 28%	78 24%	103 27%	79 23%	97 29%	185 33% DF	132 23%	61 29%	38 26%	32 25%	165 35% I	529 28%	10 27%	26 33%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 38

4.7 I'm now going to ask you some questions about one of the other possible treatments - rapid chilling. This treatment involves exposing the surface of the meat to a rapid reduction in temperature during the chilling process for a very short period. This treatment is most likely to be used on chicken. The surface of the skin may freeze momentarily but the flesh is not frozen. Now you know this how acceptable do you find the treatment?

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Net Acceptable	490 24%	287 31% B	203 18%	34 23% H	99 31% GH	99 26% H	114 34% EGH	76 23% H	66 12%	197 35% JM	46 22% M	41 28% M	41 31% M	45 9%	455 24%	10 27%	19 24%
Mean	0.26	0.35 B	0.19	0.31	0.35 H	0.35 H	0.38 H	0.20	0.10	0.41 M	0.22	0.33	0.37 M	0.05	0.26	0.35	0.39
Standard Deviation	1.20	1.18	1.21	1.14	1.19	1.31	1.16	1.19	1.15	1.14	1.18	1.18	1.20	1.23	1.20	1.25	1.27

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 38

4.7 I'm now going to ask you some questions about one of the other possible treatments - rapid chilling. This treatment involves exposing the surface of the meat to a rapid reduction in temperature during the chilling process for a very short period. This treatment is most likely to be used on chicken. The surface of the skin may freeze momentarily but the flesh is not frozen. Now you know this how acceptable do you find the treatment?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely acceptable (2)	225 11%	131 9%	93 14% R	42 15% R	73 16% R	178 12% W	46 7%	115 10%	94 13%	63 11%	192 11%	24 9%	9 12%	71 23% e	105 9%	183 17% g	27 4%
Acceptable (1)	849 41%	593 41%	256 40%	114 40%	187 40%	591 41%	258 40%	448 39%	303 43%	242 43%	715 41%	104 39%	30 39%	154 49% e	479 40%	576 54% g	141 23%
I have no feelings either way (0)	294 14%	214 15%	80 12%	39 14%	58 12%	199 14%	95 15%	165 14%	84 12%	92 16% Y	239 14%	49 18% c	6 8%	42 13%	139 12%	101 9%	83 14% f
Unacceptable (-1)	381 18%	269 19%	112 17%	43 15%	78 17%	234 16%	148 23% V	224 20% Z	126 18% Z	71 13%	312 18%	48 18%	21 28% a	34 11%	274 23% d	118 11%	204 33% f
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	202 10%	136 10%	65 10%	28 10%	40 9%	147 10%	55 8%	117 10%	69 10%	52 9%	175 10%	22 8%	5 6%	6 2%	147 12% d	41 4%	125 20% f
It depends	46 2%	32 2%	13 2%	8 3%	11 2%	34 2%	12 2%	28 2%	12 2%	16 3%	43 2%	3 1%	-	3 1%	24 2%	24 2%	13 2%
Don't know	82 4%	55 4%	26 4%	9 3%	19 4%	49 3%	32 5%	48 4%	20 3%	21 4%	61 4%	15 6%	5 7%	4 1%	35 3%	23 2%	20 3%
All Acceptable	1074 52%	724 51%	350 54%	156 55%	260 56%	769 54% W	304 47%	563 49%	397 56% X	305 55%	907 52%	128 48%	39 51%	225 72% e	584 49%	759 71% g	168 27%
All Unacceptable	583 28%	405 28%	178 27%	71 25%	119 25%	381 27%	203 31% V	341 30% Z	195 27% Z	123 22%	487 28%	70 26%	26 34%	40 13%	421 35% d	159 15%	329 54% f



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 38

4.7 I'm now going to ask you some questions about one of the other possible treatments - rapid chilling. This treatment involves exposing the surface of the meat to a rapid reduction in temperature during the chilling process for a very short period. This treatment is most likely to be used on chicken. The surface of the skin may freeze momentarily but the flesh is not frozen. Now you know this how acceptable do you find the treatment?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Net Acceptable	490 24%	319 22%	172 27%	85 30% R	142 30% RS	389 27% W	102 16%	222 19%	203 29% X	182 33% X	420 24%	58 22%	13 17%	185 59% e	163 14%	600 56% g	-161 -26%
Mean	0.26	0.23	0.33	0.37	0.40 RS	0.31 W	0.16	0.21	0.34	0.37 X	0.27	0.24	0.24	0.81 e	0.11	0.73 g	-0.45
Standard Deviation	1.20	1.18	1.24	1.23	1.22	1.15	1.21	1.22	1.16	1.21	1.14	1.21	0.98	1.24	1.02	1.20	



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 38

4.7 I'm now going to ask you some questions about one of the other possible treatments - rapid chilling. This treatment involves exposing the surface of the meat to a rapid reduction in temperature during the chilling process for a very short period. This treatment is most likely to be used on chicken. The surface of the skin may freeze momentarily but the flesh is not frozen. Now you know this how acceptable do you find the treatment?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely acceptable (2)	225 11%	212 11%	13 14%	148 10%	39 22% jim	13 7%	20 9%	94 15%	80 8%	50 12%
Acceptable (1)	849 41%	822 41% i	27 29%	604 41%	71 41%	72 37%	105 45%	273 43%	420 40%	155 39%
I have no feelings either way (0)	294 14%	277 14%	17 19%	217 15%	18 10%	28 14%	23 10%	67 10%	179 17%	48 12%
Unacceptable (-1)	381 18%	367 18%	15 16%	269 18%	27 16%	53 27% jkm	36 16%	97 15%	198 19%	86 21%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	202 10%	191 10%	11 12%	150 10%	9 5%	17 9%	27 12% k	74 12%	84 8%	44 11%
It depends	46 2%	45 2%	1 1%	30 2%	6 4%	5 2%	6 3%	18 3%	25 2%	2 1%
Don't know	82 4%	73 4%	9 9% h	59 4%	5 3%	6 3%	13 6%	13 2%	52 5%	16 4%
All Acceptable	1074 52%	1034 52%	40 43%	752 51%	111 63% jl	85 44%	125 54% l	368 58%	500 48%	206 51%
All Unacceptable	583 28%	557 28%	26 28%	419 28%	36 21%	70 36% jk	63 27%	171 27%	282 27%	130 32%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 38

4.7 I'm now going to ask you some questions about one of the other possible treatments - rapid chilling. This treatment involves exposing the surface of the meat to a rapid reduction in temperature during the chilling process for a very short period. This treatment is most likely to be used on chicken. The surface of the skin may freeze momentarily but the flesh is not frozen. Now you know this how acceptable do you find the treatment?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Net Acceptable	477 24%	14 15%	333 23% 	75 42% jim	15 8%	62 27% 	196 31%	218 21%	76 19%
Mean	0.27	0.19	0.24	0.64 jim	0.06	0.26	0.36	0.22	0.21
Standard Deviation	1.19	1.29	1.20	1.17	1.16	1.23	1.26	1.13	1.25



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 39

4.8 The rapid chilling process kills some of the bacteria that cause the majority of food poisoning in the UK, these bacteria would not come alive again when the temperature was raised. Meat treated in this way can safely be frozen and defrosted without the bacteria coming alive again. Now you know this how acceptable do you find the treatment?

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age					NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Definitely acceptable (2)	383 18%	175 19%	208 18%	39 26% GH	67 21% H	98 26% GH	68 20% H	49 15%	62 17%	122 21% M	34 16%	26 18%	25 19%	66 14%	336 18%	11 30%	26 33% O
Acceptable (1)	1057 51%	471 51%	587 51%	74 50%	186 58% E	165 43%	177 53% E	175 52%	279 50%	294 52%	115 54%	76 52%	73 56%	232 49%	967 51%	19 50%	32 41%
I have no feelings either way (0)	204 10%	96 10%	108 9%	17 12%	21 7%	31 8%	25 8%	35 10%	74 13% DEF	43 8%	15 7%	18 12%	15 11%	53 11%	193 10%	4 10%	6 7%
Unacceptable (-1)	227 11%	83 9%	144 12% A	10 7%	14 4%	47 12% D	35 11% D	33 10% D	87 16% CDG	59 10%	29 14% L	11 7%	6 4%	71 15% L	210 11%	1 2%	9 11%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	134 6%	63 7%	71 6%	6 4%	19 6%	27 7%	25 7%	28 8%	29 5%	34 6%	10 5%	11 8%	10 8%	33 7%	132 7%	1 2%	1 1%
It depends	23 1%	11 1%	13 1%	- -	7 2%	1 *	3 1%	7 2%	5 1%	9 2%	6 3% M	1 1%	1 1%	2 *	21 1%	1 2%	- -
Don't know	50 2%	24 3%	26 2%	1 1%	5 2%	12 3%	3 1%	12 4% F	17 3%	9 2%	3 1%	3 2%	- -	19 4%	41 2%	1 2%	5 6%
All Acceptable	1440 69%	645 70%	795 69%	112 77% H	252 79% EGH	263 69% H	244 73% H	225 66%	341 62%	416 73% M	149 70%	102 70%	98 75% M	298 63%	1303 69%	30 80%	58 74%
All Unacceptable	361 17%	146 16%	215 19%	16 11%	33 10%	74 19% D	60 18% D	61 18% D	116 21% CD	93 16%	40 19%	22 15%	16 12%	103 22%	343 18%	2 5%	10 12%
Net Acceptable	1079 52%	499 54%	580 50%	97 66% EGH	219 69% EFGH	189 50% H	184 55% H	164 48% H	225 41%	323 57% M	109 51% M	80 55% M	82 63% M	195 41%	961 50%	28 75% O	49 62%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 39

4.8 The rapid chilling process kills some of the bacteria that cause the majority of food poisoning in the UK, these bacteria would not come alive again when the temperature was raised. Meat treated in this way can safely be frozen and defrosted without the bacteria coming alive again. Now you know this how acceptable do you find the treatment?

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Mean	0.66	0.69	0.64	0.89 GH	0.87 GH	0.71 H	0.69 H	0.58	0.49	0.74 M	0.65	0.67	0.75	0.50	0.63	1.08	1.00 O
Standard Deviation	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.01	1.01	1.20	1.14	1.13	1.07	1.10	1.08	1.10	1.08	1.13	1.12	0.88	1.02

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 39

4.8 The rapid chilling process kills some of the bacteria that cause the majority of food poisoning in the UK, these bacteria would not come alive again when the temperature was raised. Meat treated in this way can safely be frozen and defrosted without the bacteria coming alive again. Now you know this how acceptable do you find the treatment?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Definitely acceptable (2)	383 18%	229 16%	154 24% R	72 25% R	108 23% R	306 21% W	77 12%	205 18%	140 20%	115 21%	333 19%	38 14%	12 16%	90 29% e	186 15%	291 27% g	52 8%
Acceptable (1)	1057 51%	736 51%	322 50%	135 48%	233 50%	732 51%	325 50%	557 49%	372 53%	294 53%	881 51%	140 53%	36 48%	183 58% e	599 50%	609 57% g	257 42%
I have no feelings either way (0)	204 10%	156 11% SU	48 7%	24 9%	33 7%	124 9%	80 12% V	121 11%	60 8%	54 10%	162 9%	34 13%	7 10%	21 7%	105 9%	60 6%	65 11% f
Unacceptable (-1)	227 11%	160 11%	67 10%	28 10%	55 12%	127 9%	100 15% V	134 12%	71 10%	48 9%	187 11%	28 11%	11 15%	13 4%	166 14% d	61 6%	131 21% f
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	134 6%	99 7%	35 5%	13 4%	23 5%	101 7%	33 5%	82 7%	45 6%	27 5%	112 6%	17 7%	5 7%	5 2%	114 9% d	22 2%	88 14% f
It depends	23 1%	17 1%	6 1%	3 1%	4 1%	15 1%	8 1%	15 1%	8 1%	7 1%	21 1%	1 .	1 1%	1 .	11 1%	11 1%	7 1%
Don't know	50 2%	34 2%	16 3%	7 2%	11 2%	28 2%	22 3%	30 3%	12 2%	13 2%	41 2%	6 2%	3 4%	2 1%	23 2%	13 1%	14 2%
All Acceptable	1440 69%	965 67%	475 73% R	207 73%	340 73%	1037 72% W	403 62%	761 66%	513 72% X	408 73% X	1215 70%	177 67%	48 64%	272 87% e	785 65%	900 84% g	309 50%
All Unacceptable	361 17%	259 18%	101 16%	41 14%	78 17%	228 16%	133 21% V	216 19% Z	115 16%	75 13%	299 17%	46 17%	16 21%	18 6%	279 23% d	83 8%	218 36% f
Net Acceptable	1079 52%	705 49%	374 58% R	166 59% R	262 56% R	809 57% W	270 42%	545 48%	397 56% X	333 60% X	916 53%	131 50%	32 42%	254 81% e	505 42%	818 77% g	91 15%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 39

4.8 The rapid chilling process kills some of the bacteria that cause the majority of food poisoning in the UK, these bacteria would not come alive again when the temperature was raised. Meat treated in this way can safely be frozen and defrosted without the bacteria coming alive again. Now you know this how acceptable do you find the treatment?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Mean	0.66	0.61	0.79 _R	0.83 _R	0.77 _R	0.73 _W	0.51	0.61	0.72	0.78 _X	0.68	0.59	0.54	1.09 _e	0.49	1.04 _g	0.09
Standard Deviation	1.11	1.11	1.10	1.08	1.10	1.12	1.07	1.14	1.10	1.04	1.11	1.08	1.16	0.81	1.20	0.87	1.26



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 39

4.8 The rapid chilling process kills some of the bacteria that cause the majority of food poisoning in the UK, these bacteria would not come alive again when the temperature was raised. Meat treated in this way can safely be frozen and defrosted without the bacteria coming alive again. Now you know this how acceptable do you find the treatment?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Definitely acceptable (2)	383 18%	367 18%	16 17%	262 18%	51 29% jim	28 14%	33 14%	153 24%	168 16%	62 15%
Acceptable (1)	1057 51%	1017 51%	40 43%	752 51%	87 50%	101 52%	117 51%	312 49%	521 50%	224 56%
I have no feelings either way (0)	204 10%	191 10%	13 14%	147 10%	12 7%	26 13%	20 9%	51 8%	125 12%	29 7%
Unacceptable (-1)	227 11%	213 11%	13 14%	162 11%	16 9%	26 13%	22 10%	60 9%	119 11%	47 12%
Definitely unacceptable (-2)	134 6%	131 7%	3 3%	97 7%	8 5%	11 6%	22 10%	43 7%	65 6%	27 7%
It depends	23 1%	23 1%	-	18 1%	-	1	4 2%	12 2%	9 1%	3 1%
Don't know	50 2%	42 2%	8 8% h	38 3%	2 1%	2 1%	12 5% jkl	6 1%	32 3%	11 3%
All Acceptable	1440 69%	1384 70%	56 60%	1015 69%	138 79% jim	129 67%	150 65%	465 73%	689 66%	286 71%
All Unacceptable	361 17%	344 17%	16 18%	259 18%	24 14%	37 19%	45 19%	103 16%	184 18%	74 18%
Net Acceptable	1079 52%	1040 52%	39 42%	755 51%	114 65% jim	92 48%	105 45%	362 57%	506 49%	212 53%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 39

4.8 The rapid chilling process kills some of the bacteria that cause the majority of food poisoning in the UK, these bacteria would not come alive again when the temperature was raised. Meat treated in this way can safely be frozen and defrosted without the bacteria coming alive again. Now you know this how acceptable do you find the treatment?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Mean	0.66	0.61	0.65	0.90 jlm	0.57	0.54	0.76	0.61	0.64
Standard Deviation	1.11	1.07	1.11	1.07	1.08	1.18	1.13	1.10	1.10



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 40

4.9 I mentioned earlier some other possible treatments to reduce the risk of food poisoning from meat. As I read each one out again, can you say if you think meat treated in this way should be labelled or not.

The meat passes through a hot water bath or is exposed to steam in a chamber or tunnel

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Should definitely be labelled	1175 57%	488 53%	687 59% A	63 43%	132 41%	199 52% D	178 53% D	231 68% CDEF	371 67% CDEF	287 50%	133 63% I	80 55%	80 62%	288 61% I	1081 57%	25 65%	43 55%
Should probably be labelled	444 21%	204 22%	240 21%	23 16%	77 24%	81 21%	85 25% G	60 18%	115 21%	139 24%	39 18%	32 22%	28 21%	89 19%	414 22%	5 13%	16 20%
Should probably not be labelled	258 12%	127 14%	131 11%	38 26% EFGH	74 23% EFGH	51 13% GH	47 14% GH	23 7%	25 5%	93 16% M	24 11%	24 17%	14 11%	45 9%	231 12%	3 7%	10 12%
Should definitely not be labelled	115 6%	61 7%	54 5%	16 11% GH	23 7% H	31 8% H	16 5%	14 4%	14 3%	37 6%	10 5%	6 4%	6 5%	23 5%	99 5%	5 13%	3 4%
Not sure	86 4%	41 4%	46 4%	6 4%	13 4%	19 5%	10 3%	10 3%	29 5%	14 2%	7 3%	3 2%	2 1%	30 6% I	77 4%	1 2%	7 8%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 40

4.9 I mentioned earlier some other possible treatments to reduce the risk of food poisoning from meat. As I read each one out again, can you say if you think meat treated in this way should be labelled or not.

The meat passes through a hot water bath or is exposed to steam in a chamber or tunnel

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Should definitely be labelled	1175 57%	844 59% STU	331 51%	140 50%	232 50%	752 52%	423 66% V	682 60% YZ	379 54%	286 51%	972 56%	160 61%	42 56%	142 45%	755 63% d	560 53%	390 64% f
Should probably be labelled	444 21%	312 22%	132 20%	61 22%	102 22%	306 21%	138 21%	251 22%	140 20%	125 22%	371 21%	54 21%	19 25%	91 29% e	213 18%	257 24% g	109 18%
Should probably not be labelled	258 12%	157 11%	101 16% R	46 16% R	74 16% R	222 15% W	36 6%	118 10%	106 15% X	81 14% X	226 13%	25 10%	6 8%	50 16%	149 12%	164 15% g	55 9%
Should definitely not be labelled	115 6%	63 4%	52 8% R	20 7%	36 8% R	100 7% W	15 2%	48 4%	53 7% X	40 7% X	104 6%	9 3%	1 2%	28 9% e	62 5%	58 5%	41 7%
Not sure	86 4%	55 4%	32 5%	15 5%	23 5%	53 4%	33 5%	46 4%	30 4%	26 5%	65 4%	15 6%	6 9%	4 1%	25 2%	28 3%	19 3%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 40

4.9 I mentioned earlier some other possible treatments to reduce the risk of food poisoning from meat. As I read each one out again, can you say if you think meat treated in this way should be labelled or not.

The meat passes through a hot water bath or is exposed to steam in a chamber or tunnel

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Should definitely be labelled	1175 57%	1144 58% i	31 33%	837 57% m	109 62% lm	96 49%	112 49%	330 52%	582 56%	263 65%
Should probably be labelled	444 21%	429 22%	15 16%	321 22%	28 16%	45 23%	54 23%	143 22%	227 22%	74 18%
Should probably not be labelled	258 12%	241 12%	17 18%	183 12%	13 7%	35 18% jk	43 19% jk	98 15%	123 12%	37 9%
Should definitely not be labelled	115 6%	101 5%	14 15% h	72 5%	20 11% jm	14 7%	10 4%	48 7%	52 5%	15 4%
Not sure	86 4%	69 3%	17 19% h	63 4%	6 3%	6 3%	12 5%	18 3%	55 5%	14 3%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 41

4.9 I mentioned earlier some other possible treatments to reduce the risk of food poisoning from meat. As I read each one out again, can you say if you think meat treated in this way should be labelled or not.

The meat is exposed to ozone gas

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Unweighted Base	2078																
Weighted Base	2078																
Should definitely be labelled	1511 73%	624 68% A	887 77% A	111 76%	208 65%	292 77% D	246 73%	259 76% D	396 71%	420 74%	167 79% M	109 75%	91 70%	332 70%	1376 72%	32 85%	55 70%
Should probably be labelled	345 17%	180 20% B	165 14%	16 11%	75 23% CEG	49 13%	63 19%	46 13%	96 17%	97 17%	30 14%	24 16%	24 18%	91 19%	324 17%	4 10%	11 14%
Should probably not be labelled	49 2%	29 3%	20 2%	6 4%	9 3%	13 3%	8 2%	4 1%	10 2%	16 3%	4 2%	4 3%	1 1%	10 2%	46 2%	-	2 2%
Should definitely not be labelled	48 2%	28 3%	20 2%	5 4%	4 1%	9 2%	6 2%	10 3%	14 2%	12 2%	2 1%	2 1%	3 2%	10 2%	47 2%	-	1 1%
Not sure	124 6%	60 7%	64 6%	9 6%	24 8%	19 5%	14 4%	20 6%	39 7%	25 4%	9 4%	7 5%	11 8%	32 7%	110 6%	2 5%	9 12%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 41

4.9 I mentioned earlier some other possible treatments to reduce the risk of food poisoning from meat. As I read each one out again, can you say if you think meat treated in this way should be labelled or not.

The meat is exposed to ozone gas

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Should definitely be labelled	1511 73%	1034 72%	477 74%	198 70%	350 75%	1048 73%	463 72%	850 74%	513 72%	388 70%	1274 73%	189 72%	47 62%	206 66%	949 79% d	764 72%	468 76%
Should probably be labelled	345 17%	238 17%	107 17%	52 18%	76 16%	234 16%	112 17%	196 17%	111 16%	90 16%	287 16%	41 15%	18 24%	74 24% e	161 13%	205 19% g	82 13%
Should probably not be labelled	49 2%	31 2%	19 3%	6 2%	14 3%	36 3%	13 2%	21 2%	28 4% X	19 3%	41 2%	7 3%	1 2%	12 4%	22 2%	24 2%	15 2%
Should definitely not be labelled	48 2%	37 3%	11 2%	3 1%	10 2%	34 2%	14 2%	28 2%	14 2%	12 2%	38 2%	10 4%	- -	6 2%	26 2%	17 2%	24 4% f
Not sure	124 6%	92 6%	33 5% U	23 8% SU	17 4%	81 6%	43 7%	51 4%	41 6%	47 8% XY	98 6%	18 7%	9 12% a	16 5%	45 4%	55 5%	24 4%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 41

4.9 I mentioned earlier some other possible treatments to reduce the risk of food poisoning from meat. As I read each one out again, can you say if you think meat treated in this way should be labelled or not.

The meat is exposed to ozone gas

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Should definitely be labelled	1511 73%	1469 74% i	42 45%	1077 73%	128 73%	131 67%	171 74%	490 77%	742 71%	279 69%
Should probably be labelled	345 17%	325 16%	21 22%	250 17%	23 13%	36 19%	35 15%	88 14%	182 18%	75 19%
Should probably not be labelled	49 2%	42 2%	8 8% h	32 2%	4 2%	11 6% j	6 3%	16 3%	24 2%	9 2%
Should definitely not be labelled	48 2%	39 2%	9 10% h	32 2%	6 3%	5 2%	8 3%	16 3%	23 2%	9 2%
Not sure	124 6%	111 6%	14 15% h	86 6%	14 8%	11 6%	11 5%	26 4%	68 7%	30 7%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 42

4.9 I mentioned earlier some other possible treatments to reduce the risk of food poisoning from meat. As I read each one out again, can you say if you think meat treated in this way should be labelled or not.

The surface of the meat is exposed to a rapid reduction in temperature for a short period

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Should definitely be labelled	1237 60%	522 57%	715 62% A	73 50%	158 49%	207 54%	205 61% D	229 67% CDE	366 66% CDE	315 55%	135 64%	87 59%	74 57%	315 66% I	1135 60%	23 60%	44 56%
Should probably be labelled	462 22%	215 23%	247 21%	19 13%	88 28% C	87 23%	73 22%	70 20%	123 22%	132 23%	36 17%	27 19%	40 31% JM	93 20%	421 22%	8 20%	23 29%
Should probably not be labelled	219 11%	110 12%	109 9%	31 21% GH	44 14% GH	46 12% GH	42 12% H	24 7%	33 6%	85 15% M	27 13% M	20 14% M	9 7%	32 7%	207 11%	1 2%	4 5%
Should definitely not be labelled	98 5%	45 5%	52 5%	14 10% FGH	22 7% H	27 7% FH	10 3%	11 3%	13 2%	29 5%	7 3%	10 7%	5 4%	16 3%	89 5%	1 2%	5 6%
Not sure	62 3%	29 3%	33 3%	10 7% FG	7 2%	14 4%	7 2%	6 2%	18 3%	9 2%	7 3%	2 1%	1 1%	19 4% I	50 3%	6 15% O	4 5%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 42

4.9 I mentioned earlier some other possible treatments to reduce the risk of food poisoning from meat. As I read each one out again, can you say if you think meat treated in this way should be labelled or not.

The surface of the meat is exposed to a rapid reduction in temperature for a short period

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Should definitely be labelled	1237 60%	871 61%	366 57%	155 55%	263 56%	819 57%	418 65% V	695 61%	419 59%	315 56%	1033 59%	158 60%	47 62%	156 50%	776 64% d	583 55%	429 70% f
Should probably be labelled	462 22%	324 23%	138 21%	61 21%	104 22%	314 22%	147 23%	277 24%	146 21%	117 21%	386 22%	59 22%	17 23%	85 27% e	239 20%	261 24% g	102 17%
Should probably not be labelled	219 11%	148 10%	72 11%	32 11%	55 12%	178 12% W	42 6%	95 8%	96 13% X	68 12% X	188 11%	28 11%	3 5%	50 16% e	113 9%	158 15% g	35 6%
Should definitely not be labelled	98 5%	51 4%	47 7% R	22 8% R	31 7% R	81 6% W	17 3%	50 4%	28 4%	34 6%	85 5%	11 4%	1 2%	18 6%	55 5%	51 5%	31 5%
Not sure	62 3%	37 3%	24 4%	13 5%	13 3%	41 3%	21 3%	29 2%	19 3%	24 4%	46 3%	9 3%	7 9% a	5 2%	21 2%	13 1%	17 3%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 42

4.9 I mentioned earlier some other possible treatments to reduce the risk of food poisoning from meat. As I read each one out again, can you say if you think meat treated in this way should be labelled or not.

The surface of the meat is exposed to a rapid reduction in temperature for a short period

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Should definitely be labelled	1237 60%	1206 61% i	31 34%	873 59%	121 69% jlm	104 54%	125 54%	355 56%	607 58%	275 68%
Should probably be labelled	462 22%	446 22%	15 17%	339 23% k	26 15%	43 22%	54 23% k	142 22%	246 24%	73 18%
Should probably not be labelled	219 11%	201 10%	19 20% h	156 11%	12 7%	28 14% k	35 15% jk	94 15%	101 10%	24 6%
Should definitely not be labelled	98 5%	82 4%	16 17% h	64 4%	14 8% m	11 6%	7 3%	32 5%	50 5%	15 4%
Not sure	62 3%	50 3%	12 13% h	45 3%	2 1%	7 4%	10 4%	12 2%	35 3%	15 4%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 43

4.10 My final questions about meat are about where you shop.
Do you buy most of your raw meat from a supermarket, a butchers, a market, or some other kind of shop?

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Supermarket	1622 78%	712 77%	910 79%	133 91% DFGH	249 78%	311 82% H	265 79%	259 76%	404 73%	443 78%	181 85% ILM	117 80%	92 71%	355 75%	1512 79% Q	28 72%	41 53%
Butchers	658 32%	297 32%	361 31%	33 23%	106 33%	115 30%	112 33%	102 30%	189 34%	218 38% JM	51 24%	54 37% J	37 28%	144 30%	566 30%	17 45%	41 52% O
Market	81 4%	39 4%	42 4%	10 7%	11 4%	10 3%	13 4%	11 3%	25 5%	20 4%	5 2%	6 4%	5 4%	18 4%	66 3%	6 15% OQ	1 1%
Other	90 4%	37 4%	52 5%	10 7% D	6 2%	14 4%	17 5%	16 5%	26 5%	23 4%	3 1%	7 5%	6 4%	24 5%	80 4%	1 2%	5 6%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 43

4.10 My final questions about meat are about where you shop.

Do you buy most of your raw meat from a supermarket, a butchers, a market, or some other kind of shop?

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Supermarket	1622 78%	1111	511	213	372	1151	471	872	582	458	1367	209	46	254	919	836	473
		78%	79%	75%	80%	80%	73%	76%	X	82%	79%	79%	61%	81%	76%	78%	77%
Butchers	658 32%	445	213	99	156	434	224	367	215	152	560	79	20	98	412	324	206
		31%	33%	35%	33%	30%	35%	32%	30%	27%	32%	30%	26%	31%	34%	30%	34%
Market	81 4%	67	15	5	9	49	33	54	18	16	58	17	7	13	52	43	26
		5%	2%	2%	2%	3%	5%	5%	3%	3%	3%	9%	9%	4%	4%	4%	4%
Other	90 4%	71	19	9	16	61	29	45	28	29	72	6	12	11	58	52	27
		5%	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	2%	16%	4%	5%	5%	4%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 43

4.10 My final questions about meat are about where you shop.
Do you buy most of your raw meat from a supermarket, a butchers, a market, or some other kind of shop?

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Supermarket	1622 78%	1543 78%	79 85%	1157 78%	139 79%	146 75%	169 73%	500 79%	825 79%	297 74%
Butchers	658 32%	638 32%	20 22%	445 30%	68 39% j	69 36%	116 50% jkl	203 32%	308 30%	147 37%
Market	81 4%	81 4%	-	59 4% m	8 5% m	6 3%	2 1%	22 4%	44 4%	15 4%
Other	90 4%	83 4%	7 7%	67 5% m	6 3% m	8 4% m	1	33 5%	40 4%	16 4%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 44

4.11 Which supermarket do you buy most of your meat from?

Base: All who shop at supermarket

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	1615	681	934	111	248	307	265	259	424	392	183	122	85	358	1522	25	39
Weighted Base	1622	712	910	133*	249	311	265	259	404	443	181	117*	92*	355	1512	28**	41*
Tesco	535 33%	252 35%	283 31%	45 34%	94 38% H	115 37% H	94 36% H	76 29%	109 27%	135 31%	57 31%	49 42%	32 35%	118 33%	496 33%	11 41%	12 29%
Sainsburys	286 18%	126 18%	160 18%	20 15%	45 18%	57 18%	53 20%	42 16%	69 17%	86 19% M	42 23% M	17 14%	18 20%	40 11%	268 18%	4 14%	8 19%
Asda	272 17%	119 17%	153 17%	35 26% FGH	66 27% EFGH	50 16% H	40 15%	39 15%	41 10%	64 15%	24 13%	22 19%	16 17%	82 23% U	242 16%	9 31%	10 25%
Morrisons	246 15%	98 14%	148 16%	19 14%	24 10%	33 11%	33 13%	56 22% DEF	80 20% DEF	54 12%	27 15%	17 15%	13 14%	73 21% I	236 16%	1 3%	4 9%
Waitrose	89 5%	31 4%	58 6%	3 2%	4 2%	20 7% D	14 5%	21 8% D	26 6% D	43 10% KM	7 4% M	1 1%	3 3%	3 1%	84 6%	- -	2 5%
Lidl	14 1%	6 1%	8 1%	1 1%	1 *	2 1%	1 1%	1 *	7 2%	2 *	- -	1 1%	2 2%	5 1%	14 1%	- -	- -
Aldi	34 2%	10 1%	23 3%	* *	5 2%	13 4% F	3 1%	6 2%	6 2%	9 2%	4 2%	2 2%	- -	10 3%	31 2%	1 3%	2 5%
Other	100 6%	42 6%	58 6%	6 4%	6 3%	10 3%	19 7%	10 4%	49 12% DEG	30 7%	8 4%	5 4%	6 6%	22 6%	96 6%	1 3%	4 9%
Varies too much to say	47 3%	27 4%	20 2%	4 3%	4 2%	10 3%	8 3%	7 3%	15 4%	20 4% M	11 6% M	3 3%	2 2%	3 1%	45 3%	1 3%	- -



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 44

4.11 Which supermarket do you buy most of your meat from?

Base: All who shop at supermarket

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	1615	1118	497	202	366	1126	489	954	511	409	1348	219	48	243	911	828	474
Weighted Base	1622	1111	511	213	372	1151	471	872	582	458	1367	209	46*	254	919	836	473
Tesco	535 33%	347 31%	188 37% U	88 41% RU	121 33%	403 35% W	132 28%	276 32%	187 32%	174 38% Y	465 34%	59 28%	11 24%	85 34%	303 33%	281 34%	165 35%
Sainsburys	286 18%	193 17%	92 18%	34 16%	72 19%	208 18%	78 16%	157 18%	113 19%	79 17%	232 17%	46 22%	8 16%	42 17%	155 17%	151 18%	75 16%
Asda	272 17%	176 16%	96 19%	40 19%	74 20%	223 19% W	49 10%	140 16%	113 19% Z	54 12%	241 18% b	20 10%	10 22% b	56 22%	154 17%	138 16%	93 20%
Morrisons	246 15%	190 17% SU	56 11%	23 11%	40 11%	153 13%	93 20% V	135 15%	78 13%	78 17% Y	199 15%	38 18%	9 18%	24 10%	154 17% a	120 14%	73 15%
Waitrose	89 5%	69 6%	19 4%	6 3%	16 4%	55 5%	34 7%	55 6%	28 5%	18 4%	69 5%	17 8%	3 6%	17 7%	44 5%	51 6% 9	14 3%
Lidl	14 1%	12 1%	2 *	-	2 1%	6 1%	7 2%	7 1%	5 1%	5 1%	13 1%	*	-	2 1%	7 1%	5 1%	6 1%
Aldi	34 2%	17 2%	17 3%	4 2%	13 4% R	26 2%	7 2%	22 3%	9 2%	7 1%	29 2%	5 2%	-	3 1%	24 3%	15 2%	16 3%
Other	100 6%	74 7%	26 5%	10 5%	22 6%	47 4%	53 11% V	57 7%	32 5%	27 6%	81 6%	16 8%	3 6%	16 6%	54 6%	49 6%	25 5%
Varies too much to say	47 3%	33 3%	14 3%	8 4%	11 3%	29 3%	18 4%	21 2%	19 3%	17 4%	37 3%	7 3%	3 7%	8 3%	24 3%	27 3%	6 1%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 44

4.11 Which supermarket do you buy most of your meat from?

Base: All who shop at supermarket

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	1615	1544	71	1133	137	150	195	468	845	302
Weighted Base	1622	1543	79*	1157	139	146	169	500	825	297
Tesco	535 33%	506 33%	29 37%	376 33%	48 35%	40 27%	87 51% jkl	196 39%	248 30%	91 31%
Sainsburys	286 18%	276 18%	9 12%	213 18% i	16 12%	14 10%	39 23% kl	82 16%	160 19%	44 15%
Asda	272 17%	258 17%	14 18%	193 17%	24 17%	30 20%	21 12%	73 15%	133 16%	66 22%
Morrisons	246 15%	232 15%	14 18%	174 15% m	22 16% m	35 24% jm	- -	49 10%	142 17%	55 18%
Waitrose	89 5%	87 6%	2 2%	68 6% lm	8 6% lm	- -	1 -	43 9%	38 5%	8 3%
Lidl	14 1%	13 1%	1 1%	8 1%	2 1%	4 3% j	2 1%	3 1%	8 1%	3 1%
Aldi	34 2%	34 2%	- -	24 2%	2 2%	6 4% m	- -	15 3%	14 2%	5 2%
Other	100 6%	96 6%	4 5%	68 6%	10 7%	13 9%	14 9%	25 5%	55 7%	20 7%
Varies too much to say	47 3%	42 3%	6 7%	31 3%	7 5%	4 3%	4 2%	15 3%	28 3%	5 2%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 45

5.1 Gender

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Male	921 44%	-	56 38%	144 45%	172 45%	158 47%	162 48%	230 42%	279 49% J	71 34%	75 52% J	61 47% J	212 45% J	826 43%	23 60%	47 60% O
Female	1157 56%	1157 100% A	91 62%	176 55%	209 55%	178 53%	178 52%	324 58%	290 51%	141 66% IKLM	70 48%	68 53%	264 55%	1076 57% Q	15 40%	31 40%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 45

5.1 Gender

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Male	921 44%	663 46% SU	258 40%	114 40%	177 38%	658 46%	264 41%	324 28%	429 61% X	393 71% XY	770 44%	113 43%	38 51%	184 58% e	478 40%	505 47% g	236 39%
Female	1157 56%	767 54%	389 60% R	169 60%	289 62% R	775 54%	382 59%	821 72% YZ	279 39% Z	164 29%	968 56%	151 57%	37 49%	131 42%	725 60% d	562 53%	377 61% f

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 45

5.1 Gender

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Male	921 44%	868 44%	53 57% h	656 44%	80 46%	84 43%	93 40%	271 43%	454 44%	197 49%
Female	1157 56%	1117 56% i	40 43%	821 56%	96 54%	110 57%	138 60%	366 57%	585 56%	206 51%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 46

5.2/5.3 Age
Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
18-24	147 7%	56 6%	91 8%	147 100% DEFGH	-	-	-	-	-	30 5%	7 3%	13 9%	10 8%	35 7%	116 6%	7 18% O	6 8%
25-34	319 15%	144 16%	176 15%	-	319 100% CEFGH	-	-	-	-	76 13%	40 19%	24 16%	20 15%	79 17%	256 13%	13 35% O	28 36% O
35-44	381 18%	172 19%	209 18%	-	-	381 100% CDFGH	-	-	-	124 22% M	39 18%	26 18%	23 18%	61 13%	329 17%	12 32% O	26 33% O
45-54	336 16%	158 17%	178 16%	-	-	-	336 100% CDEGH	-	-	105 18%	31 15%	19 13%	20 15%	71 15%	323 17%	2 5%	9 12%
55-64	339 16%	162 18%	178 15%	-	-	-	-	339 100% CDEFH	-	94 16%	34 16%	24 16%	21 16%	95 20%	332 17% PQ	1 2%	3 4%
65-74	281 14%	121 13%	159 14%	-	-	-	-	-	281 51% CDEFG	91 16% M	33 16%	20 14%	17 13%	50 11%	275 14% Q	1 2%	3 4%
75+	273 13%	109 12%	164 14%	-	-	-	-	-	273 49% CDEFG	50 9%	27 13%	20 14%	19 15%	82 17% I	268 14% Q	2 5%	3 4%
Refused	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 46

5.2/5.3 Age

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
18-24	147 7%	100 7% U	46 7% U	29 10% SU	15 3%	139 10% W	8 1%	36 3%	72 10% X	75 13% XY	134 8% c	13 5%	*	42 13% e	76 6%	70 7%	47 8%
25-34	319 15%	132 9%	188 29% RU	133 47% RSU	113 24% R	311 22% W	8 1%	163 14%	128 18%	82 15%	294 17% b	16 6%	10 13%	50 16%	183 15%	158 15%	109 18%
35-44	381 18%	97 7%	284 44% RT	105 37% R	238 51% RST	362 25% W	19 3%	221 19%	129 18%	102 18%	339 20% b	31 12%	11 15%	63 20%	228 19%	197 18%	120 20%
45-54	336 16%	227 16% T	109 17% T	12 4%	85 18% T	329 23% W	7 1%	189 17%	121 17%	90 16%	287 17%	42 16%	7 10%	59 19%	191 16%	190 18%	84 14%
55-64	339 16%	323 23% STU	16 3% T	1 *	11 2%	292 20% W	47 7%	187 16%	112 16%	92 17%	283 16%	49 18%	8 11%	32 10%	214 18% a	181 17%	91 15%
65-74	281 14%	277 19% STU	4 1%	2 7%	3 7%	-	281 43% V	167 15%	85 12%	63 11%	221 13%	49 19% a	10 14%	24 8%	173 14% d	159 15% g	59 10%
75+	273 13%	272 19% STU	1 *	-	1 *	-	273 42% V	180 16% YZ	60 8%	54 10%	179 10%	66 25% a	29 38% ab	45 14%	138 11%	112 11%	102 17% f
Refused	2 *	2 *	-	-	-	-	2 *	2 *	-	-	2 *	-	-	-	-	-	2 *

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 46

5.2/5.3 Age

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
18-24	147 7%	133 7%	14 15% h	106 7% i	14 8% j	5 2% k	22 9% l	36 6% m	73 7% n	38 9% o
25-34	319 15%	304 15%	15 16% p	235 16% q	18 11% r	28 14% s	35 15% t	106 17% u	165 16% v	49 12% w
35-44	381 18%	362 18%	19 21% x	269 18% y	41 23% z	26 13% aa	38 16% ab	161 25% ac	169 16% ad	51 13% ae
45-54	336 16%	327 16%	9 10% af	234 16% ag	30 17% ah	37 19% ai	42 18% aj	138 22% ak	158 15% al	40 10% am
55-64	339 16%	330 17%	10 10% an	242 16% ao	26 15% ap	35 18% aq	38 16% ar	104 16% as	172 17% at	63 16% au
65-74	281 14%	268 13%	13 14% av	194 13% aw	24 14% ax	36 19% ay	34 15% az	64 10% ba	159 15% bb	58 14% bc
75+	273 13%	260 13%	13 14% bd	194 13% be	23 13% bf	28 14% bg	24 10% bh	27 4% bi	144 14% bj	102 25% bk
Refused	2	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 47

5.4/5.5 People aged 65+ in household

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age					NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
0	1433 69%	658 71%	775 67%	139 95% GH	311 97% GH	362 95% GH	329 98% GH	292 86% H	-	396 70%	145 68%	100 69%	88 68%	323 68%	1278 67%	31 82%	68 87% O
1	378 18%	142 15%	236 20% A	5 3%	4 1%	10 3%	3 1%	45 13% CDEF	310 56% CDEF G	93 16%	47 22%	29 20%	18 14%	101 21%	362 19% Q	6 15%	6 7%
2	249 12%	116 13%	134 12%	2 1%	4 1%	7 2%	3 1%	1	230 42% CDEF G	74 13%	20 10%	16 11%	23 18%	48 10%	246 13% P	-	4 5%
3+	18 1%	6 1%	12 1%	1 1%	-	2	1	1	13 2% DEFG	7 1%	-	*	1 1%	3 1%	16 1%	1 2%	1 1%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 47

5.4/5.5 People aged 65+ in household

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
0	1433 69%	808 56%	625 97% R	272 96% R	450 96% R	1433 100% W	-	747 65%	527 74% X	421 76% X	1259 72% bc	138 52%	36 47%	233 74%	839 70%	757 71%	422 69%
1	378 18%	363 25% STU	16 2%	4 1%	14 3%	-	378 59% V	261 23% YZ	86 12%	55 10%	257 15%	91 35% a	30 39% a	50 16%	220 18%	179 17%	129 21%
2	249 12%	244 17% STU	5 1% U	4 1%	1	-	249 39% V	124 11%	95 13%	77 14%	211 12%	30 11%	9 12%	28 9%	134 11%	120 11%	57 9%
3+	18 1%	16 1%	2 *	2 1%	2 *	-	18 3% V	13 1% Y	*	4 1%	12 1%	5 2%	1 1%	3 1%	10 1%	10 1%	6 1%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 47

5.4/5.5 People aged 65+ in household

Base: All

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
0	1433 69%	1368 69%	65 70%	1029 70% j	114 65%	120 62%	165 71% l	520 82%	690 66%	223 55%
1	378 18%	359 18%	19 20%	261 18%	39 22%	36 19%	49 21%	66 10%	198 19%	113 28%
2	249 12%	241 12%	8 9%	175 12%	21 12%	34 17% jm	18 8%	48 8%	140 13%	62 15%
3+	18 1%	17 1%	1 1%	12 1%	2 1%	4 2% m	-	2 *	11 1%	5 1%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 48

5.6 How many children or young people aged under 17 live in this household?
This could include other people's children who usually live in this household, as well as your own children.

Base: All

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
0	1431 69%	663 B	767 66%	100 DE	132 E	97 25%	227 DE	323 95%	549 99%	374 66%	160 I	102 70%	94 72%	325 68%	1352 71%	16 43%	30 39%
1	260 13%	108 12%	151 13%	31 GH	83 FGH	75 20%	61 GH	8 2%	3 1%	76 13%	17 8%	14 10%	16 12%	67 14%	218 11%	8 20%	23 29%
2	266 13%	105 11%	161 14%	11 GH	60 CFGH	146 38%	39 GH	8 2%	2 *	94 17%	25 12%	16 11%	12 9%	47 10%	230 12%	9 25%	15 19%
3+	122 6%	45 5%	77 7%	4 GH	45 14%	64 17%	9 GH	-	-	25 4%	10 5%	13 9%	8 6%	36 8%	102 5%	5 13%	10 13%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 48

5.6 How many children or young people aged under 17 live in this household?
This could include other people's children who usually live in this household, as well as your own children.

Base: All

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
0	1431 69%	1431 100% STU	-	-	-	808 56%	623 97% V	806 70%	469 66%	371 67%	1138 65%	227 86% a	65 85% a	215 68%	818 68%	735 69%	403 66%
1	260 13%	-	260 40% RU	104 37% RU	129 28% R	249 17% W	10 2%	135 12%	96 14%	81 15%	237 14% bc	19 7%	4 5%	34 11%	160 13%	119 11%	97 16% f
2	266 13%	-	266 41% R	106 38% R	219 47% RST	258 18% W	8 1%	142 12%	96 14%	74 13%	245 14% b	14 5%	7 10%	46 15%	160 13%	147 14%	80 13%
3+	122 6%	-	122 19% R	72 26% RS	118 25% RS	118 8% W	4 1%	62 5%	48 7%	30 5%	118 7% bc	4 2%	-	19 6%	65 5%	66 6%	33 5%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 48

5.6 How many children or young people aged under 17 live in this household?
This could include other people's children who usually live in this household, as well as your own children.

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
0	1431 69%	1373 69%	57 62%	1024 69% k	105 60%	150 77% jkm	152 66%	399 63%	730 70%	301 75%
1	260 13%	247 12%	13 14%	184 12%	25 14%	18 9%	35 15%	99 16%	128 12%	33 8%
2	266 13%	249 13%	17 18%	181 12%	35 20% jlm	21 11%	27 12%	102 16%	119 11%	44 11%
3+	122 6%	115 6%	6 7%	88 6%	10 6%	6 3%	17 7% l	36 6%	61 6%	25 6%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 49

5.7 Age of children in household

Base: All with children in household

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	627	222	405	46	178	269	113	17	4	164	53	42	35	142	547	20	37
Weighted Base	647	258	389	46**	188	284	109*	16**	5**	196	52*	43**	36**	150*	550	22**	48**
Under 1	66 10%	33 13%	33 9%	11 24%	38 20% EF	12 4%	5 4%	-	-	19 10%	3 5%	3 8%	2 6%	16 11%	51 9%	-	9 19%
1	86 13%	30 12%	56 14%	8 18%	43 23% EF	35 12% F	-	-	-	20 10%	8 16%	5 12%	6 16%	23 15%	76 14%	2 9%	4 8%
2	64 10%	27 10%	37 10%	7 16%	29 15% F	26 9% F	2 2%	-	-	13 7%	3 7%	5 11%	3 8%	21 14%	51 9%	4 17%	9 18%
3	69 11%	30 11%	40 10%	7 15%	30 16% F	29 10% F	1 1%	1 6%	1 21%	21 11%	3 6%	2 5%	1 2%	25 17%	56 10%	3 13%	7 15%
4	70 11%	26 10%	44 11%	3 7%	32 17% F	28 10%	6 5%	-	1 17%	20 10%	6 12%	8 19%	5 13%	16 11%	61 11%	4 17%	3 6%
5	76 12%	30 12%	46 12%	* *	29 15% F	43 15% F	4 3%	-	-	23 12%	6 11%	6 13%	3 8%	17 11%	57 10%	7 30%	7 15%
6	80 12%	38 15%	42 11%	4 10%	28 15% F	43 15% F	3 3%	1 5%	-	30 16%	5 10%	9 20%	4 12%	12 8%	60 11%	3 13%	12 24%
7	85 13%	24 9%	61 16%	1 2%	29 16%	44 15%	9 8%	-	2 41%	25 13%	7 13%	11 26%	6 17%	12 8%	72 13%	4 17%	5 11%
8	62 10%	23 9%	40 10%	- -	18 10% F	41 14% F	2 2%	1 4%	1 17%	20 10%	11 21%	2 4%	2 5%	16 11%	57 10%	1 4%	2 4%
9	52 8%	20 8%	32 8%	1 2%	10 5%	36 13% D	6 5%	-	-	13 7%	2 4%	2 5%	5 13%	11 7%	42 8%	6 26%	3 6%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 49

5.7 Age of children in household

Base: All with children in household

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Weighted Base	647	258	389	46**	188	284	109*	16**	5**	196	52*	43**	36**	150*	550	22**	48**
10	77 12%	33 13%	45 11%	5 11%	11 6%	53 18% DF	7 7%	1 5%	-	27 14%	7 13%	7 16%	6 17%	16 11%	70 13%	2 9%	5 10%
11	55 9%	27 10%	28 7%	2 4%	12 6%	31 11%	9 8%	2 10%	-	13 7%	3 5%	4 10%	2 6%	14 9%	40 7%	4 17%	9 18%
12	68 11%	26 10%	42 11%	-	7 4%	37 13% D	23 21% D	1 7%	-	19 10%	9 17%	5 12%	3 9%	14 9%	64 12%	1 4%	1 2%
13	60 9%	18 7%	42 11%	4 9%	9 5%	31 11%	11 10%	5 29%	-	13 6%	9 18% I	5 12%	2 5%	13 9%	53 10%	2 9%	3 6%
14	83 13%	24 9%	59 15%	2 5%	10 6%	45 16% D	23 21% D	2 12%	1 21%	19 9%	10 19%	10 24%	6 16%	20 13%	75 14%	3 13%	3 6%
15	55 8%	17 7%	38 10%	2 5%	8 4%	17 6%	22 21% DE	5 29%	1 21%	21 11%	5 9%	2 5%	8 22%	7 4%	51 9%	1 4%	2 4%
16	79 12%	36 14%	43 11%	9 20%	5 3%	28 10% D	30 28% DE	7 43%	-	21 11%	7 14%	7 16%	5 14%	19 13%	71 13%	1 4%	5 10%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 49

5.7 Age of children in household

Base: All with children in household

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	627	-	627	264	459	607	20	359	212	165	579	39	9	99	378	331	199
Weighted Base	647	**	647	282	466	625	23**	339	240	186	600	37*	11**	99*	385	332	210
Under 1	66 10%	-	66 10% U	66 23% SU	11 2%	65 10%	1 3%	25 7%	26 11%	26 14% X	60 10%	7 18%	-	15 15% e	29 8%	35 10%	16 8%
1	86 13%	-	86 13% U	86 30% SU	42 9%	86 14%	-	41 12%	40 17% Z	18 10%	80 13%	3 7%	3 28%	17 17%	52 13%	43 13%	25 12%
2	64 10%	-	64 10% U	64 23% SU	29 6%	63 10%	1 4%	30 9%	29 12%	18 10%	60 10%	4 12%	-	8 8%	40 10%	40 12%	19 9%
3	69 11%	-	69 11% U	69 25% SU	39 8%	62 10%	7 31%	32 9%	25 10%	23 13%	67 11%	1 2%	1 9%	7 7%	33 9%	33 10%	23 11%
4	70 11%	-	70 11% U	70 25% SU	39 8%	69 11%	1 5%	39 11%	22 9%	16 8%	64 11%	2 5%	4 37%	8 8%	40 10%	41 12%	15 7%
5	76 12%	-	76 12% U	34 12% S	76 16% S	75 12%	1 4%	42 12%	29 12%	14 7%	71 12%	3 7%	2 17%	8 8%	48 12%	39 12%	24 11%
6	80 12%	-	80 12% U	43 15% S	80 17% S	77 12%	3 15%	36 11%	35 15%	23 12%	75 13%	5 13%	-	13 14%	51 13%	49 15%	17 8%
7	85 13%	-	85 13% T	23 8% S	85 18% ST	82 13%	3 14%	55 16% Z	24 10%	14 7%	78 13%	4 10%	4 34%	7 7%	56 14%	49 15%	23 11%
8	62 10%	-	62 10% U	25 9% S	62 13% S	60 10%	3 12%	34 10%	23 10%	14 8%	60 10%	2 6%	* 4%	10 10%	37 10%	29 9%	23 11%
9	52 8%	-	52 8% T	12 4% S	52 11% ST	51 8%	1 4%	34 10%	16 7%	12 7%	47 8%	4 11%	1 9%	13 13%	29 7%	35 11%	11 5%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 49

5.7 Age of children in household

Base: All with children in household

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Weighted Base	647	-**	647	282	466	625	23**	339	240	186	600	37*	11**	99*	385	332	210
10	77 12%	-	77 12% T	12 4%	77 17% ST	72 11%	6 25%	37 11%	31 13%	28 15%	74 12%	2 5%	1 9%	16 16%	43 11%	43 13%	23 11%
11	55 9%	-	55 9% T	9 3%	55 12% ST	55 9%	-	27 8%	24 10%	15 8%	50 8%	4 11%	1 9%	7 8%	36 9%	33 10%	15 7%
12	68 11%	-	68 11% T	9 3%	68 15% ST	66 11%	2 8%	39 11%	22 9%	22 12%	64 11%	4 11%	-	11 11%	32 8%	36 11%	18 8%
13	60 9%	-	60 9% T	15 5%	60 13% ST	59 9%	1 4%	32 9%	20 8%	20 11%	56 9%	3 8%	*	16 16% e	27 7%	32 10%	15 7%
14	83 13%	-	83 13% T	11 4%	83 18% ST	80 13%	3 13%	50 15%	27 11%	20 11%	80 13%	3 8%	-	14 14%	57 15%	45 14%	30 14%
15	55 8%	-	55 8% T	3 1%	55 12% ST	50 8%	5 23%	31 9%	16 7%	14 8%	51 9%	3 7%	1 9%	4 4%	37 9%	27 8%	19 9%
16	79 12%	-	79 12% TU	7 2%	46 10% T	76 12%	3 13%	40 12%	34 14%	27 14%	74 12%	5 13%	-	15 15%	48 12%	33 10%	38 18% f

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 49

5.7 Age of children in household

Base: All with children in household

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	627	596	31	437	56	47	87	225	311	91
Weighted Base	647	612	36**	453	71*	44*	79*	238	308	102*
Under 1	66 10%	59 10%	8 21%	47 10%	7 10%	3 7%	10 12%	22 9%	30 10%	14 14%
1	86 13%	83 14%	3 9%	60 13%	10 15%	5 11%	8 10%	25 10%	52 17%	9 9%
2	64 10%	62 10%	2 6%	44 10%	9 13%	3 7%	6 7%	17 7%	29 9%	18 18%
3	69 11%	63 10%	6 17%	41 9%	18 25% jl	2 5%	9 11%	26 11%	28 9%	15 15%
4	70 11%	66 11%	4 12%	47 10%	10 14%	8 18% m	5 6%	26 11%	33 11%	11 11%
5	76 12%	73 12%	2 6%	59 13%	2 3%	4 9%	7 9%	25 10%	38 12%	13 13%
6	80 12%	75 12%	5 13%	49 11%	18 26% jl	3 7%	10 12%	30 13%	38 12%	12 12%
7	85 13%	81 13%	4 11%	63 14%	3 5%	11 25% jkm	8 10%	32 13%	35 11%	18 18%
8	62 10%	56 9%	6 17%	41 9%	8 11%	5 11%	14 18% j	22 9%	35 11%	5 5%
9	52 8%	51 8%	1 3%	35 8%	6 9%	5 11%	7 9%	20 8%	15 5%	17 17%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m

* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 49

5.7 Age of children in household

Base: All with children in household

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (l)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (i)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base	647	612	36**	453	71*	44*	79*	238	308	102*
10	77 12%	72 12%	5 15%	52 12%	10 14%	9 20% m	6 7%	32 13%	36 12%	10 10%
11	55 9%	51 8%	4 11%	43 9%	1 1%	4 9%	8 10%	18 8%	30 10%	7 7%
12	68 11%	61 10%	7 20%	48 11%	6 9%	3 7%	14 17%	25 10%	34 11%	10 9%
13	60 9%	56 9%	3 9%	41 9%	6 9%	4 9%	11 14%	19 8%	28 9%	13 13%
14	83 13%	83 14%	* 1%	65 14%	3 5%	2 4%	10 12%	31 13%	43 14%	9 9%
15	55 8%	51 8%	4 10%	36 8%	9 13%	5 11%	4 5%	17 7%	31 10%	7 7%
16	79 12%	78 13%	1 3%	58 13%	7 10%	2 5%	9 11%	32 13%	35 11%	12 11%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m

* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 50

5.8 And are you the parent or main or joint carer for any of the children or young people you have told me about?

Base: All with children in household

	Total	Gender		Age					NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	627	222	405	46	178	269	113	17	4	164	53	42	35	142	547	20	37
Weighted Base	647	258	389	46**	188	284	109**	16**	5**	196	52*	43**	36**	150*	550	22**	48**
Yes	601 93%	230 89%	371 95% A	27 58%	175 93%	276 97%	105 97%	14 85%	5 100%	191 98% M	52 100% M	38 86%	35 96%	126 84%	515 94%	20 91%	41 84%
No	46 7%	28 71% B	18 5%	19 42%	12 7%	9 3%	3 3%	3 15%	-	5 2%	*	6 14%	1 4%	24 76% IJ	35 6%	2 9%	8 16%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
 * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 50

5.8 And are you the parent or main or joint carer for any of the children or young people you have told me about?

Base: All with children in household

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	627	-	627	264	459	607	20	359	212	165	579	39	9	99	378	331	199
Weighted Base	647	-**	647	282	466	625	23**	339	240	186	600	37*	11**	99*	385	332	210
Yes	601	-	601	265	436	582	19	332	211	164	557	33	11	91	362	315	185
	93%	-	93%	94%	94%	93%	84%	98% YZ	88%	88%	93%	92%	100%	92%	94%	95% g	88%
No	46	-	46	17	30	43	4	8	29	22	43	3	-	8	23	17	26
	7%	-	7%	6%	6%	7%	16%	2%	12% X	12% X	7%	8%	-	8%	6%	5%	12% f



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 50

5.8 And are you the parent or main or joint carer for any of the children or young people you have told me about?

Base: All with children in household

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	627	31	437	56	47	87	225	311	91
Weighted Base	647	36**	453	71*	44*	79*	238	308	102*
Yes	601 93%	35 97%	423 93%	63 89%	43 96%	71 89%	226 95%	287 93%	88 87%
No	46 7%	1 3%	30 7%	8 11%	2 4%	9 11%	11 5%	21 7%	14 13%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 51

5.9 Thinking of the income of the household as a whole, which of the groups on this card represents the total income of the whole household, before deductions for income tax, National Insurance etc.

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
A: GBP 20,000 - GBP 24,999	252 12%	118 13%	133 12%	15 10%	51 16%	40 10%	37 11%	44 13%	66 12%	58 10%	37 11%	14 9%	14 11%	66 14%	226 12%	7 17%	15 19%
B: GBP 6,500 - GBP 7,499	95 5%	27 3%	69 6% A	4 3%	12 4%	15 4%	6 2%	12 4%	46 8% DEFG	13 2%	4 2%	9 6%	3 2%	41 9% IJK	86 5%	3 7%	3 4%
C: GBP 17,500 - GBP 19,999	121 6%	45 5%	76 7%	6 4%	21 7% E	11 3%	15 4%	21 6%	46 8% E	22 4%	17 8% I	3 2%	8 6%	43 9% IK	111 6%	1 2%	3 3%
D: GBP 13,500 - GBP 15,499	108 5%	38 4%	70 6%	7 5%	14 4%	21 6%	14 4%	13 4%	40 7%	13 2%	10 5%	6 4%	13 10% I	36 7% I	101 5%	1 2%	6 7%
E: GBP 100,000 and over	69 3%	42 5% B	26 2%	2 1%	5 1%	17 5% DH	22 7% DH	16 5% DH	6 1%	36 6% JMN	3 1%	4 3%	4 3%	5 1%	67 4%	1 2%	- -
F: GBP 9,500 - GBP 11,499	83 4%	36 4%	47 4%	7 5%	14 4%	12 3%	7 2%	16 5%	28 5% F	12 2%	6 3%	6 4%	5 4%	34 7% I	75 4%	- -	1 1%
G: GBP 4,500 - GBP 6,499	33 2%	14 2%	19 2%	1 1%	3 1%	4 1%	3 1%	9 3%	12 2%	3 1%	4 2%	3 2%	3 3%	12 2% I	29 2%	- -	3 4%
H: GBP 50,000 - GBP 74,999	205 10%	105 11%	100 9%	14 10% H	34 10% H	56 15% H	54 16% GH	31 9% H	16 3%	97 17% JKM	21 10% M	11 8%	11 9% M	17 4%	184 10%	2 5%	10 13%
I: GBP 11,500 - GBP 13,499	68 3%	37 4%	31 3%	5 4%	5 2%	10 3%	6 2%	8 2%	34 6% DEFG	12 2%	8 4%	3 2%	6 4%	25 5% I	63 3%	1 2%	4 5%
J: GBP 25,000 - GBP 34,999	221 11%	105 11%	116 10%	6 4%	50 16% CH	47 12% CH	39 12%	36 11%	43 8%	67 12%	25 12%	25 17% M	19 14%	36 8%	202 11%	4 10%	7 8%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 51

5.9 Thinking of the income of the household as a whole, which of the groups on this card represents the total income of the whole household, before deductions for income tax, National Insurance etc.

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Weighted Base	2078		147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*	
K: GBP 75,000 - GBP 99,999	87 4%	42 5%	44 4%	3 2%	8 2%	24 6%	39 12%	8 2%	4 1%	46 8%	5 2%	3 2%	6 5%	• •	2 5%	1 1%	
L: UNDER GBP 2,500	15 1%	6 1%	9 1%	5 3% DGH	1 •	4 1%	3 1%	-	3 1%	2 •	2 1%	2 1%	-	2 •	15 1%	-	
M: GBP 35,000 - GBP 49,999	184 9%	82 9%	101 9%	12 8%	41 13% H	47 12% H	30 9% H	30 9% H	25 4%	76 13% LM	22 10% M	20 14% LM	5 4%	21 4%	174 9%	1 2%	3 4%
N: GBP 15,500 - GBP 17,499	39 2%	20 2%	19 2%	-	8 2%	8 2%	3 1%	10 3%	11 2%	8 1%	4 2%	3 2%	2 2%	12 2%	35 2%	1 2%	2 3%
O: GBP 7,500 - GBP 9,499	53 3%	11 1%	42 4% A	1 1%	2 1%	12 3%	5 1%	6 2%	27 5% DFG	9 2%	5 2%	4 3%	-	20 4% I	46 2%	2 5%	3 4%
P: GBP 2,500 - GBP 4,499	46 2%	18 2%	28 2%	8 5% H	8 3%	7 2%	7 2%	10 3%	7 1%	9 2%	5 2%	4 3%	3 2%	14 3%	39 2%	4 10% O	3 4%
Don't know	159 8%	66 7%	93 8%	43 29% DEFGH	23 7%	16 4%	15 4%	23 7%	40 7%	27 5%	11 5%	7 5%	14 11% I	44 9% I	142 7%	5 13%	10 12%
Refused	241 12%	106 12%	134 12%	9 6%	20 6%	30 8%	31 9%	47 14% DE	101 18% CDEF	60 11%	23 11%	19 13%	13 10%	47 10%	226 12%	5 13%	7 9%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 51

5.9 Thinking of the income of the household as a whole, which of the groups on this card represents the total income of the whole household, before deductions for income tax, National Insurance etc.

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
A: GBP 20,000 - GBP 24,999	252 12%	167 12%	85 13%	49 17% RS	59 13%	177 12%	75 12%	154 13%	79 11%	61 11%	213 12%	30 11%	9 12%	44 14%	138 12%	139 13%	60 10%
B: GBP 6,500 - GBP 7,499	95 5%	69 5%	26 4%	14 5%	18 4%	49 3%	46 7% V	71 6% YZ	20 3%	8 1%	76 4%	11 4%	9 12% ab	11 3%	63 5%	41 4%	42 7% f
C: GBP 17,500 - GBP 19,999	121 6%	88 6%	32 5%	21 7%	23 5%	69 5%	51 8% V	71 6%	33 5%	34 6%	102 6%	15 6%	3 4%	15 5%	67 6%	55 5%	36 6%
D: GBP 13,500 - GBP 15,499	108 5%	77 5%	30 5%	10 3%	27 6%	61 4%	47 7% V	67 6%	27 4%	25 4%	82 5%	20 8%	6 7%	14 5%	70 6%	55 5%	39 6%
E: GBP 100,000 and over	69 3%	45 3%	24 4%	8 3%	17 4%	58 4% W	11 2%	25 2%	37 5% X	28 5% X	54 3%	12 5%	3 4%	17 5%	37 3%	39 4%	14 2%
F: GBP 9,500 - GBP 11,499	83 4%	57 4%	26 4% U	14 5%	13 3%	51 4%	32 5%	47 4%	32 5%	18 3%	66 4%	12 4%	6 7%	19 6%	43 4%	42 4%	28 5%
G: GBP 4,500 - GBP 6,499	33 2%	29 2%	4 1%	1 *	4 1%	20 1%	13 2%	22 2%	6 1%	8 1%	29 2%	1 *	3 4% b	5 2%	16 1%	11 1%	12 2%
H: GBP 50,000 - GBP 74,999	205 10%	114 8%	91 14% R	38 14% R	62 13% R	180 13% W	24 4%	86 7%	89 13% X	72 13% X	175 10%	22 8%	8 10%	18 6%	143 12% a	125 12%	55 9%
I: GBP 11,500 - GBP 13,499	68 3%	54 4%	14 2%	7 2%	9 2%	34 2%	34 5% V	35 3%	26 4%	17 3%	51 3%	13 5%	4 5%	11 4%	35 3%	39 4%	23 4%
J: GBP 25,000 - GBP 34,999	221 11%	143 10%	77 12%	34 12%	63 13%	169 12% W	51 8%	118 10%	84 12%	55 10%	201 12% bc	17 7%	2 3%	31 10%	122 10%	111 10%	71 12%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 51

5.9 Thinking of the income of the household as a whole, which of the groups on this card represents the total income of the whole household, before deductions for income tax, National Insurance etc.

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
K: GBP 75,000 - GBP 99,999	87 4%	58 4%	29 4%	7 2%	22 5%	80 6% W	7 1%	41 4%	33 5%	27 5%	77 4%	6 2%	4 5%	11 4%	53 4%	60 6% g	16 3%
L: UNDER GBP 2,500	15 1%	13 1%	3 *	1 *	2 *	12 1%	3 1%	8 1%	7 1%	3 *	14 1%	1 *	1 1%	6 2% e	6 1%	9 1%	3 *
M: GBP 35,000 - GBP 49,999	184 9%	107 8%	76 12% R	31 11%	48 10%	146 10% W	38 6%	99 9%	75 11%	45 8%	165 10% c	17 7%	1 1%	25 8%	122 10%	98 9%	47 8%
N: GBP 15,500 - GBP 17,499	39 2%	25 2%	14 2% T	1 *	13 3% T	27 2%	12 2%	26 2%	9 1%	9 2%	34 2%	4 2%	1 1%	5 2%	23 2%	20 2%	12 2%
O: GBP 7,500 - GBP 9,499	53 3%	42 3%	11 2%	2 1%	10 2%	24 2%	29 4% V	42 4% YZ	7 1%	5 1%	46 3%	7 2%	-	8 2%	26 2%	24 2%	20 3%
P: GBP 2,500 - GBP 4,499	46 2%	35 2%	11 2%	6 2%	8 2%	39 3% W	7 1%	26 2%	16 2%	6 1%	31 2%	12 5% a	3 4%	11 3%	27 2%	14 1%	16 3%
Don't know	159 8%	119 8%	40 6%	18 6%	30 6%	107 7%	52 8%	66 6%	66 9% X	63 11% X	133 8%	22 8%	4 6%	30 10%	82 7%	76 7%	51 8%
Refused	241 12%	187 13% STU	54 8%	21 7%	39 8%	128 9%	113 17% V	141 12%	65 9%	75 14% Y	189 11%	42 16% a	10 13%	33 11%	132 11%	109 10%	69 11%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 51

5.9 Thinking of the income of the household as a whole, which of the groups on this card represents the total income of the whole household, before deductions for income tax, National Insurance etc.

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
A: GBP 20,000 - GBP 24,999	252 12%	242 12%	9 10%	185 13%	14 8%	27 14%	19 8%	84 13%	115 11%	52 13%
B: GBP 6,500 - GBP 7,499	95 5%	91 5%	4 4%	65 4%	10 6%	9 5%	14 6%	10 2%	49 5%	36 9%
C: GBP 17,500 - GBP 19,999	121 6%	115 6%	6 6%	91 6% k	3 2%	15 8% k	10 4%	34 5%	62 6%	24 6%
D: GBP 13,500 - GBP 15,499	108 5%	103 5%	5 5%	75 5%	10 6%	8 4%	17 7%	21 3%	62 6%	25 6%
E: GBP 100,000 and over	69 3%	66 3%	3 4%	53 4%	3 2%	4 2%	4 2%	32 5%	26 2%	12 3%
F: GBP 9,500 - GBP 11,499	83 4%	79 4%	4 4%	60 4%	6 3%	4 2%	17 7% jl	25 4%	34 3%	23 6%
G: GBP 4,500 - GBP 6,499	33 2%	32 2%	1 1%	25 2%	2 1%	2 1%	2 1%	4 1%	19 2%	10 2%
H: GBP 50,000 - GBP 74,999	205 10%	196 10%	9 9%	147 10%	19 11%	15 8%	17 7%	89 14%	99 9%	17 4%
I: GBP 11,500 - GBP 13,499	68 3%	68 3%	-	48 3%	6 3%	8 4%	6 3%	17 3%	29 3%	22 5%
J: GBP 25,000 - GBP 34,999	221 11%	212 11%	8 9%	157 11%	18 11%	22 12%	22 10%	69 11%	119 12%	32 8%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 51

5.9 Thinking of the income of the household as a whole, which of the groups on this card represents the total income of the whole household, before deductions for income tax, National Insurance etc.

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
K: GBP 75,000 - GBP 99,999	87 4%	84 4%	3 3%	63 4%	6 4%	9 5%	4 2%	33 5%	48 5%	6 1%
L: UNDER GBP 2,500	15 1%	10 *	6 6% h	10 1%	3 2%	-	-	10 2%	3 *	3 1%
M: GBP 35,000 - GBP 49,999	184 9%	176 9%	8 8%	130 9%	14 8%	18 10%	24 10%	64 10%	99 10%	21 5%
N: GBP 15,500 - GBP 17,499	39 2%	39 2%	* *	28 2%	3 2%	4 2%	2 1%	12 2%	21 2%	6 1%
O: GBP 7,500 - GBP 9,499	53 3%	51 3%	2 2%	38 3%	3 2%	6 3%	9 4%	3 1%	30 3%	20 5%
P: GBP 2,500 - GBP 4,499	46 2%	44 2%	2 2%	32 2%	3 2%	8 4%	3 1%	16 2%	21 2%	9 2%
Don't know	159 8%	148 7%	11 12%	97 7%	33 19% jlm	13 7%	21 9%	44 7%	77 7%	38 10%
Refused	241 12%	229 12%	12 13%	172 12%	17 10%	22 11%	39 17% j	69 11%	126 12%	47 12%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 52

NS-SEC

Base: All (except Never Worked)

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2038	867	1171	115	303	371	330	334	584	511	217	157	116	482	1902	33	61
Weighted Base	2035	904	1130	129*	315	372	332	338	547	570	212	146	130*	475	1872	36**	74*
1	235 12%	124 14% B	110 10%	12 9%	32 10%	51 14% H	44 13%	50 15% H	47 9%	235 41% JKLM	-	-	-	-	214 11%	5 13%	14 19%
2	335 16%	155 17%	180 16%	18 14%	44 14%	73 20% G	61 18%	44 13%	95 17%	335 59% JKLM	-	-	-	-	308 16%	3 8%	7 10%
3	212 10%	71 8%	141 12% A	7 6%	40 13%	39 10%	31 9%	34 10%	60 11%	-	212 100% IKLM	-	-	-	198 11%	2 5%	5 6%
4	146 7%	75 8%	70 6%	13 10%	24 8%	26 7%	19 6%	24 7%	40 7%	-	-	146 100% IJLM	-	-	139 7%	3 8%	3 4%
5	130 6%	61 7%	68 6%	10 8%	20 6%	23 6%	20 6%	21 6%	36 7%	-	-	-	130 100% IJKM	-	-	-	
6	254 12%	115 13%	139 12%	18 14%	44 14%	36 10%	36 11%	54 16% E	66 12%	-	-	-	-	254 53% IJKL	220 12%	8 21%	16 22% O
7	222 11%	97 11%	125 11%	17 13%	36 11%	26 7%	36 11%	41 12% E	66 12% E	-	-	-	-	222 47% IJKL	205 11%	5 13%	8 11%
8	14 1%	6 1%	8 1%	2 2%	6 2%	2 1%	2 1%	* *	3 *	-	-	-	-	-	11 1%	1 3%	2 3%
9	29 1%	8 1%	21 2%	1 1%	5 2%	2 *	3 1%	2 1%	16 3% E	-	-	-	-	-	27 1%	-	2 2%
Not stated	459 23%	192 21%	267 24%	30 23%	64 20%	96 26%	80 24%	69 20%	119 22%	-	-	-	-	-	421 22%	10 29%	16 22%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
 * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 52

NS-SEC

Base: All (except Never Worked)

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	2038	1429	609	252	449	1364	674	1213	625	502	1685	278	75	292	1182	1031	610
Weighted Base	2035	1403	632	272	456	1398	637	1119	692	554	1701	261	73*	295	1185	1034	605
1	235 12%	151 11%	83 13%	42 15%	53 12%	174 12%	60 9%	105 9%	93 13% X	82 15% X	194 11%	37 14%	4 5%	41 14%	137 12%	145 14% g	50 8%
2	335 16%	223 16%	113 18%	38 14%	85 19%	222 16%	113 18%	182 16%	110 16%	85 15%	292 17%	35 13%	8 11%	52 18%	192 16%	190 18% g	81 13%
3	212 10%	160 11% T	52 8%	15 5%	45 10% T	145 10%	67 11%	128 11%	70 10%	50 9%	169 10%	34 13%	9 12%	22 8%	135 11%	100 10%	67 11%
4	146 7%	102 7%	43 7%	16 6%	37 8%	100 7%	46 7%	80 7%	56 8%	35 6%	123 7%	15 6%	8 11%	25 9%	86 7%	84 8%	38 6%
5	130 6%	94 7%	36 6%	15 6%	24 5%	88 6%	42 7%	61 5%	54 8%	38 7%	119 7% b	8 3%	3 4%	19 7%	81 7%	73 7%	29 5%
6	254 12%	173 12%	81 13%	34 12%	60 13%	180 13%	74 12%	142 13%	78 11%	68 12%	212 12%	30 12%	11 16%	34 12%	143 12%	113 11%	82 14%
7	222 11%	153 11%	69 11%	45 17% RSU	45 10%	144 10%	78 12%	120 11%	73 11%	62 11%	181 11%	28 11%	12 17%	22 7%	139 12%	77 7%	103 17% f
8	14 1%	6 *	8 1%	5 2% R	4 1%	12 1%	3 *	12 1%	2 *	3 *	11 1%	2 1%	1 2%	2 1%	9 1%	7 1%	3 1%
9	29 1%	24 2%	5 1%	3 1%	3 1%	11 1%	18 3% V	22 2%	5 1%	5 1%	23 1%	6 2%	-	5 2%	15 1%	15 1%	10 2%
Not stated	459 23%	318 23%	140 22%	59 22%	100 22%	322 23%	137 21%	266 24%	150 22%	127 23%	376 22%	66 25%	17 23%	71 24%	250 21%	230 22%	142 24%



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 52

NS-SEC

Base: All (except Never Worked)

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	2038	1953	85	1407	170	199	262	604	1040	394
Weighted Base	2035	1946	88*	1442	176	193	226	629	1022	383
1	235 12%	223 11%	11 13%	157 11%	29 16%	29 15%	24 11%	101 16%	113 11%	20 5%
2	335 16%	317 16%	19 21%	240 17%	26 15%	37 19%	28 12%	136 22%	159 16%	40 10%
3	212 10%	201 10%	11 12%	149 10%	17 10%	21 11%	32 14%	74 12%	107 10%	30 8%
4	146 7%	136 7%	9 11%	94 7%	17 10%	21 11% j	29 13% j	41 6%	78 8%	27 7%
5	130 6%	129 7%	1 1%	96 7%	5 3%	14 7%	15 7%	32 5%	64 6%	33 9%
6	254 12%	240 12%	14 15%	178 12%	19 11%	27 14%	39 17% j	66 11%	121 12%	67 17%
7	222 11%	217 11%	4 5%	159 11%	14 8%	15 8%	46 20% jkl	37 6%	127 12%	58 15%
8	14 1%	13 1%	1 1%	10 1%	-	2 1%	5 2% j	3 *	2 *	10 3%
9	29 1%	27 1%	2 2%	18 1%	3 2%	4 2%	8 4% j	6 1%	17 2%	7 2%
Not stated	459 23%	442 23%	17 19%	340 24% lm	46 26% lm	24 12% m	- -	133 21%	234 23%	91 24%



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m

* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 53

5.18 What is your ethnic group?

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	2078	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
White																
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	1789 86%	1002 87%	103 70%	220 69%	304 80% D	313 93% CDE	321 95% CDE	525 95% CDE	486 85%	188 89%	128 88%	124 96% IM	404 85%	1789 94% PQ	-	-
Irish	18 1%	10 1%	1 *	5 1%	2 1%	3 1%	2 1%	5 1%	7 1%	2 1%	1 1%	*	2 *	18 1%	-	-
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	4 *	3 *	-	*	*	*	1 *	2 *	1 *	-	-	2 2%	*	4 *	-	-
Any other White background	92 4%	61 5%	13 9% FGH	31 10% FGH	23 6% FGH	7 2%	7 2%	11 2%	28 5%	8 4%	9 7%	3 2%	19 4%	92 5%	-	-
Mixed / Multiple ethnic groups																
White and Black Caribbean	12 1%	7 1%	5 3% FGH	4 1% H	2 *	1 *	1 *	-	9 1%	-	-	-	3 1%	-	-	-
White and Black African	10 1%	5 *	8 5% DEFGH	-	2 *	1 *	-	-	4 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 *	-	-	-
White and Asian	13 1%	8 1%	2 1% H	9 3% FGH	3 1%	-	-	-	2 *	6 3% I	-	-	3 1%	-	-	-
Any other Mixed / Multiple ethnic background	6 *	6 *	1 1%	3 1%	2 *	-	1 *	-	1 *	2 1%	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 53

5.18 What is your ethnic group?

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Asian / Asian British																	
Indian	16 1%	6 1%	11 1%	3 2%	2 1%	7 2% H	3 1%	1 *	1 *	8 1% M	2 1%	2 1% M	-	-	-	-	16 21% OP
Pakistani	22 1%	17 2% B	6 *	2 1%	10 3% GH	5 1%	4 1%	1 *	1 *	9 2%	-	*	-	6 1%	-	-	22 28% OP
Bangladeshi	7 *	3 *	4 *	-	4 1%	1 *	-	1 *	1 *	2 *	-	1 1%	-	3 1%	-	-	7 8% O
Chinese	5 *	1 *	4 *	1 1%	3 1%	*	-	-	1 *	1 *	-	-	-	2 *	-	-	5 6% O
Any other Asian background	28 1%	21 2% B	8 1%	1 1%	9 3% GH	13 3% FGH	3 1%	-	2 *	2 *	3 1%	-	-	14 3% I	-	-	28 36% OP
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British																	
African	18 1%	11 1%	7 1%	2 1% H	9 3% FGH	7 2% FH	-	1 *	-	2 *	-	-	-	7 1%	-	18 47% OQ	-
Caribbean	12 1%	7 1%	6 *	5 3% EFGH	3 1%	1 *	1 *	-	3 1%	3 *	1 *	1 1%	-	4 1%	-	12 32% OQ	-
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	8 *	5 1%	3 *	-	2 1%	5 1% H	1 *	-	-	3 *	1 *	2 1%	-	2 *	-	8 20% OQ	-
Other ethnic group																	
Arab	7 *	3 *	4 *	1 1%	3 1%	3 1%	-	-	-	1 *	-	-	-	4 1%	-	-	-



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 53

5.18 What is your ethnic group?

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age					NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Weighted Base 2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Any other ethnic group 10	5	6	1 7%	4 7%	2	-	2 7%	2	3	-	-	-	3 7%	-	-	-



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 53

5.18 What is your ethnic group?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
White																	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	1789 86%	1286 90% STU	503 78% T	202 71%	369 79% T	1187 83%	602 93% V	1003 88% Y	592 84%	475 85%	1485 85%	243 92% ac	61 80%	264 84%	1036 86%	917 86%	527 86%
Irish	18 1%	14 1%	4 1%	1 *	3 1%	11 1%	7 1%	13 1%	4 1%	2 *	15 1%	3 1%	*	3 1%	12 1%	9 1%	5 1%
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	4 *	3 *	*	*	-	1 *	2 *	3 *	1 *	*	3 *	1 *	-	-	4 *	1 *	*
Any other White background	92 4%	48 3%	44 7% R	25 9% R	26 5%	79 5% W	13 2%	48 4%	40 6%	29 5%	80 5%	6 2%	5 7%	11 4%	57 5%	39 4%	41 7% f
Mixed / Multiple ethnic groups																	
White and Black Caribbean	12 1%	3 *	9 1% R	7 2% R	6 1% R	12 1% W	-	3 *	5 1%	5 1%	12 1%	-	-	-	9 1%	3 *	7 1%
White and Black African	10 1%	8 1%	3 *	1 *	3 1%	10 1%	-	2 *	5 1%	4 1%	10 1%	-	-	5 2% e	4 *	9 1%	2 *
White and Asian	13 1%	9 1%	5 1%	2 1%	5 1%	11 1%	2 *	5 *	5 1%	8 1%	11 1%	-	2 3% b	2 1%	10 1%	3 *	5 1%
Any other Mixed / Multiple ethnic background	6 *	5 *	2 *	1 *	-	6 *	1 *	5 *	1 *	2 *	5 *	2 1%	-	1 *	1 *	3 *	3 *



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 53

5.18 What is your ethnic group?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Asian / Asian British																	
Indian	16 1%	9 1%	8 1%	2 1%	6 1%	15 1%	1	10 1%	5 1%	3 1%	12 1%	2 1%	3 4% a	5 2%	9 1%	10 1%	2
Pakistani	22 1%	8 1%	15 2% R	13 5% RSU	9 2% R	20 7% W	2	7 1%	11 2%	6 1%	22 1%	-	-	2 1%	11 1%	18 2%	5 1%
Bangladeshi	7	4	3	2 1%	3 1%	6	1	3	4 1%	-	7	-	-	1	3	4	-
Chinese	5	3	2	1	1	4	1	3	2	2	3	2 1%	-	1	1	4	1
Any other Asian background	28 1%	8 1%	21 3% R	10 4% R	14 3% R	23 2%	6 1%	12 1%	16 2%	7 1%	26 2%	1	1 1%	7 2%	15 1%	16 2%	3
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British																	
African	18 1%	6	12 2% R	9 3% R	7 1% R	14 1%	4 1%	10 1%	6 1%	6 1%	16 1%	1	1 1%	5 2%	9 1%	9 1%	7 1%
Caribbean	12 1%	9 1%	3	1	3 1%	9 1%	3	5	6 1%	3 1%	10 1%	1	1 1%	6 2% e	5	6 1%	6 1%
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	8	1	7 1% R	1	7 1% R	8 1%	-	5	2	1	6	1	1 1%	-	8 1%	6 1%	2
Other ethnic group																	
Arab	7	1	6 1% R	3 1% R	5 1% R	7	-	4	3	-	7	-	-	-	3	4	-



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 53

5.18 What is your ethnic group?

Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Weighted Base 2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Any other ethnic group 10	7 1%	3 *	2 1%	2 *	8 1%	2 *	5 *	3 *	5 1%	7 *	2 1%	1 1%	3 1%	6 1%	7 1%	1 *



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 53

5.18 What is your ethnic group?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
White										
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	1789 86%	1708 86%	80 86%	1255 85%	165 94% jm	183 94% jm	189 82%	571 90%	919 89%	298 74%
Irish	18 1%	18 1%	-	7	1	1	33 14% jkl	9 1%	6 1%	3 1%
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	4	4	-	2	-	-	3 1% j	3 1%	*	-
Any other White background	92 4%	88 4%	3 4%	71 5%	2 1%	7 4%	5 2%	21 3%	46 4%	25 6%
Mixed / Multiple ethnic groups										
White and Black Caribbean	12 1%	8	5 5% h	10 1%	-	-	-	2	5	6 1%
White and Black African	10 1%	10 1%	-	9 1%	-	-	-	-	1	9 2%
White and Asian	13 1%	13 1%	-	11 1%	-	-	-	-	12 1%	1
Any other Mixed / Multiple ethnic background	6	6	-	5	1	-	-	2	4	1

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 53

5.18 What is your ethnic group?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Asian / Asian British										
Indian	16 1%	16 1%	-	13 1%	1 *	1 *	-	6 1%	6 1%	4 1%
Pakistani	22 1%	22 1%	-	15 1%	4 2% m	1 *	-	7 1%	1 *	14 3%
Bangladeshi	7 *	7 *	-	6 *	-	-	-	2 *	1 *	4 1%
Chinese	5 *	5 *	-	3 *	-	2 1%	1 *	2 *	2 *	-
Any other Asian background	28 1%	28 1%	-	23 2%	1 *	-	-	2 *	14 1%	12 3%
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British										
African	18 1%	15 1%	3 3%	15 1%	-	-	-	5 1%	5 *	9 2%
Caribbean	12 1%	11 1%	1 1%	10 1%	-	-	-	1 *	9 1%	2 *
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	8 *	8 *	-	6 *	-	-	-	2 *	2 *	4 1%
Other ethnic group										
Arab	7 *	7 *	-	6 *	-	-	-	1 *	1 *	5 1%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 53

5.18 What is your ethnic group?

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Weighted Base 2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Any other ethnic group 10	9	1 1%	7	2 1%	-	-	2	4	5 1%



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 54

Change from 3.1 to 3.2

Base: All except Don't know/It depends

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	1767	765	1002	116	270	329	297	277	478	458	190	128	101	396	1644	30	57
Weighted Base	1783	808	975	137*	277	332	303	279	454	516	192	121*	115*	383	1635	31**	66*
4	10 1%	2 *	8 1%	-	-	4 1%	3 1%	1 *	2 *	3 1%	*	-	-	2 *	7 *	-	2 3% O
3	143 8%	51 6%	92 9% A	20 14% FH	26 9%	30 9%	19 6%	21 8%	27 6%	31 6%	11 6%	17 14% IJ	9 7%	37 10%	118 7%	2 6%	13 20% O
2	331 19%	124 15%	208 21% A	27 19%	51 18%	61 18%	57 19%	51 18%	85 19%	93 18%	44 23%	23 19%	20 18%	80 21%	310 19%	6 18%	8 13%
1	460 26%	204 25%	256 26%	27 20%	64 23%	76 23%	96 32% EG	64 23%	133 29%	138 27% L	58 30% L	27 22%	18 16%	95 25%	428 26%	5 15%	20 31%
0	752 42%	379 47% B	373 38%	58 42%	125 45%	149 45%	113 37%	130 47%	176 39%	222 43%	74 39%	47 39%	62 54% JM	147 38%	694 42%	15 48%	19 29%
-1	66 4%	35 4%	31 3%	5 4%	7 3%	9 3%	9 3%	12 4%	23 5%	25 5%	4 2%	3 3%	3 3%	12 3%	60 4%	4 12%	1 1%
-2	17 1%	10 1%	6 1%	1 1%	3 1%	1 *	3 1%	* *	8 2%	1 *	* *	3 2% I	3 2% I	8 2% I	14 1%	-	2 3%
-3	3 *	2 *	1 *	-	-	1 *	2 1%	-	-	3 1%	-	-	-	-	3 *	-	-
-4	2 *	1 *	1 *	-	-	1 *	-	-	1 *	-	-	-	-	1 *	1 *	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
 * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 54

Change from 3.1 to 3.2

Base: All except Don't know/It depends

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	1767	1221	546	226	402	1214	553	1049	546	435	1459	243	65	302	1165	924	554
Weighted Base	1783	1222	561	236	405	1256	527	975	624	479	1487	234	63*	312	1168	941	550
4	10 1%	7 1%	3 1%	1 *	2 *	8 1%	2 *	8 1%	2 *	-	9 1%	1 *	-	-	10 1%	3 *	6 1%
3	143 8%	89 7%	55 10%	28 12% R	43 11%	110 9%	34 6%	64 7%	64 10% X	46 10%	133 b	9 4%	2 3%	-	143 d	90 10%	36 6%
2	331 19%	211 17%	120 21%	57 24% R	83 20%	232 18%	99 19%	170 17%	129 21%	97 20%	288 b	31 13%	13 20%	-	312 d	167 18%	106 19%
1	460 26%	321 26%	138 25%	48 20%	102 25%	317 25%	142 27%	250 26%	153 25%	124 26%	362 24%	81 35% a	16 26%	58 19%	234 20%	247 26%	133 24%
0	752 42%	530 43%	222 40%	93 39%	159 39%	536 43%	216 41%	432 44%	244 39%	192 40%	622 42%	100 43%	30 48%	209 e	453 39%	382 41%	248 45%
-1	66 4%	49 4%	17 3%	6 3%	11 3%	42 3%	24 5%	34 3%	29 5%	18 4%	55 4%	10 4%	-	28 e	15 1%	38 4%	19 3%
-2	17 1%	13 1%	3 1%	3 1%	3 1%	9 1%	8 1%	13 1%	3 *	2 *	14 1%	1 *	2 3%	12 e	-	10 1%	3 1%
-3	3 *	-	3 1% R	-	1 *	2 *	1 *	3 *	-	-	3 *	-	-	3 e	-	3 *	-
-4	2 *	1 *	1 *	-	1 *	1 *	1 *	1 *	1 *	1 *	1 *	1 *	-	2 e	-	2 *	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 54

Change from 3.1 to 3.2

Base: All except Don't know/It depends

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	1767	1696	71	1233	142	175	217	535	882	350
Weighted Base	1783	1707	77*	1275	144	168	186	561	881	342
4	10 1%	10 1%	-	7 1%	2 1%	-	1	3	4	4 1%
3	143 8%	140 8%	3 4%	101 8%	14 9%	8 5%	25 13% j	53 9%	61 7%	30 9%
2	331 19%	325 19% i	6 8%	226 18%	35 24%	42 25% j	36 19%	99 18%	172 19%	60 18%
1	460 26%	438 26%	22 28%	339 27% m	34 23%	32 19%	37 20%	139 25%	252 29%	68 20%
0	752 42%	709 42%	43 56% h	545 43%	51 36%	73 44%	75 41%	239 43%	361 41%	152 45%
-1	66 4%	64 4%	2 2%	44 3%	6 4%	9 5%	10 6%	24 4%	25 3%	17 5%
-2	17 1%	16 1%	1 1%	11 1%	2 1%	2 1%	2 1%	1	6 1%	9 3%
-3	3	3	-	2	-	-	-	2	1	-
-4	2	2	-	-	1 1% j	2 1% j	-	2	-	-



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m

* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 55

Change from 3.2 to 3.3

Base: All except Don't know/It depends

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	1948	836	1112	121	294	358	324	315	536	490	207	146	109	449	1815	32	61
Weighted Base	1957	880	1077	140*	306	359	329	320	504	550	203	134*	123*	442	1797	34**	72*
4	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-
3	12 1%	5 1%	7 1%	3 2%	* *	1 *	3 1%	1 *	3 1%	4 1%	-	-	-	6 1%	12 1%	-	-
2	49 3%	20 2%	29 3%	3 2%	8 3%	6 2%	8 2%	9 3%	16 3%	10 2%	3 2%	4 3%	7 5%	10 2%	46 3%	1 3%	1 1%
1	188 10%	84 9%	105 10%	10 7%	31 10%	31 9%	31 9%	32 10%	53 11%	61 11%	13 7%	15 11%	14 11%	34 8%	172 10%	4 11%	9 13%
0	1529 78%	691 79%	837 78%	102 73%	249 81%	290 81%	261 79%	250 78%	377 75%	423 77%	166 82%	96 72%	99 80%	346 78%	1405 78%	28 81%	49 68%
-1	123 6%	53 6%	69 6%	11 8%	16 5%	20 5%	21 6%	22 7%	33 7%	33 6%	12 6%	13 10%	4 3%	31 7%	113 6%	-	9 12%
-2	46 2%	23 3%	24 2%	11 8% DEFG	2 1%	3 1%	5 1%	7 2%	19 4% DE	13 2%	7 3%	6 5%	-	13 3%	40 2%	2 6%	2 3%
-3	6	4	2	-	-	4 1%	-	-	2	3 1%	1	-	-	1	5	-	1 1%
-4	3	-	3	-	-	3 1%	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	1 1% O

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
 * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 55

Change from 3.2 to 3.3

Base: All except Don't know/It depends

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	1948	1354	594	247	437	1324	624	1155	601	480	1616	260	72	301	1154	1010	588
Weighted Base	1957	1343	615	263	447	1368	589	1072	671	529	1643	246	69*	311	1155	1025	579
4	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
												a					
3	12 1%	7 1%	4 1%	1	4 1%	6	6 1%	3	7 1%	6 1%	10 1%	-	2 3%	-	9 1%	3	4 1%
													ab				
2	49 3%	38 3%	12 2%	5 2%	6 1%	33 2%	16 3%	33 3%	12 2%	9 2%	41 3%	6 3%	1 2%	3 1%	35 3%	33 3%	9 2%
1	188 10%	123 9%	66 11%	25 9%	48 11%	128 9%	61 10%	99 9%	69 10%	56 11%	160 10%	21 8%	7 11%	15 5%	121 10%	116 11%	47 8%
														a			
0	1529 78%	1050 78%	479 78%	207 79%	348 78%	1087 79%	441 75%	852 79%	515 77%	408 77%	1285 78%	193 79%	50 73%	260 84%	893 77%	803 78%	453 78%
														e			
-1	123 6%	87 6%	36 6%	20 8%	28 6%	81 6%	42 7%	57 5%	49 7%	36 7%	102 6%	16 7%	4 6%	24 8%	64 6%	50 5%	43 8%
-2	46 2%	34 3%	12 2%	3 1%	7 2%	27 2%	20 3%	22 2%	15 2%	11 2%	35 2%	7 3%	4 6%	5 1%	31 3%	15 1%	20 3%
																	f
-3	6	2	4 1%	1	3 1%	4	2	2	4 1%	3 1%	5	1	-	3 1%	2	4	3
-4	3	1	2	2 1%	2	3	-	3	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	1	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
 * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 55

Change from 3.2 to 3.3

Base: All except Don't know/It depends

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	1948	1869	79	1348	162	191	247	573	986	389
Weighted Base	1957	1871	86*	1388	169	185	214	601	975	382
4	1	1	-	-	-	2 1%	-	1	-	-
3	12 1%	11 1%	1 1%	6	3 2%	1	2 1%	2	5	6 1%
2	49 3%	48 3%	1 1%	35 3%	3 2%	6 3%	5 2%	12 2%	23 2%	14 4%
1	188 10%	183 10%	5 6%	133 10%	14 9%	19 10%	26 12%	61 10%	96 10%	31 8%
0	1529 78%	1453 78%	75 88%	1088 78%	131 77%	137 74%	167 78%	490 81%	752 77%	288 75%
-1	123 6%	120 6%	2 3%	87 6%	9 5%	14 8%	12 6%	27 4%	76 8%	20 5%
-2	46 2%	45 2%	1 1%	32 2%	6 3%	5 3%	2 1%	6 1%	19 2%	21 6%
-3	6	6	-	2	3 2%	1	-	3 1%	2	1
-4	3	3	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	1

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 56

Change from 3.3 to 3.4

Base: All except Don't know/It depends

	Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)
Unweighted Base	1965	833	1132	122	296	364	324	319	540	495	207	149	109	453	1828	32	62
Weighted Base	1971	877	1094	141*	308	363	329	324	506	554	202	138*	122*	445	1807	34**	73*
4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	2	2	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	-
2	44 2%	25 3%	19 2%	6 4%	4 1%	8 2%	3 1%	8 2%	16 3%	11 2%	4 2%	4 3%	1 1%	9 2%	41 2%	1 3%	1 1%
1	193 10%	83 9%	110 10%	15 11%	35 11%	26 7%	27 8%	35 11%	55 11%	51 9%	26 13%	14 10%	8 6%	52 12%	161 9%	4 11%	24 33% O
0	1634 83%	725 83%	908 83%	112 80%	253 82%	313 86% H	292 89% GH	260 80%	402 79%	468 85%	165 82%	106 77%	109 89% K	354 79%	1518 84% Q	26 75%	42 58%
-1	83 4%	32 4%	51 5%	6 4%	12 4%	15 4% F	4 1%	16 5% F	31 6% F	21 4%	6 3%	13 9% IJ	4 3%	25 6%	74 4%	4 11%	4 5%
-2	16 1%	10 1%	6 1%	1 1%	4 1%	1	3 1%	4 1%	2	2	2 1%	1	1 1%	6 1%	11 1%	-	2 3%
-3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
 * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 56

Change from 3.3 to 3.4

Base: All except Don't know/It depends

	Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling	
		None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)
Unweighted Base	1965	1361	604	249	445	1337	628	1171	604	478	1632	262	71	301	1165	1014	594
Weighted Base	1971	1349	622	264	453	1380	591	1085	673	525	1655	247	69*	309	1164	1029	584
4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	2	-	2	1	1	2	-	-	1	2	2	-	-	2	1	-	-
2	44 2%	30 2%	13 2%	5 2%	7 1%	27 2%	17 3%	17 2%	23 3% XZ	9 2%	35 2%	9 4%	-	5 2%	30 3%	19 2%	19 3%
1	193 10%	140 10%	53 9%	20 7%	41 9%	127 9%	66 11%	97 9%	66 10%	54 10%	159 10%	24 10%	9 14%	25 8%	109 9%	97 9%	46 8%
0	1634 83%	1109 82%	524 84%	224 85%	382 84%	1161 84% W	472 80%	919 85%	553 82%	431 82%	1372 83%	205 83%	57 82%	264 85%	959 82%	858 83%	490 84%
-1	83 4%	59 4%	24 4%	11 4%	21 5%	48 4%	35 6% V	46 4%	24 4%	24 5%	75 5%	7 3%	2 3%	9 3%	56 5%	44 4%	26 4%
-2	16 1%	11 1%	5 1% U	4 2%	2	14 1%	2	7 1%	6 1%	5 1%	12 1%	2 1%	1 1%	6 2%	8 1%	11 1%	3
-3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 56

Change from 3.3 to 3.4

Base: All except Don't know/It depends

	Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk		
		Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)
Unweighted Base	1965	1886	79	1358	164	193	250	584	992	389
Weighted Base	1971	1885	86*	1397	171	187	217	610	979	382
4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	2	2	-	2	-	-	-	1	-	1
2	44 2%	41 2%	3 3%	30 2%	6 4%	4 2%	2 1%	9 2%	16 2%	19 5%
1	193 10%	184 10%	9 10%	150 11% kl	6 4%	8 4%	19 9%	44 7%	110 11%	38 10%
0	1634 83%	1562 83%	72 84%	1145 82%	150 88%	167 89% j	179 83%	540 88%	797 81%	296 78%
-1	83 4%	81 4%	2 3%	59 4%	7 4%	7 4%	12 6%	15 2%	50 5%	19 5%
-2	16 1%	16 1%	-	11 1%	1	1	5 2% j	1	6 1%	9 2%
-3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 57

Chemical and Physical treatments

Base: All

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
Oppose both chemical	922 44%	340 37%	582 50% A	57 39%	128 40%	186 49%	145 43%	175 52% DH	231 42%	255 45%	109 52%	68 47%	70 54%	212 45%	859 45%	13 35%	27 35%
Support both chemical	119 6%	81 9% B	39 3%	16 11% G	15 5%	30 8% G	17 5%	12 4%	28 5%	37 6%	8 4%	7 5%	5 4%	20 4%	99 5%	3 7%	11 14% O
Oppose both physical	368 18%	132 14%	236 20% A	25 17%	58 18%	64 17%	55 16%	62 18%	102 18%	78 14%	44 21% I	21 15%	18 14%	110 23% I	347 18% Q	9 22% Q	4 5%
Support both physical	582 28%	277 30%	305 26%	57 39% GH	97 30% GH	138 36% GH	108 32% GH	75 22%	106 19%	180 32% M	55 26%	47 33% M	36 28%	95 20%	524 28%	13 35%	23 29%
Support all 4	93 4%	65 7% B	28 2%	14 10% FGH	14 4%	20 5%	13 4%	11 3%	21 4%	28 5%	8 4%	5 3%	4 3%	12 3%	78 4%	3 7%	6 7%
Oppose all 4	265 13%	90 10%	175 15% A	13 9%	35 11%	45 12%	44 13%	47 14%	81 15%	64 11%	34 16% K	11 7%	14 11%	72 15% K	253 13%	2 5%	4 5%
All others	679 33%	329 36% B	350 30%	44 30%	104 33% E	90 24%	103 31%	102 30%	235 42% CDEF G	187 33%	65 31%	44 30%	34 26%	166 35%	619 33%	10 27%	28 35%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 57

Chemical and Physical treatments
Base: All

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
Oppose both chemical	922 44%	627 44%	295 46%	114 40%	221 47% T	644 45%	278 43%	521 45%	317 45%	225 40%	796 46% b	97 37%	29 38%	-	922 77% d	418 39%	388 63% f
Support both chemical	119 6%	83 6%	37 6%	14 5%	27 6%	86 6%	34 5%	58 5%	47 7%	40 7%	96 6%	19 7%	5 6%	119 38% e	-	107 10% g	5 1% h
Oppose both physical	368 18%	249 17%	120 18% T	39 14%	83 18%	241 17%	127 20%	222 19%	121 17%	85 15%	313 18%	44 17%	11 14%	16 5%	305 25% d	-	368 60% f
Support both physical	582 28%	374 26%	208 32% R	94 33% R	150 32% R	459 32% W	123 19%	304 27%	218 31%	166 30%	492 28%	63 24%	27 36%	164 52% e	288 24%	582 55% g	-
Support all 4	93 4%	68 5%	25 4%	7 2%	20 4%	68 5%	24 4%	41 4%	42 6% X	32 6%	76 4%	13 5%	4 5%	93 29% e	-	93 9% g	-
Oppose all 4	265 13%	187 13%	78 12% T	23 8%	57 12%	163 11%	102 16% V	164 14%	83 12%	62 11%	226 13%	31 12%	8 10%	-	265 22% d	-	265 43% f
All others	679 33%	500 35% SU	179 28%	89 31%	129 28%	415 29%	264 41% V	370 32%	224 32%	200 36%	550 32%	102 38% a	27 36%	111 35% e	184 15%	283 27% g	121 20% h

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 57

Chemical and Physical treatments

Base: All

Total	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
Oppose both chemical	922 44%	905 46% i	17 18%	655 44%	74 42%	83 43%	127 55% jkl	304 48%	460 44%	159 40%
Support both chemical	119 6%	109 5%	11 12% h	84 6%	10 5%	12 6%	16 7%	38 6%	55 5%	27 7%
Oppose both physical	368 18%	354 18%	14 15%	266 18%	31 18%	29 15%	34 15%	106 17%	191 18%	72 18%
Support both physical	582 28%	558 28%	23 25%	410 28%	54 31%	50 26%	72 31%	198 31%	288 28%	95 24%
Support all 4	93 4%	84 4%	8 9%	67 5%	6 4%	9 5%	12 5%	29 5%	42 4%	21 5%
Oppose all 4	265 13%	258 13%	7 8%	190 13%	21 12%	25 13%	26 11%	83 13%	141 14%	41 10%
All others	679 33%	632 32%	47 50% h	481 33%	59 34%	71 36% m	61 26%	179 28%	361 35%	139 35%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 58

Chemical and Physical treatments

Base: All

Total	Chemical and Physical treatments							
	Oppose both chemical (A)	Support both chemical (B)	Oppose both physical (C)	Support both physical (D)	Support all 4 (E)	Oppose all 4 (F)	All others (G)	
Unweighted Base	2078	930	120	365	582	91	268	678
Weighted Base	2078	922	119*	368	582	93*	265	679
Oppose both chemical	922 44%	922 100% BCDEG	-	265 72% BDEG	231 40% BEG	-	265 100% BCDEG	-
Support both chemical	119 6%	-	119 100% ACDFG	4 1% AG	93 16% ACFG	93 100% ACDFG	-	-
Oppose both physical	368 18%	265 29% BDEG	4 3% DG	368 100% ABDEG	-	-	265 100% ABDEG	-
Support both physical	582 28%	231 25% CFG	93 78% ACFG	-	582 100% ABC FG	93 100% ABCFG	-	-
Support all 4	93 4%	-	93 78% ACDFG	-	93 16% ACFG	93 100% ABCDF G	-	-
Oppose all 4	265 13%	265 29% BDEG	-	265 72% ABDEG	-	-	265 100% ABCDEG	-
All others	679 33%	-	-	-	-	-	-	679 100% ABCDEF

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B/C/D/E/F/G
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 59

Country

Base: All respondents

Total	Gender		Age						NS-SEC					Ethnicity			
	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-24 (C)	25-34 (D)	35-44 (E)	45-54 (F)	55-64 (G)	65+ (H)	1&2 (I)	3 (J)	4 (K)	5 (L)	6&7 (M)	White (O)	Black (P)	Asian (Q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	880	1198	129	309	378	335	335	591	511	217	157	116	482	1933	35	65
Weighted Base	2078	921	1157	147*	319	381	336	339	554	570	212	146	130*	475	1902	38*	79*
England	1746 84%	775 84%	970 84%	125 85%	278 87%	318 83%	277 82%	286 84%	459 83%	469 82%	176 83%	111 76%	114 88% K	398 84%	1580 83%	38 100% O	71 91%
Scotland	172 8%	79 9%	94 8%	13 9%	18 6%	40 11% D	29 9%	25 7%	46 8%	54 9%	17 8%	17 11%	5 4%	33 7%	165 9%	-	6 7%
Wales	102 5%	44 5%	58 5%	3 2%	15 5%	13 4%	19 6%	18 5%	34 6%	35 6%	11 5%	11 8%	7 6%	22 5%	100 5%	-	2 2%
Northern Ireland	58 3%	23 3%	35 3%	5 4%	9 3%	9 2%	11 3%	9 3%	15 3%	13 2%	8 4%	7 5%	4 3%	21 5%	58 3%	-	*

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 59

Country

Base: All respondents

Total	Children in hhold				65+ in hhold		Shop cook			Cook chicken/beef			Lactic acid		Rapid chilling		
	None (R)	Any (S)	0-4 (T)	5-15 (U)	No (V)	Yes (W)	High (X)	Medium (Y)	Low (Z)	Weekly (a)	Monthly (b)	Less (c)	Acceptable (d)	Unacceptable (e)	Acceptable (f)	Unacceptable (g)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1451	627	264	459	1395	683	1241	636	506	1718	281	79	306	1202	1056	621
Weighted Base	2078	1431	647	282	466	1433	645	1145	708	557	1738	264	76*	314	1203	1066	614
England	1746 84%	1210 85%	535 83%	226 80%	391 84%	1216 85%	529 82%	967 84%	589 83%	466 84%	1456 84%	229 87%	61 80%	267 85%	1014 84%	889 83%	522 85%
Scotland	172 8%	103 7%	69 11% R	39 14% RU	43 9%	112 8%	61 9%	87 8%	64 9%	50 9%	144 8%	18 7%	10 14%	27 9%	95 8%	95 9%	50 8%
Wales	102 5%	79 6%	23 4%	10 3%	18 4%	63 4%	39 6%	59 5%	36 5%	25 4%	85 5%	13 5%	4 6%	12 4%	58 5%	53 5%	25 4%
Northern Ireland	58 3%	38 3%	20 3%	7 3%	15 3%	41 3%	17 3%	32 3%	19 3%	17 3%	53 3%	4 2%	1 1%	9 3%	36 3%	30 3%	17 3%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base



Consumers' Understanding of Campylobacter and Attitudes Towards Poultry Decontamination Treatments

Fieldwork 18 June to 29 July

Table 59

Country

Base: All respondents

	Labeling treated meat		Country				Control of food poisoning risk			
	Very important (h)	Others (i)	England (j)	Scotland (k)	Wales (l)	Northern Ireland (m)	High (o)	Medium (p)	Low (q)	
Unweighted Base	2078	1990	88	1440	170	200	268	609	1059	410
Weighted Base	2078	1985	93*	1477	176	194	231	637	1039	403
England	1746 84%	1669 84%	77 82%	1477 100% kim	-	-	-	534 84%	864 83%	347 86%
Scotland	172 8%	161 8%	11 12%	-	176 100% jkm	-	-	60 9%	82 8%	31 8%
Wales	102 5%	99 5%	3 3%	-	-	194 100% jkm	-	27 4%	59 6%	16 4%
Northern Ireland	58 3%	56 3%	2 3%	-	-	-	231 100% jki	16 3%	33 3%	9 2%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - A/B - C/D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - O/P/Q - R/S/T/U - V/W - X/Y/Z - a/b/c - d/e - f/g - h/i - j/k/l/m
* small base

